PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120
Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Ms Neelam Sharma (Dr.),(M-9878205000)
Astt Professor (education)
Mehsmapur Road, VPO RurkaKalan,
Tehsil Phillaur, Distt Jalandhar-144030 ..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, **.Vs**
O/o SSP, Kapurthala

First Appellate Authority
O/o SSP, Kapurthala .....Respondent

AC No. 3472 of 2026

Present : (i) MsNeelam Sharma, appellant
(ii) For the respondent- SI Hari Singh (99159-00322)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 20.08.2026 passed by the Commission in this case.

2. The appellant reiterates that the CDR of ShSurinder Sharma has not been provided to her.

3. The respondent states that the complete record relating to the complaints of the appellant has been forwarded to SSP Jalandhar (rural) for investigation. Hence, the same cannot be provided.

4. After hearing both the parties and perusing the documents placed on record, it has been ascertained that the appellant, through her RTI application, has sought a certified copy of the Call Detail Record (CDR) of Sh. Surinder Sharma, Superintendent, Ramgarhia College of Education, Satnampura, Phagwara. The appellant has stated that the aforesaid CDR was obtained by the Investigating Officer in connection with his complaints bearing No. 179-HRC dated 19.09.2023 and No. 904-PC dated 13.09.2023, on the basis of which FIR No. 28 of 2025 was registered at Police Station Satnampura, Phagwara.

5. The Commission has carefully considered the submissions of the parties and examined the nature of the information sought by the appellant. The Commission observes that Call Detail Records contain details of incoming and outgoing calls, mobile telephone numbers, dates, timings and other particulars relating to telephone communications of an individual. Such information is personal in nature and may also reveal the communication details of other individuals who have interacted with the person concerned. Therefore, disclosure of such records may have an impact upon the privacy of the individual concerned as well as other persons whose particulars may form part of the said records.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120
Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

6. The Commission further observes that the information sought by the appellant pertains to the personal communication records of a third party, namely Sh. Surinder Sharma, and does not constitute information relating to any official decision or administrative action of the public authority. Reliance is placed upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Central Public Information Officer, Supreme Court of India v. Subhash Chandra Agarwal, (2020) 5 SCC 481, wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court discussed the scope of personal information and the need to balance the right to information with the right to privacy.

7. The Commission has also considered whether any larger public interest has been demonstrated by the appellant which would justify disclosure of the requested information. However, no sufficient grounds have been established to demonstrate that disclosure of the personal communication records of the third party is necessary in larger public interest so as to outweigh the privacy interests involved.

8. In view of the foregoing discussion, the Commission is of the considered opinion that the requested CDR constitutes personal information relating to a third party and is not liable to be disclosed under the applicable provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. Accordingly, the Commission finds no justification to direct the respondent to furnish the certified copy of the CDR sought by the appellant. The appeal is hereby disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Date :11.11.2026

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120
Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, # 10493,
Street no. 5/6, Janta Nagar
Bhagwam, Chowk, Ludhiana .....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer
O/o Chairman, PPCB, Patiala

First Appellate Authority
O/o Chairman, PPCB, Patiala .....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5726 of 2024

Present : (i) ShSanjeev Kumar, appellant
(ii) For the respondent- PIO-ErSandeep Kumar, XEN (98789-42033) and ErIshmanjot Singh, AEE (89685-01155)

ORDER

This order may be read with read with reference to the previous order dated 23.09.2026 vide which a show-cause notice was issued against the respondent-PIO.

2. The appellant has filed his submission today, which is taken on record.

3. The respondent states that as directed, they have brought their reply on affidavit, duly notarized. Original affidavit is handed over to the appellant today. He has gone through the same and has expressed his satisfaction over it.

4. Further, the respondent has also filed his reply to the show-cause notice. Keeping in view all the facts the show cause notice is hereby dropped.

5. In view of the above said, it has been ascertained that the orders of the Commission have been complied with. Hence, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Date :11.11.2026

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120
Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Advocate Rakesh Kumar Gupta,
R/o 8/237, Jagraon Road,
MandiMullanpur, District Ludhiana - 141101 Appellant

Versus

1. Public Information Officer,
O/o Director Local Govt, Punjab
Municipal Bhawan, Sector 35A, Plot No. 3,
Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director LocalGovt, Punjab
Municipal Bhawan, Sector 35A, Plot No. 3,
Chandigarh

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary, Department of Local Govt, Punjab, Branch 03
Municipal Bhawan, Sector 35A, Plot No. 3, Chandigarh Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4346 OF 2023

Present : (i) None for the appellant
(ii) For the respondent- Sh. Taranjit Singh, PIO (946522358), Smt. Rimpi Rani, Sr. Assistant (9463118123)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 02.09.2026 passed by the Commission vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was present.

2. Today again the appellant is not present. He has sent his submissions, which are taken on record.

3. The perusal of the case file shows that today, the matter is listed for the fifth hearing before the undersigned. It is observed that the appellant has appeared before the Commission only once during the previous proceedings. On the previous date of hearing, the respondent had brought the rectified information and he was directed to send the same to the appellant. Today the respondent sates that the rectified information has already been sent to the appellant.

4. Today, the appellant is again absent. However, he has sent written submissions to the Commission. The respondent is present and submits that the requisite rectified information has already been supplied to the appellant. Mere filing of written submissions, without attending the hearing despite repeated opportunities , does not justify keeping the appeal pending indefinitely.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120
Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

APPEAL CASE NO. 4346 OF 2023

5. In view of the fact that the rectified information has already been supplied to the appellant and despite repeated opportunities, the appellant has failed to appear before the Commission to substantiate any remaining grievance, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending any further. Accordingly, the appeal is hereby **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Date :11.11.2026

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120
Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120
Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. Ravinder Kumar Sabharwal,

S/o Late Sh.Mam Raj Singh, # 101,Block E
Maya Garden, Phase 3,VIP Road,
Tehsil DeraBassi, Distt.SAS Nagar - 140603 Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Department of Legal and Legislative Affiars Punjab
Site Number 126, Opposite GMADA Community Centre, Sector 69,
SAS Nagar (Mohali).

First Appellate Authority
O/o Department of Legal and Legislative Affiars Punjab
Site Number 126, Opposite GMADA Community Centre, Sector 69,
SAS Nagar (Mohali). Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 3007 of 2024

Present : None for the parties.

ORDER

This order may be read in continuation of the previous orders dated 30.06.2026 and 08.09.2026 passed by the Commission.

2. It is pertinent to mention that vide order dated 30.06.2026, the appellant was not present and the respondent had submitted that the information sought by the appellant was not related to their department and, accordingly, the RTI application was sent back to the appellant. The respondent further stated that the department functions only in an advisory capacity to the State Government and the information sought by the appellant does not fall within the domain of their office.

3. Vide order dated 30.06.2026, the appellant was granted an opportunity to appear before the Commission and state his case. Subsequently, vide order dated 08.09.2026, another opportunity was granted to the respondent to appear before the Commission, as the earlier notice had been received back undelivered with the postal remarks “Insufficient Address”.

4. Today, neither the appellant nor the respondent is present before the Commission. It is observed that despite opportunities granted by the Commission, the appellant has failed to appear and pursue his appeal. No request for adjournment or any communication has been received from either party. In view of the above facts and circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending. Accordingly, the appeal is hereby **disposed of.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Date :11.11.2026

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120
Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Ms.TalwinderjeetKaur,
W/o Sh.AjayAttri, R/o
House No. 2097, Sector 68 Mohali - 160062 Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Director, Health and Family Welfare Punjab
ParivarKalyanBhawan, Sector 34A,
Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority
O/o Director, Health and Family Welfare Punjab
ParivarKalyanBhawan, Sector 34A,
Chandigarh Respondents

Appeal Case No. 4702 of 2023

Present : (i) MsTalwinderjeetKaur, appellant along with Sh Ajay Attri
(ii) For the respondent- ShAmarjit Singh, SrAsstt. (99145-91294)

ORDER

This order may be read with read with reference to the previous order dated 13.08.2026 passed by the Commission in this case.

2. The appellant has filed her rejoinder pertaining to point no. 3.

3. The respondent states that he has brought the clear and legible copy of information pertaining to point no. 1 Further, regarding point no. 2 also he has brought the complete information. Copy of the same is handed over to the appellant. The appellant has gone through the information and has expressed her satisfaction over the same

4. Further, regarding point no. 3 the respondent submits that the information demanded is third party information. Hence, the same cannot be provided.

5. In view of the above, it has been ascertained that the information pertaining to point no. 1 and 2 has been provided to the appellant and she is satisfied with it. Regarding point no. 3, the appellant has filed her rejoinder.

6. After going through the contents of the RTI application and the rejoinder filed by the appellant, it is ascertained that the information demanded by the appellant pertaining to point no. 3 is personal information of the other employees. The appellant has also failed to substantiate any public interest for divulgence of information. Further, the Bench also relied upon the decision dated 13.11.2019 of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No. 10044 of 2010 titled in Central Public Information Officer, Supreme Court of India Vs. Subhash Chandra Aggarwal, regarding Right to Information Act, 2005 in which in para no. 59 of the above referred case had

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120
Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

defined 'Personal Information' under the Right to Information Act 2005, the relevant part of the judgment is reproduced below:-

"*Reading of the aforesaid judicial precedents, in our opinion , would indicate that personal records, including name, address , Physical, mental and psychological status, marks obtained, grades and answer sheets, are all treated as personal information. Similarly, professional records, including qualification , performance , evaluation reports, ACRs, disciplinary proceedings, etc. Are all personal information. Medical records, treatment, choice of medicine, list of hospitals and doctors visited, findings recorded. Including that of the family members, information, relating to assets, liabilities, Income tax returns, details of the investments, lending and borrowing etc. are personal information. Such personal information is entitled to protection from unwarranted invasion of privacy and conditional access is available when stipulation of larger public interest is satisfied. This list is indicative and not exhaustive.*

7. In view of the above, the information demanded by the appellant at point no. 3, cannot be provided to her as per above said law/directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. Hence, no further cause of action is left. Therefore the present appeal filed by the applicant stands **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Date :11.11.2026

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120
Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh Anmol Dhiman, (M-7508029316)
S/o ShSurjit Kumar,
R/o H No 1229, Block –E,
Adarsh Nagar, NayaGaon, Distt SAS Nagar-160103 …… Appellant

Public Information Officer, **.Vs**
O/o SMO, Civil Hospital, Kharar
Distt Mohali

First Appellate Authority
O/o Civil Surgeon, SAS Nagar **……Respondent**

AC No.5350 of 2026

Present : (i) Sh. Anmol Dhiman, Appellant.
(ii) for the respondent :. Dr. Ritu Gera, Medical Officer, for the Respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application in the present case is dated 04.05.2026, whereby the appellant sought information regarding Medical Board Opinion Entry No. 2026/215-16, pertaining to FIR No. 86 dated 30.08.2025 and Cross DDR No. 25 dated 30.08.2025, registered at Police Station Naya Gaon, SAS Nagar, Mohali. The Medical Board was constituted on the basis of Police Letter No. 1127/5A/PS/Naya Gaon dated 29.09.2025.

2. The appellant sought the following information under his RTI application:

(i) Certified copy of the Final Medical Board Opinion/Report prepared under Entry No. 2026/215-16.

(ii) Certified copy of Police Letter No. 1127/5A/PS/Naya Gaon dated 29.09.2025, on the basis of which the Medical Board opinion was initiated.

(iii) Certified copies of all letters/notices/communications issued by the hospital/Medical Board to Roshan Lal for his appearance before the Medical Board.

3. Being dissatisfied with the response of the Public Information Officer, the appellant preferred a First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority and thereafter filed the present Second Appeal before this Commission on 23.07.2026 under Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005.

4. The matter was earlier heard by this Commission on 15.10.2026. On that date, the respondent submitted that the information sought by the appellant pertained to third-party information and could not be provided. It was further stated that a reply had already been sent to the appellant. However, the appellant was not satisfied with the same.

AC No.5350 of 2026

5. The appellant further submitted that the information was required by him to establish his defence in criminal proceedings pending before the competent Court. Accordingly, he was granted an opportunity to file a rejoinder. The respondents were also directed to appear on the next date of hearing along with the complete information in a sealed envelope for examination by the Commission. The matter was accordingly fixed for 11.11.2026 i.e. today.

6. Today, the appellant appeared before the Bench and submitted that the Medical Board Opinion is essential for his defence in the criminal proceedings pending before the competent Court, as the MLR of Roshan Lal is being relied upon against him. He stated that the information is necessary to establish his innocence and protect his right to defend himself.

7. The appellant also filed a detailed rejoinder dated 27.10.2026 in compliance with the previous order. In his rejoinder, he submitted that FIR No. 86 dated 30.08.2025 and Cross DDR No. 25 dated 30.08.2025 are connected with the dispute between the parties; that a Medical Board comprising three Senior Medical Officers was constituted at Civil Hospital, Kharar, on the request of the police; and that the Board gave its opinion on 29.01.2026 to the Investigating Officer.

8. The appellant further contended that the Medical Board Opinion is an official document prepared by government doctors in discharge of their official duties and is directly connected with the criminal proceedings pending against him. He argued that the same cannot be withheld merely by describing it as third-party information, particularly when he requires it for his defence before the competent Court. He also disputed the respondent's earlier claim regarding dispatch of the RTI reply and raised concerns regarding the preservation of the original official record.

9. In support of his submissions, the appellant relied upon the following judgments:

(i) Raj Kumar v. Safdarjang Hospital, Central Information Commission, 2009.
(ii) CPIO, Supreme Court of India v. Subhash Chandra Agarwal, Civil Appeal No. 10044 o f 2010, decided on 13.11.2019.
(iii) R. Rajagopal v. State of Tamil Nadu, (1994) 6 SCC 632.
(iv) V.K. Sasikala v. State, Criminal Appeal No. 1497 of 2012, decided on 27.09.2012
(v) Bhagat Singh v. Chief Information Commissioner, Delhi High Court, 2007.
(vi) Satbir Singh v. Rao Tula Ram Memorial Hospital, CIC/SA/A/2014/000072, decided on 25.11.2014.

The appellant also referred to Sections 8(2), 10, 11, 19(5) and 20 of the RTI Act, 2005.

10. The appellant further relied upon various documents annexed with his rejoinder, including copies of orders passed in Cases No. 164/2026 and 166/2026 before the Court at Kharar, relevant police and hospital communications, petition filed before the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CRWP-13235/2025, order dated 17.11.2025 passed in CRM-M-59918/2025, photographs

relating to the injuries in question, and documents/screenshots concerning complaints and entries on the Punjab Government Grievance Portal.

11 On the other hand, Dr. Ritu Gera, Medical Officer, appeared on behalf of the respondents today and produced the complete information/official record sought by the appellant in a sealed envelope before the Bench, in compliance with the directions issued by this Commission vide its previous order dated 15.10.2026.

12. The Bench has heard both the parties at length and carefully examined the submissions made by the appellant, his rejoinder dated 27.10.2026, the judgments and documents relied upon by him, as well as the record produced by the respondent in the sealed envelope.

13. The Commission observes that the appellant has specifically explained that the Medical Board Opinion is required by him for defending himself in criminal proceedings pending before the competent Court. The Commission has also taken note of the fact that the Medical Board was constituted by a government hospital on the basis of a police communication and that the opinion was prepared by government medical officers in discharge of their official duties.

14. Although the respondent had earlier raised an objection that the information pertained to a third party, the Commission, considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the official record and the specific requirement demonstrated by the appellant for his defence, finds that the request deserves to be considered favourably. The Commission has also considered the relevant provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, including the provisions relating to disclosure, third-party information, severability and public interest.

15 The Commission also draws support from the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in The Institute of Chartered Accountants of India v. Shaunak H. Satya & Ors., (2011) 8 SCC 781, wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court explained that the object of the RTI Act is to harmonise the competing interests of transparency and accountability with the protection of other legitimate interests. The Commission, keeping in view the aforesaid principles and the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case, finds that disclosure of the specific information sought by the appellant is justified.

16. The Bench is of the considered view that withholding the specific information sought by the appellant may adversely affect his ability to place relevant material before the competent Court. Accordingly, in the facts and circumstances of the present case, the Commission finds that the information deserves to be disclosed to the appellant.

17. It is, however, clarified that the Commission is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the criminal proceedings, the correctness of the Medical Board Opinion or the innocence or guilt of any person, as these matters fall within the jurisdiction of the competent Court.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120
Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

18. Accordingly, the sealed envelope produced by Dr. Ritu Gera, Medical Officer, on behalf of the respondents was opened and the documents contained therein were examined by the Bench. The information sought under the RTI application was supplied to the appellant during the course of hearing:

19. A copy of the information supplied to the appellant shall be retained on the official file of the Commission for record and future reference.

20. In view of the above discussion, the Commission holds that the grievance of the appellant regarding non-supply of the information sought under the RTI application stands redressed. Accordingly, the present Second Appeal is allowed in favour of the appellant and disposed of in the above terms. A copy of this order be supplied to both the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Date :11.11.2026

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120
Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

ShKulbir Pal, (M-98720-89251)
S/o ShAssa Ram,
VPO Kalyanpur, Jalandhar-144026 …… Appellant

.Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP (Rural), Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority
O/o SSP (Rural), Jalandhar **……**Respondent

AC No.3525 of 2024

Present : (i) ShKulbir Pal, Appellant (*through VC in the O/o DC Jalandhar*)
(ii) For the respondent- MsGurpreetKaur, Computer operator (95019-45843) (*in the Commission office)*

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.09.2026 passed by the Commission in this case.

2. The appellant states that the complete information pertaining to point no's 2 and 4 have not been provided to him.

3. The respondent states that the information, as available in their official record has been provided to the appellant. Further, she adds that their point wise specific reply has already been sent to the appellant. She has also filed copy of the same, which is taken on record.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the contents of the documents placed on record, it has been ascertained that the appellant is not satisfied with the information provided by the respondent at point no's 2 and 4. Further, the respondent has filed their reply as under:-

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120
Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

AC No.3525 of 2024

1.	Copy of the Above Registered Complaint.	ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਨੰਬਰ 14-ਬੀ.ਐੱਚ ਮਿਤੀ 17.01.2024 ਸਬੰਧੀ ASI ਨਰਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਨੰਬਰ 61 ਵੱਲੋਂ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦੀ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਦੋਰਾਨੇ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਪਾਇਆ ਗਿਆ ਕਿ **ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਸਹਿਬਨ ਹੀ ਕੰਪਿਊਟਰ ਉਪਰੇਟਰ ਪਾਸੋਂ ਗੁਰੂ ਲਫਜ ਨਹੀ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ।** ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਜਾਣ ਬੁੱਝ ਕੇ ਅਜਿਹਾ ਕਰਨਾ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਨਹੀ ਹੋਇਆ। ਜਿਸ ਤੇ ਉੱਕਤ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦਾਖਲ ਦਫਤਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ।ਜੀ।
2.	Copy of Government policy (procedure) and any other low though Which above registed complaint is resolved .	ਪੜਤਾਲ ਦੋਰਾਨ ਗੁਵਾਹਾ ਦੇ ਬਿਆਨ ਕਲਮਬੰਦ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ, ਬਿਆਨਾਤ ਗੁਵਾਹਾ ਦੇ ਬਿਆਨਾਤ ਗੁਵਾਹਾ ਤੋਂ ਜੋ ਤੱਥ ਸਾਹਮਣੇ ਆਏ, ਉਸ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦਾਖਲ ਦਫਤਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾਂ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦੀ ਦਰਿਆਫਤ/ ਬਾਬਤ ਕੋਈ ਵੱਖਰੀ (Policy/ Process) ਸਬੰਧੀ ਕੋਈ ਹੁਕਮ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਮੋਜੂਦ ਨਹੀ ਹਨ ਜੀ।
3.	Name of the designation of the inquiry officer to investigate the above complaint.	ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਕਰਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਜੋ ਕੰਪਲੇਟ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ। ਉਸ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ASI ਨਰਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਨੰਬਰ 61 ਦੁਆਰਾ ਮਨ SI/SHO ਦੁਆਰਾ ਕੀਤੀਆ ਹਦਾਇਤਾ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਅਮਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਲਿਆਦੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਜੀ।
4.	Copy of all files and docoments processed and report prepared by IO on the above complaint.	ASI ਨਰਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਨੰਬਰ 61 ਦੁਆਰਾ ਮਨ SI/SHO ਦੁਆਰਾ ਤਿਆਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸਿੱਟਾ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਅਤੇ ਬਿਆਨਾ ਦੀਆ ਕਾਪੀਆ ਨੱਥੀ ਹਨ **ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 49/ਡੀ//ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ, ਮਿਤੀ 15.03.2024 ਨਾਲ ਵਜਰੀਆ ਡਾਕ(ਰਜਿਸਟਰੀ) ਪੰਨਾ ਨੰਬਰ 01 ਤੋਂ 13 ਤੱਕ ਦਿੱਤੇ ਹੋਏ ਘਰ ਦੇ ਪਤੇ ਪਰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਭੇਜੀ ਜਾ ਚੁੱਕੀ ਹੈ ਜੀ।**

Scanned by Camera Scanner

5.	Copy of statement of each persons against whom the complaint was lodged.	ਪੜਾਤਾਲ ਦੋਰਾਨ ਜਿਹਨਾਂ ਵਿਆਕਤੀਆ ਨੂੰ ਸ਼ਾਮਲ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ ਜਿਹਨਾਂ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਆ ਖਿਲਾਫ ਉਕਤ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸ਼ਾਮਲ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ। ਜਿਹਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਬਿਆਨ ਹਾਸਿਲ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ, ਜੋ ਪਿੰਡ ਦੇ ਹੋਰ ਮੋਹਤਵਰ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਆ ਤੇ ਆਮ ਲੋਕਾ ਦਾ ਵੀ ਸਾਂਝਾ ਬਿਆਨ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ ਜੋ ਲਫ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ ਜੀ।
6.	Copy of the verfification of names of the persons mentioned in the above registered Complaint.	ਪੜਤਾਲ ਦੋਰਾਨ ਜਿਹਨਾਂ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਆ ਨੂੰ ਸ਼ਾਮਿਲ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਬਿਆਨਾ ਦੀਆ ਕਾਪੀਆ ਲਫ ਕੀਤੀਆ ਗਈਆ ਹਨ ਤੇ ਜਿਹਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਵੀ ਬਿਆਨ ਹਾਸਿਲ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਹਨ, ਜੋ ਲਫ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਹਨ ਤੇ ਪਿੰਡ ਦੇ ਹੋਰ ਮੋਹਤਵਰ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਆ ਤੇ ਆਮ ਪਬਲਿਕ ਦਾ ਵੀ ਸਾਝਾ ਬਿਆਨ ਕਮਲਬੰਦ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ, ਜੋ ਲਫ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ।
7.	Copy of Final Investigation report of above complaint.	ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦੀ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਤੋਂ ਜੋ ਗੱਲ ਸਾਹਮਣੇ ਆਈ ਹੈ, ਉਸ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਤਿਆਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ। ਪੜਤਾਲੀਆ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਵਾਚਣ ਹਿੱਤ ਲਫ ਹੈ।

Scanned by Camera Scanner

After going through the above said it has been ascertained that the respondent has adequately addressed the RTI of the appellant. Hence, no further intervention of the Commission is called for . Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120
Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

orders be sent to the parties. *Copy of the reply as submitted by the respondent be sent to the appellant alongwith these orders.*

sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Date :11.11.2026