

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120



Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. Jeet Singh Member Panchayat, S/o Sh. Inder Singh,
Village NawanHasta , Tehsil & DisttFazilka.

M : 9855879470

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DDPO, Fazilka.

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 151 OF 2024

Present :- None for the parties.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.01.2026 passed by the Commission vide which the appellant was not present and the respondent stated that the information was sent to the appellant. Further the appellant was advised to point out deficiencies, if any to the respondent.

2. Today neither the appellant nor the respondent is present. They have even not informed the Commission about their absence for today's hearing. The perusal of the case file shows that as directed in the previous hearing, the appellant has not pointed out any deficiencies in the information provided. Even the last orders dated 22.01.2026 sent to him has not been returned undelivered which makes it clear that the same has been duly received by him. As the Appellant is absent for second consecutive hearing before the undersigned and nothing has been heard from him regarding the receipt of information. Hence, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Date :12.05.2026

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120



Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh Jeet Singh, Member Panchayat,
S/o Sh. Inder Singh, Village Nawan Hasta,
Tehsil & Distt- Fazilka

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o ADC (D) Fazilka

First Appellate Authority
O/o ADC (D) Fazilka

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 193 OF 2024

Present :- None for the parties.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.01.2026 passed by the Commission vide which the appellant was not present and the respondent stated that the information was sent to the appellant. Further the appellant was advised to point out deficiencies, if any to the respondent.

2. Today neither the appellant nor the respondent is present. They have even not informed the Commission about their absence for today's hearing. The perusal of the case file shows that as directed in the previous hearing, the appellant has not pointed out any deficiencies in the information provided. Even the last orders dated 22.01.2026 sent to him has not been returned undelivered which makes it clear that the same has been duly received by him. As the Appellant is absent for second consecutive hearing before the undersigned and nothing has been heard from him regarding the receipt of information. Hence, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Date :12.05.2026

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: www.infocommpunjab.com



Nitin Kumar Garg, C/o Public Police Diary,
15A, Shastri Nagar,
Model town, Ludhiana

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o XEN, Construction PWD B&R,
Division No 2, Bathinda

First Appellate Authority
O/o Superintending Engineer,
PWD, B&R, Construction Circle, Bathinda

Respondents

AC.No. 2462 of 2023

Present :- None for the parties

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 27.01.2026, passed by the Commission.

2. An email has been received from the appellant that he has received the information and is satisfied. He further states that the case may be disposed of accordingly. He adds that he has deposited Rs. 200/- as requisite fee but he has received only 08 (eight pages) of the information.

3. Since the appellant has received the information and is satisfied, no further cause of action is left. Hence the above said appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with these directions to the respondent to return the excess amount of fees to the appellant under intimation to the Commission. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Date :12.05.2026

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120



Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh Chetan Kumar, ETT Teacher, (M-7009090680)
GovtElementry School, BhainiRajputan,
Block Chogawan-I, Distt Amritsar
C/o Sh D.P Bali, Advocate, Chamber no. 820, 8th Floor,
District Courts, Ludhiana

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o DPI (EE) Pb,
PSEB Building, Phase-3, phase-8, Mohali

.Vs

First Appellate Authority

O/o DPI (EE) Pb,
PSEB Building, Phase-3, phase-8, Mohali

.....Respondent

AC No.3356 of 2024

Present :- None for the parties.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 07.12.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 09.05.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 12.05.2026 in the Commission

3. Today neither the appellant nor the respondent is present. A letter has been received from the appellant dated 22.04.2026 mentioning therein that *“That on 06.04.2026, the appellant moved an application before the commission for transfer the said appeal to the court of Ms.Pooja Gupta, State Information Commissioner as the connected appeal no. 4081/2024 was pending in the court of Ms. Pooja Gupta State Information Commissioner.”*

4. Considering the request of the appellant, the case file is sent to the Deputy Registrar for further necessary action. Copy of the order be sent to the parties. sd/-

Date :12.05.2026

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

CC: Deputy Registrar (alongwith original case file)

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120



Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: www.infocommpunjab.com

ShJasmer Singh, (M-98140-95284)
S/o ShMahinder Singh,
R/o Village Salempur, PO SamanaKalan,
Distt Sri Chamkaur Sahib, DisttRoopnagar

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer,
O/o Divisional Forest Officer,
Roopnagar

.Vs

First Appellate Authority
O/o Divisional Forest Officer,
Roopnagar

.....Respondent

AC No.7221 of 2025

Present :- (i) Sh Jasmer Singh, appellant

(ii) For the respondent- Sh Amandeep Singh, SrAsstt, ShKulwinderSingh, Incharge and
ShGurjeet Singh, Block officer

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 15.09.2025 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.12.2025 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 12.05.2026 in the Commission.
3. The appellant states that the information has not been provided to him.
4. The respondent states that the information sought by the appellant is third-party information.
5. Before stating anything further, it would be significant to reproduce the contents of the RTI application itself, which are as follows:-

- 6) ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵੇਰਵਾ :- ਰਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਮੇਵਾ ਸਿੰਘ ਪਿੰਡ ਸਲੇਮਪੁਰ ਡਾਕਖਾਨਾ ਸਮਾਣਾ ਕਲਾਂ ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਚਮਕੌਰ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਰੂਪਨਗਰ ਜੋ ਕਿ ਹੁਣ ਡਵੀਜ਼ਨ ਰੋਪੜ ਵਿੱਚ ਬਤੌਰ ਵਣ ਗਾਰਡ ਤੈਨਾਤ ਹੈ। ਰਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਜਿਹੜੀ ਵੀ ਡਵੀਜ਼ਨ/ਰੇਜ਼ ਵਿੱਚ ਹੁਣ ਤੱਕ ਬਤੌਰ ਵਣ ਗਾਰਡ ਕੰਮ ਕੀਤਾ ਹੈ, ਸਾਲ 2019-2020 ਤੋਂ 07-2025 ਤੱਕ ਉਸਦੇ ਨਾਮ ਤੇ ਜਿੰਨੇ ਵੀ ਮਾਸਟਰੋਲ ਜਾਰੀ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਹਨ, ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁੱਦਾ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ।
- 2) ਰਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਜੋ ਵੀ ਬਿੱਲ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਕੰਮ ਦੇ ਕੱਟੇ ਗਏ ਹਨ, ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਵੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁੱਦਾ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ।
- 3) ਰਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਕਿੰਨੀਆਂ ਛੁੱਟੀਆਂ ਲਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਇਹਨਾਂ ਛੁੱਟੀਆਂ ਲਈ ਜੋ ਰਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਦਰਖਾਸਤਾਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਗਈਆਂ ਹਨ, ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁੱਦਾ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ।
- 4) ਰਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਆਪਣਾ ਹੈਡ ਕੁਆਟਰ ਕਿਥੇ ਲਿਆ ਹੋਇਆ ਹੈ, ਉਸ ਬਾਰੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ।

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: www.infocommpunjab.com



AC No.7221 of 2025

After going through the above, it has been seen and observed that the nature of information sought is mainly the information which has the relevance and governance of the relationship of the employer and the employee and not between this official and the appellant. Accordingly, from the perusal of this RTI application it has become abundantly clear that the purpose of the appellant in seeking this kind of information does not involve any larger public for asking the information as per the details mentioned in his application and it should not be in the public domain as has been upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and other Hon'ble Courts to avoid the humiliation and harassment of the employees. Allowing this kind of information will certainly strengthen the abuse of the process of law.

6. As discussed above the appellant for seeking the personal information in the instant case has not been made with due bona fides and in larger public interest. The furnishing of the information asked for, if provided, would cause unwarranted invasion of privacy of the individual under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act and may also lead to intimidation of such officials. Further, the Commission also relied upon the decision dated 13.11.2019 of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No. 10044 of 2010 titled in Central Public Information Officer, Supreme Court of India Vs. Subhash Chandra Aggarwal, regarding Right to Information Act, 2005 in which in para no. 59 of the above referred case had defined 'Personal Information' under the Right to Information Act 2005, the relevant part of the judgment is reproduced below:-

"Reading of the aforesaid judicial precedents, in our opinion, would indicate that personal records, including name, address, Physical, mental and psychological status, marks obtained, grades and answer sheets, are all treated as personal information. Similarly, professional records, including qualification, performance, evaluation reports, ACRs, disciplinary proceedings, etc. are all personal information. Medical records, treatment, choice of medicine, list of hospitals and doctors visited, findings recorded. Including that of the family members, information, relating to assets, liabilities, Income tax returns, details of the investments, lending and borrowing etc. are personal information. Such personal information is entitled to protection from unwarranted invasion of privacy and conditional access is available when stipulation of larger public interest is satisfied. This list is indicative and not exhaustive.

7. Hence, the information seeker seeks third party information, which cannot be provided to him as per above said law/directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. Therefore the present

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: www.infocommpunjab.com



AC No.7221 of 2025

appeal filed by the applicant stands **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Date :12.05.2026