

Subject: Corrigendum in order AC: 2779 of 2018 dated 10.12.2018 at 11.30 AM.

The order of Appeal Case no. 2779 of 2018 has a clerical mistake in point no. 3 of order of hearing held on dated 10.12.2018 at 11.30 AM in which it was inadvertently written **Mr. Rakesh Kumar (Teacher) was present in school on 27.11.2017 (incorrect)** and may be read as **Mr. Rakesh Kumar (Teacher) was not present in school on 27.11.2017.**

Copies of both orders i.e. amended order and previous order are attached here with.

Reader to SIC, Punjab
(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)
Sector-16, Chandigarh

Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email-ID psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Surinder Kumar S/o Sh. Ruldu Ram,
R/o MCB Z-6, 15329, Gurukul Road,
Opposite Cooler Factory,
Bathinda. (8054435069, 9888688302).

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO
O/o Principal, Govt. Sr. Sec. School,
Village Kesar Singh Wala
District Bathinda

First Appellate Authority
O/o District Education Officer
Bathinda

Respondents

Appeal Case No.: 2779 of 2018

Date of Institution: 16.08.2018

Date of Decision: 10.12.2018

Present: Sh. Surinder Kumar, the appellant present in person.
Sh. Rakesh Kumar, Head Master, present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

ORDER

1. Sh. Rakesh Kumar Head Master states that requisite information/written reply satisfying the RTI application has already been sent to the appellant and also provided a copy of it to the appellant during the hearing. A copy said requisite information/written reply has already been received in the Commission vide diary no. 23363 dated 20.11.2018, which is already taken on record.
2. Sh. Surinder Kumar, the appellant shows his dissatisfaction stating that reply as requisite in RTI application it is nowhere mentioned that whether the Mr. Rakesh Kumar (Teacher) was present in school on 27.11.2017 or not.
3. On this Sh. Rakesh Kumar Head Master, states that as requisite in RTI application it is verified that Mr. Rakesh Kumar (Teacher) was **present** in school on 27.11.2017 and he also mentioned it on the written reply given to appellant as mentioned above in point no. 1 of this order.
4. Sh. Surinder Kumar, the appellant is satisfied with it.

Appeal Case No.: 2779 of 2018
Date of Institution: 16.08.2018
Date of Decision: 10.12.2018

5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that the requisite information stands supplied and the appellant is satisfied with it and hence the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
6. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.12.2018

Sd/-
(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)
Sector-16, Chandigarh

Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125

Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email-ID psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh. Surinder Kumar S/o Sh. Ruldu Ram,
R/o MCB Z-6, 15329, Gurukul Road,
Opposite Cooler Factory,
Bathinda. (8054435069, 9888688302).

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO
O/o Principal, Govt. Sr. Sec. School,
Village Kesar Singh Wala
District Bathinda

First Appellate Authority
O/o District Education Officer
Bathinda

Respondents

Appeal Case No.: 2779 of 2018

Date of Institution: 16.08.2018

Date of Decision: 10.12.2018

Present: Sh. Surinder Kumar, the appellant present in person.
Sh. Rakesh Kumar, Head Master, present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

ORDER

1. Sh. Rakesh Kumar Head Master states that requisite information/written reply satisfying the RTI application has already been sent to the appellant and also provided a copy of it to the appellant during the hearing. A copy said requisite information/written reply has already been received in the Commission vide diary no. 23363 dated 20.11.2018, which is already taken on record.
2. Sh. Surinder Kumar, the appellant shows his dissatisfaction stating that reply as requisite in RTI application it is nowhere mentioned that whether the Mr. Rakesh Kumar (Teacher) was present in school on 27.11.2017 or not.
3. On this Sh. Rakesh Kumar Head Master, states that as requisite in RTI application it is verified that Mr. Rakesh Kumar (Teacher) was **not present** in school on 27.11.2017 and he also mentioned it on the written reply given to appellant as mentioned above in point no. 1 of this order.
4. Sh. Surinder Kumar, the appellant is satisfied with it.

Appeal Case No.: 2779 of 2018
Date of Institution: 16.08.2018
Date of Decision: 10.12.2018

5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that the requisite information stands supplied and the appellant is satisfied with it and hence the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
6. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.12.2018

Sd/-
(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner