Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Baldev Singh, (8054837237) S/o Sh Harmesh Singh, R/o Village Chak Ariayawala, Tehsil-Jalalabad, DisttFazilka

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Chak Ariayawala, Tehsil- Jalalabad, DisttFazilka

First Appellate Authority, O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer, Fazilka

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4772 OF 2022

Present :- None for the parties.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.06.2025 vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was present.

- 2. Today again neither the appellant nor the respondent is present. The perusal of the case file shows that during the hearing dated 19.06.2023 before the bench of former SIC Sh. Maninder Singh Patti Rs. 10,000/- penalty was imposed upon the respondent . Further during the hearing dated 05.07.2023, Rs. 10,000/- as compensation was awarded to the appellant.
- 3. Today an email has been received from the respondent that the information has been given to the appellant and has also sent the acknowledgment given by the Appellant in token of having received the information. He has also informed the Commission, by his email that as ordered by the Commission the penalty amount imposed on the respondent-PIO has been deposited in the Govt. Treasury and the compensation amounting to Rs. 10,000/- has also been paid to the appellant. Respondent has sent copy of challan to the Commission. He has also sent acknowledgment of receiving compensation of the appellant. Copy of the same is taken on record. Since, the order of the Commission has been complied

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Dated: 30.09.2025



APPEAL CASE NO. 4772 OF 2022

with, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



ShBaljit Singh, S/o ShRaghubir Singh, R/o 575A, MohallaInder Nagar, Nangal, DisttRoopnagar

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer. O/o Nagar Council, Nangal, Distt-Roopnagar

First Appellate Authority, O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner (UD) Roopnagar

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4719 OF 2023

Present:-

- (i) Sh. Baljit Singh the appellant
- (ii) for the respondent: Sh. Shubham Bhardwaj, Draftsman (9473737675)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.06.2025 vide which all the points relating to the RTI of the appellant was discussed between both the parties. Accordingly, the respondent was directed to provide complete information to the appellant.

- 2. Today the appellant states that he is not satisfied with the information provided by the respondent.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it has been ascertained that the Respondent has provided appropriate and permissible reply to the Appellant. Further, it has also been ascertained that this is a case of grievance of the appellant who has attempted to find redressal thereof by filling second appeal in the commission. The perception of the appellant that use of RTI Act can be employed as a mechanism for redressal of his grievance is beyond the paradigm of the Act. The Commission advises the appellant to approach the competent forum or court for redressal of his grievance as it is unable to go outside the jurisdiction stipulated by the RTI Act. In wake of aforementioned,

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 4719 OF 2023

this Appeal case is hereby devoid of merit and hence disposed of and closed. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) **State Information Commissioner** Dated: 30.09.2025 **Punjab**

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Rajinder Pal Singh, (M-9592481415)	
S/o Sh Malkit Singh,	
Village Purhain, Tehsil-Jagraon,	
Distt- Ludhiana-141110	Appellant
.Vs	
Public Information Officer,	
O/o Panchayat Secretary,	
Gram Panchayat, Purhain,	
Tehsil- Jagraon, Distt- Ludhiana	
First Appellate Authority	
O/o DDPO Ludhiana	Respondent

AC No.2935 of 2024

None for the parties. Present:-

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 25.06.2025 vide which the appellant was not present and the respondent stated that the information had been sent to the appellant through post but he has refused to accept the same. Hence in the interest of justice, an opportunity was given to the appellant to appear before the Commission.

- 2. Today neither the appellant nor the respondent is present. It is also a fact that a notice of hearing and the last order dated 25.06.2025 was sent to them through registered post but even though they are absent and no submissions have been received from the appellant, which appears that he has nothing to say in this regard in this case and/or he does not intend to pursue the case.
- 3. Keeping in view the above prevailing circumstances, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. As such, the present case is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh SagarLakshya, Advocate	
Chamber No. 4031,	
4th Floor, Lawyers Chamber Complex,	
Part-II, District Courts, Ludhiana	Appellant
.Vs	
Public Information Officer,	
O/o MC, Zone-A,	
Ludhiana	
First Appellate Authority	
O/o Commissioner, MC, Ludhiana	Respondent

AC No.434of 2024

Present:-

Dated: 30.09.2025

- (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant
- (ii) for the respondent: Sh. Naveen Kumar, Clerk (8284805972)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 25.06.2025 vide which the appellant was not present and the respondent stated that the reply has been sent to the appellant. Further the appellant was asked to file his reply/rejoinder.

2. Today the appellant is again absent. Neither he has informed the Commission about his absence nor he has sent any rejoinder as directed by the Commission during the last hearing. Even the last orders dated 25.06.2025 sent to him has not been returned undelivered which makes it clear that the same has been duly received by him. As the Appellant is absent for second consecutive hearing before the undersigned and nothing has been heard from him regarding the receipt of information. Hence, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the reply of the respondent. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Jagdish Chand, (M-95929-1312 419, Block B, Adarsh Nagar, Naya Gaon, Distt Mohali-160103	23)		Appellant
114,4 4461, 21861 1611411 16016	.Vs		
Public Information Officer, O/o AIGP, Personnel-2, Pb PP Hqrs, Sector-9, Chandigarh			
First Appellate Authority O/o AIGP, Personnel-2, Pb PP Hqrs, Sector-9, Chandigarh			
			Respondent
	A (2 B)	 C O O O A	

AC No.688 of 2024

Present: -

- (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant
- (ii) For the respondent: Sh. Narinder Singh, (8727800286)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 25.06.2025 vide which the appellant was not present.

- 2. Today the appellant is not present. He has sent a letter dated 04.09.2025 that he has received the information.
- 3. Respondent stated that the information has been sent to the appellant.
- 4. Since the appellant has received the information, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) **State Information Commissioner** Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



ShKuldip Kumar Chopra, (M-9814798929)	
#12-A, New Shanker Garden,	
Gujju Peer Road, Jalandhar-144008	Appellant
.Vs	
Public Information Officer,	
O/o Commissioner,	
MC, Jalandhar	
First Appellate Authority	
O/o Commissioner,	
MC, Jalandhar	Respondent
AC No.3261 o	<u>f 2024</u>

Present:-

- (i) Sh. Kuldip Kumar the appellant
- (ii) for the respondent: Sh. Vikrant Sohatra, Accountant (9646074848)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 25.06.2025 vide which all the points relating to the RTI of the appellant was discussed between both the parties. Accordingly the respondent was directed to file point wise reply.

- 2. Today the appellant states that he is not satisfied with the information provided by the respondent. He further states that he has not been provided the reply within the time as prescribed under the RTI Act 2005. He has, therefore, suffered mental harassment and financial loss in attending the hearings in the Commission. For this the appellant demands that the Respondent be penalized
- 3. Respondent states that the point wise reply has been sent to the appellant as well as to the Commission.
- 4. The perusal of the RTI shows that the appellant demanded information in question form. The appellant may note that it is settled legal position that information that can be provided under the RTI Act is that which is already on record of the Public Authority. The PIO cannot be asked to create any record for answering the RTI questions. As per the Supreme Court decision in Khanapuram Gandaiah vs Administrative Officer & Ors on 4 January, 2010 in SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO.34868 OF 2009 the definition of information as given under Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005, shows that an applicant under Section 6 of the RTI Act can get any information which is already in existence and

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



AC No.3261 of 2024

accessible to the public authority under law. Of course, under the RTI Act an applicant is entitled to get copy of the opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc., but he cannot ask for any information as to why such opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc. have been passed.

Still, the respondent has given him reply. After going through the reply, it is has been ascertained that the Respondent has provided appropriate and permissible reply to the Appellant. Further, it has also been ascertained that this is a case of grievance of the appellant who has attempted to find redressal thereof by filling second appeal in the commission. The perception of the appellant that use of RTI Act can be employed as a mechanism for redressal of his grievance is beyond the paradigm of the Act. The Commission advises the appellant to approach the competent forum or court for redressal of his grievance as it is unable to go outside the jurisdiction stipulated by the RTI Act.

- 6. The perusal of documents available on the case files, it is observed that the reply/information has been sent to the appellant but not within stipulated period as prescribed under the RTI Act 2005. For this the respondent tenders unconditional apology regarding delay occurred in this case and made oral submissions in this regard, which reveals that the delay was not deliberate. Therefore this is not a fit case for imposition of penalty.
- 7. It has also been noted that Hon'ble Delhi High Court in W.P.(C) 11271/2009 Registrar of Companies & Ors v. Dharmendra Kumar Garg & Anr. (delivered on: 01.06.2012) has held as under:
 - "61. Even if it were to be assumed for the sake of argument, that the view taken by the learned Central Information Commissioner in the impugned order was correct, and that the PIOs were obliged to provide the information, which was otherwise retrievable by the querist by resort to Section 610 of the Companies Act, it could not be said that the information had been withheld malafide or deliberately without any reasonable cause. It can happen that the PIO may genuinely and bonafidely entertain the belief and hold the view that the information sought by the querist cannot be provided for one or the other reasons. Merely because the CIC eventually finds that the view taken by the PIO was not correct, it cannot automatically lead to issuance of a showcause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act and the imposition of penalty. The legislature

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Dated: 30.09.2025



AC No.3261 of 2024

has cautiously provided that only in cases of malafides or unreasonable conduct, i.e., where the PIO, without reasonable cause refuses to receive the application, or provide the information, or knowingly gives incorrect, incomplete or misleading information or destroys the information, that the personal penalty on the PIO can be imposed. This was certainly not one such case. If the CIC starts imposing penalty on the PIOs in every other case, without any justification, it would instill a sense of constant apprehension in those functioning as PIOs in the public authorities, and would put undue pressure on them. They would not be able to fulfill their statutory duties under the RTI Act with an independent mind and with objectivity. Such consequences would not auger well for the future development and growth of the regime that the RTI Act seeks to bring in, and may lead to skewed and imbalanced decisions by the PIOs Appellate Authorities and the CIC. It may even lead to unreasonable and absurd orders and bring the institutions created by the RTI Act in disrepute."

8 Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties, no further intervention of the Commission is warranted in the matter and this Appeal stands **disposed and closed** accordingly. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Appellant

Sh. Nitin Kumar Garg, C/o Police Public Dairy, 15 A, Shastari Nagar Model Town, Ludhiana. M: 7814322100

Versus

Public Information Officer. O/o XEN, Construction Division, PWD B&R, Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Superintending Engineer, PWD B&R, Construction Circle, Pathankot.

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 3226 OF 2023

Present:-(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) for the respondent: Sh. Ambik Kumar, Junior Engineer (9781439704)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 25.06.2025 vide which the appellant was not present.

- 2. The appellant is again absent today. He has sent an email that he has received the information, but the same is not attested.
- 3. Respondent states that the information has been sent to the appellant. .
- 4. Since the appellant has not received attested copy of information, Respondent is directed to again send attested copy of information to the appellant within ten days from the receipt of this order. With these directions, the above said appeal case is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties. *However, the liberty is granted to the* appellant to approach the Commission within one month in case he has not received attested copy of information.

Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



ShSukhwinder Singh, (M-9814910432)	
S/o ShJawahar Singh,	
# 3260, Ward No. 8,	
Sirhind, Tehsil &Distt- Fatehgarh	
Sahib-140406 (RTI application no. 94285)	Appellant
Ve	

Public Information Officer,

0/o Chairman. Pb Subordinate Service Selection Board, Forest Complex, Sector-68, SAS Nagar, Punjab-160062

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chairman. Pb Subordinate Service Selection Board, Forest Complex, Sector-68, SAS Nagar, Punjab-160062

.....Respondent

AC No.499 of 2024

(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant Present:-

(ii) for the respondent: Sh. Amanpreet Singh, Sr Assistant -cum-APIO

(9780240261)

ORDER

Dated: 30.09.2025

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 25.06.2025 vide which the appellant was not present and the respondent stated that the reply has been sent to the appellant. Further the appellant was asked to file his reply/rejoinder.

2. Today the appellant is again absent. Neither he has informed the Commission about his absence nor he has sent any rejoinder as directed by the Commission during the last hearing. Even the last orders dated 25.06.2025 sent to him has not been returned undelivered which makes it clear that the same has been duly received by him. As the Appellant is absent for second consecutive hearing before the undersigned and nothing has been heard from him regarding the receipt of information. Hence, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the reply of the respondent. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties. Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Darshan Jindal, (M-94172-27460)	
ShaheedBhagat Singh Colony,	
Street no. 7, RampuraPhull,	
DisttBathinda	
(M-9417227460)	Appellant
.Vs	
Public Information Officer,	
O/o DEO (SE) Bathinda	
First Appellate Authority	
O/o DEO (SE) Bathinda	Respondent

AC No.5436 of 2024

Present :-None for the parties

ORDER

Dated: 30.09.2025

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 25.06.2025 vide which the appellant was not present and the respondent stated that the information has been sent to the appellant. Further the appellant was asked to point out deficiencies.

2. Today neither the appellant nor the respondent is present. The perusal of the case file shows that the appellant is absent for second consecutive hearing today in the Commission. He has not even informed the Commission about his absence. As directed in the previous hearing, the appellant has not even pointed out any deficiencies in the information provided. Even the last orders dated 25.06.2025 sent to him has not been returned undelivered which makes it clear that the same has been duly received by him. As the Appellant is absent for second consecutive hearing before the undersigned and nothing has been heard from him regarding the receipt of information. Hence, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Sanjeev Manhas, (M-9417500807) S/o Sh Swarn Singh Manhas, Village Nihalpur, Tehsil & DisttPathankot

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Pathankot

First Appellate Authority, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Pathankot

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4660 OF 2023

Present:- None for the parties.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.06.2025 vide which the appellant was not present and the respondent stated that the information sought by the appellant is very voluminous. Hence a reply submitted by the respondent was sent to the appellant to visit the office of the respondent on any working day.

- 2. Today neither the appellant nor the respondent is present. They have even not informed the Commission about their absence for today's hearing.
- 3. The perusal of the RTI shows that the appellant demanded voluminous information and he was given opportunity to visit the office of the respondent to inspect the record. But today the appellant is not present. He has even not informed the Commission about his absence, which transpires that his absence is intentional and wilful and/or and no submissions have been received from him in the titled case, which appears that either he has nothing to say in this case and/or does not intend to *pursue the case*.

However, the appellant may note that the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No.6454 of 2011 titled as Central Board of Secondary Education Vs Adiitya Bandopadhy and ors. has rightly observed that 75% of the staff of public authorities spends

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Dated: 30.09.2025



APPEAL CASE NO. 4660 OF 2023

75% of their time in collecting and furnishing information to applicants under the RTI Act. It has further been observed by the Apex Court that Act should not lead to employees of public authorities prioritizing 'information furnishing' at the cost of their normal and regular duties. The appeal is, therefore **disposed of and closed with these directions** to visit the office of the respondent on working day and inspect the respondent is directed to present the requisite record for inspection which can be provided to the Appellant in view of the provisions of the RTI Act. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Sanjay Rajpal, (M-9815606602) S/o Dr Hukam Chand Rajpal, #205, Housefed Apartments, Tehsil & Distt Jalandhar-144009 (RTI application no. 58968)

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer. O/o Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority, O/o Joint Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Pb Chandigarh

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4681 OF 2023

Present:-(i) None for the appellant

(ii) for the respondent: Sh Rohit Pant, Inspector (7428103388)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.06.2025 vide which 18.06.2025 vide which the reply filed by the respondent, was sent to the appellant along with the orders with directions, to file his rejoinder, if any.

- 2. The appellant is not present today.
- 3. The respondent states that, as directed the appellant has not filed any rejoinder.
- 4. The perusal of the case file shows that the appellant is not present today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence nor filed any rejoinder to the reply of the respondent. Even the last orders dated 18.06.2025 sent to him has not been returned undelivered which makes it clear that the same has been duly received by him. As the Appellant is absent for second consecutive hearing and nothing has been heard from him regarding the receipt of information. Hence, it is presumed that he does not want to pursue

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Dated: 30.09.2025



APPEAL CASE NO. 4681 OF 2023

the case any further. Hence, no further cause of action is left. With this the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Ms VarshaKakkar, H No 356G, GovindVihar, Baltana, Zirakpur, Distt Mohali (M-62843-40814)

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Registrar of Firms & Societies, Punjab Sector-17, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority, O/o Registrar of Firms & Societies, Punjab Sector-17, Chandigarh

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4747 OF 2023

Present:- (i) Ms VarshaKakkar, appellant along with Sh Kamal Kakkar

(ii) for the respondent: Sh Balvir Singh, SrAsstt. (94653-41480)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.06.2025 vide which an opportunity was given to the respondent to appear before the Commission and state his case.

- 2. The appellant states that no information has been provided to him till date.
- 3. The respondent states that the information has already been sent to the appellant through registered post. He also shows that posting proof regarding the same. He adds that he has also brought copy of the information today which is handed over to the appellant today.
- 3. The perusal of the case file shows that the appellant through her RTI application dated 11.04.2023 has sought the following information
 - "1. Ever since the govind vihar residents welfare association launched, Has Ram Kumar and Jai Singh ever been the presidents of this association?
 - 2. What is the registration no. under your cooperative societies associations? Please give information."

The respondent has sent his reply to the appellant as under:-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Dated: 30.09.2025



APPEAL CASE NO. 4747 OF 2023

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਫਤਰੀ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਦੀ ਗੋਵਿੰਦ ਵਿਹਾਰ ਰੈਜੀਡੈਟਸ ਵੈਲਫੇਅਰ ਐਸੋਸੀਏਸ਼ਨ ਦੀ ਰਜਿਸਟਰੇਸ਼ਨ ਸਾਲ 2015-16 ਸਮੇਂ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਪ੍ਰਦੀਪ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਪ੍ਰਧਾਨ ਸਨ ਇਸ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਸੁਸਾਇਟੀ ਵਲੋਂ ਕਦੇ ਵੀ ਗਵਰਨਿੰਗ ਬਾਡੀ ਫਾਈਨ ਨਹੀ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਗਈ।

2 ਕਾਅਪੋਰੇਟਿਵ ਸੁਸਾਇਟੀ ਰਜਿਸਟਰੇਸ਼ਨ ਐਕਟ ਰਜਿਸਟਰਾਰ ਆਫ ਕਾਅਪੋਰੇਟਿਵ ਸੁਸਾਇਟੀ ਕੋਲ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਵੱਖਰਾ ਐਕਟ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਸੁਸਾਇਟੀ ਰਜਿਸਟਰੇਸ਼ਨ ਐਕਟ 1860 ਅਧੀਨ ਸੁਸਾਇਟੀ ਰਜਿਸਟਰਡ ਕੀਤੀਆ ਜਾਦੀਆ ਹਨ।

3 ਦਫਤਰੀ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਦੀ ਗੋਵਿੰਦ ਵਿਹਾਰ ਰੈਜੀਡੈਟਸ ਵੈਲਫੇਅਰ ਐਸੋਸੀਏਸ਼ਨ ਦੀ ਰਜਿਸਟਰੇਸ਼ਨ ਸਾਲ 2015-16 ਸਮੇਂ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਪ੍ਰਦੀਪ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਪ੍ਰਧਾਨ ਸਨ ਇਸ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਸੁਸਾਇਟੀ ਵਲੋਂ ਕਦੇ ਵੀ ਗਵਰਨਿੰਗ ਬਾਡੀ ਫਾਈਲ ਨਹੀ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਗਈ।

In view of the above, it is ascertained that the respondent has adequately addressed the RTI of the appellant. Hence, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Scanned by Camera Scanner

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



ShJasbir Singh, (M-9888296107) Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bholapur, PO Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Distt Ludhiana

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o SHO, Police Station, Jamalpur, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 158 OF 2024

Present:-

(i) Sh Jasbir Singh, appellant

(ii) for the respondent: Sh Karmjeet Singh, HC (87259-56690)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.06.2025.

- 2. The respondent states that the complete information has been provided to the appellant.
- The appellant states that the information has been provided to him and he is 3. satisfied with it.
- 4. Since the appellant is satisfied therefore, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) **State Information Commissioner** Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



ShJasbir Singh, (M-9888296107) Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bholapur, PO Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Distt Ludhiana

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Principal Secretary, Local Government, Pb, Municipal Bhawan, Plot no.-3, Sector 35A, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority, O/o Principal Secretary, Local Government, Pb, Municipal Bhawan Plot no.-3, Sector 35A, Chandigarh

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 166 OF 2024

Present:-

Dated: 30.09.2025

(i) ShJasbir Singh, appellant

(ii) for the respondent: ShRohit Jindal, VO (98158-30750)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.06.2025.

- 2. The appellant states that no information has been provided to him.
- 3. The respondent states that the information sought by the appellant is still under enquiry. Hence, the same cannot be provided u/s 8(1)(h) of the act.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and perusing the documents placed on record, it is ascertained that the information sought by the appellant is under enquiry and the same cannot be provided. Therefore, no further cause of action is left. However, the respondent is directed to provide complete information to the appellant, as and when, the enquiry is finalized. With this, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



ShJasbir Singh, (M-9888296107) Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bholapur, PO Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Distt Ludhiana

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 159 OF 2024

Present:- (i) Sh. Jasbir Singh, Appellant

(ii) for the respondent:

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.06.2025 vide which the respondent was directed to provide complete information to the appellant.

- 2. The respondent states that the complete information has been provided to the appellant.
- 3. The appellant states that the information has been provided to him and he is satisfied with it.
- 4. Since the appellant is satisfied therefore, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Jasbir Singh, (M-9888296107) Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bholapur, PO Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Distt Ludhiana

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority. O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 169 OF 2024

Present :-

(i) Sh Jasbir Singh, Appellant

(ii) For the respondent: Sh Tarsem Chand, ASI (94632-02689)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.06.2025 vide which the respondent was directed to remove the deficiencies, as pointed out by the appellant, and provide complete information to him.

- 2. The respondent states that the complete information has been provided to the appellant.
- 3. The appellant states that the information has been provided to him and he is satisfied with it.
- Since the appellant is satisfied therefore, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, 4. the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) **State Information Commissioner** Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Jasbir Singh, (M-9888296107) Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bholapur, PO Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Distt Ludhiana

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 170 OF 2024

Present :-

(i) ShJasbir Singh, Appellant

(ii) for the respondent: Sh Tarsem Chand, ASI (94632-02689)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.06.2025 vide which the respondent was directed to provide information pertaining to UID No. 81289.

- 2. The respondent states that the information has been provided to the appellant.
- 3. The appellant states that the information has been provided to him and he is satisfied with it.
- 4. Since the appellant is satisfied therefore, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) **State Information Commissioner** Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.J.K.Jain, # 79-B, Rakh Bagh, Civil Lines, Ludhiana

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Punjab Water Regulation and Development Authority. Chandigarh

Respondents

COMPLAINT CASE NO.12 OF 2025

Present:-

(i) Sh Jagdeep Singh, Counsel for the appellant

(ii) for the respondent: Ms Kamlesh Kumari, PIO (9501506640)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 21.08.2025 vide which the respondent was directed to file her reply for the delay in providing the information.

- 2. Today as directed the respondent-PIO has filed her reply. After going through the same, the Commission is of the considered opinion that there is no intentional or willful delay on the part of the respondent in providing the information to the complainant. In view of the aforementioned the plea of the complainant for imposing penalty on the PIO is not tenable. The reply submitted by the Respondent is found satisfactory.
- In view of the above, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed 3. by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) **State Information Commissioner** Punjab