RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Surinderpal Singh, (86862 78000)

C/o Shri Harjit Singh Dhillon, R/o #804-A, Ground Floor, HIG, Jamalpur, Ludhiana-141010

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Secretary, RTA,

Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Regional Transport Office,

Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Secretary, RTA,

Ludhiana.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 0106 OF 2022

Date of RTI	Date of	Date of First	Date of Order, if	Date of Appeal/
	Reply, if	Appeal made, if	any of FAA	Complaint
Application	any of PIO	any	-	Filed in Commission
03.07.2021		06.08.2021		28.12.2021

Appellant: Sh. Jasbir Singh (Appellant's representative)

Respondent: Sh. Abhishek Bansal (ATO-cum-PIO), Sh. Hari Om (Sr. Assistant) 9815256996

, Ms. Neelam (Clerk)

ORDER (8th Hearing):

- 1. This Order may be read with reference with previous order of the Commission dated 19.11.2024 vide which the Commission had made the following findings and directions:
- Findings: The RTI application was properly addressed, and the reply/information was provided as per the RTI Act.
- Directions: Despite the findings, the appellant was given another opportunity to represent the case. The appellant was required to appear on the next date of hearing, failing which the case would be decided ex-parte.
- 2. In today's hearing, Sh. Jasbir Singh appeared on behalf of the appellant but was not aware of the case properly. Reapondent PIO, Sh. Abhishek Bansal stated that the available information/reply had been provided to the appellant to the best extent as per the RTI act 2005.
- 3. The Commission has examined both the replies of appellant and respondent and is of the considered view that these replies adequately address the RTI application. There is no further cause of action. The appeal is accordingly, **Disposed of.**

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab. Chandigarh

27.11.2024

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Advocate Tejinder Singh, (90410 04313)

Civil Court, Tehsil Complex,

Backside Sanjh Kender, Phillaur-144410

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Secretary,

Regional Transport Authority,

Ludhiana.Res APPEAL CASE NO. 2530 OF 2023

....Respondents

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of PIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of Order, if any of FAA	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission
27.01.2023		27.02.2023	-	17.04.2023

Appellant: Sh. Jasbir Singh (Appellant's representative)

Respondent: Sh. Abhishek Bansal (ATO-cum-PIO), Sh. Hari Om (Sr. Assistant) 9815256996

, Ms. Neelam (Clerk)

ORDER (2nd Hearing):

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the commission dated 23.10.2024.
- 2. The Respondent submitted a written submission dated 17.11.2024 from the Appellant, wherein the Appellant stated that he had received the information related to the passing of vehicles. On this the respondent PIO requested to close the case, as the requisite information had been supplied.
- 3. In view of the Appellant's submission, the Commission is satisfied that the requisite information has been supplied to the Appellant. As the Appellant's grievance has been redressed, no further cause of action is required to be taken. The case is hereby **closed.**

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab. Chandigarh

27.11.2024

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Bhushan Jain,

(81463 00392)

RTI Activist,

R/o # 392, Street No. 3, Nirmal Nagar,

Dugri, Ludhiana.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Secretary,

Regional Transport Authority,

Ludhiana.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 5456 OF 2022

.Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of PIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of Order, if any of FAA	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission
29.06.2022		07.09.2022		10.11.2022

Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Abhishek Bansal (ATO-cum-PIO), Sh. Hari Om (Sr. Assistant) 9815256996

, Ms. Neelam (Clerk)

ORDER (5th Hearing):

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the commission dated 23.10.2024.
- 2. The Appellant is absent for the second time without intimation. The Respondent PIO submitted that the sought information is available on the website of Punjab Transport (www.punjabtransport.org)
- 3. The Respondent PIO has submitted a written submission vide letter reference no. 115 dated 30.09.2024 during the hearing , which was also sent to the Appellant through registered post dated 07.10.2024.
- 4. Further he, apologized for his absence on the previous hearing dates, and made a written submission during hearing vide letter reference no. 162 dated 27.11.2024, stating that they were short of staff and had an excessive workload. He assured the Commission that he would take care to ensure compliance in the future. The Respondent PIO also requested the Commission to waive off the penalty of Rs. 5,000/- imposed on him on the previous hearing date, 09.08.2023.

Decision:

5._In view of Section 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commission notes that if the information is available on the website of Punjab Transport, and the Appellant should obtain it from the said website.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



APPEAL CASE NO. 5456 OF 2022

- 6. As the Appellant is absent for the second time without intimation, the Commission presumes that the Appellant is satisfied with the reply or does not wish to pursue the case further. In view of the above, the case is closed due to the non-appearance of the Appellant.
- 4. The request of the Respondent PIO to waive off the penalty of Rs. 5,000/- imposed on him is accepted, considering his apology and assurance to ensure compliance in the future. <u>The penalty imposed on the Respondent PIO on the previous hearing date</u>, 09.08.2023, is hereby waived off.

This case is hereby **Disposed of and Closed**, accordingly.

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.
Chandigarh
27.11.2024

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Gurjit Singh, (94631 38069)

S/o Shri Gurdev Singh, R/o Giana, Tehsil Talwandi Saboo, District Bathinda.

....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Bathinda

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0256 OF 2023

Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Jaspreet Singh (Office Kanugo)

ORDER (2nd Hearing):

- 1. The above mentioned complaint Case was fixed for hearing before the Bench of Hon'ble State Information Commissioner, Punjab Shri Anumit Singh Sodhi. The Registry Branch vide his office order no. PSIC/Legal/2024/480, dated 04.09.2024 has re-allocated the above mentioned case to the undersigned bench. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing today.
- 2. The Complainant was absent for the second time without intimation. The Respondent PIO, represented by Sh. Jashanpreet (Kanungo), submitted that the requisite information was sent to the Complainant vide letter no. 3396 dated 22.10.2024, a copy of which was submitted in the Commission today vide reference no. 3486 dated 26.11.2024.
- 3. After going through the case file and supplied information, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been adequately addressed by the PIO. However, as the Complainant is absent for the second time for hearing ,without intimation, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided or does not wish to pursue the complaint case anymore. In view of the above, this case is **disposed of** on the Complainant's non-appearance.

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.
Chandigarh
27.11.2024

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Anil Singla, (98882 88316)

R/o # MCB-Z4-03542,

Barnala Bye Pass, Opp. Flyover,

Near Bibi Wala Chowk, Kamla Nehru Colony,

Bathinda.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer.

O/o Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies, District Administrative Complex, Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Joint Registrar,

Cooperative Societies, Ferozepur.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 0749 OF 2023

Date of RTI	Date of	Date of First	Date of Order, if	Date of Appeal/
Application	Reply, if any of PIO	Appeal made, if any	any of FAA	Complaint Filed in Commission
22.03.2022	11.05.2022	22.09.2022	-	19.01.2023

PRESENT:

Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Harpreet Singh (Branch Manager)

ORDER (4th Hearing):

- 1. The above mentioned Appeal Case was fixed for hearing before the Bench of Hon'ble State Information Commissioner, Punjab Shri Anumit Singh Sodhi. The Registry Branch vide his office order no. PSIC/Legal/2024/480, dated 04.09.2024 has re-allocated the above mentioned case to the undersigned bench. Accordingly, the case is fixed for hearing today.
- 2. The Respondent PIO's representative, Sh. Harpreet Singh, stated that the sought information had already been supplied to the Appellant in AC: 2828/2021, which was heard by the Ld. Bench of Maninder Singh Patti and was disposed of on merits.
- 3. After reviewing the case file and reply of the Respondent, the Commission finds that the information has already been supplied in the said case.
- 4. Further, the Commission observes that any repetition of a RTI application or adding one or twopoints to the already sought information via previous RTI application would cause waste of time and energy of the Public Authority & the Commission, which is unreasonable as the appellant is already holding the information given to his earlier application.

Therefore, the Commission advises the appellant, to restrain himself from abuse by repetition of a RTI application in the future. Accordingly, the <u>case is disposed of</u>.

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.
Chandigarh
27.11.2024.