Ph: 0172-2864120

(NA 00000(4E422)

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sn Pawan Kumar Verma, (M-9888615433)	
S/o ShBaldev Raj, # 347 A, Surya Enclave.	
Near Ekta Nagar, Railway Crossing,	
Jalandhar-I-144009	
	Appellant
.Vs	• •
Public Information Officer,	
O/o DRO, Jalandhar	
First Appellate Authority	
O/o DC, Jalandhar	Respondent

## AC No 3542 of 2024

Present:-None for the parties.

#### **ORDER**

- 1. The RTI application is dated 27.02.2024 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 20.05.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 26.11.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.
- 3. Today neither the appellant nor the respondent is present. An email has been received from the respondent that the information has already been sent to the appellant. He has also sent the acknowledgment given by the Appellant in token of having received the information.
- 4. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) **State Information Commissioner** Puniab

Dated: 26.11.2025

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



ShPardeep Singh,	
S/o Sh Jai Singh,	
Village Bhana, Tehsil- Faridkot-151	2023
(RTI application no. 88732)	Appellant
	.Vs
Public Information Officer,	
O/o Director, Technical Education	
And industrial Training, Pb	
Plot No.1, Sector 36A, Chandigarh	
First Appellate Authority	
o/o Director, Technical Education	
And industrial Training, Pb	
Plot No.1, Sector 36A, Chandigarh	Respondent

#### AC No 348 of 2024

Present:-(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) for the respondent: Sh. Balwinder Singh, APIO (9914219912), Sh.

Vikramjeet Singh, Clerk (7888834855)

#### **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 11.09.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 16.09.2025 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 26.11.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.
- 3. The appellant is not present today.
- The respondent states that the information has already been provided to the 4. appellant. He has also brought the copy of information today.
- 5. The perusal of the case file shows that the appellant is not present today. He has not even informed the Commission about his absence. Even the notice of hearing sent to him has not been returned undelivered which makes it clear that the same has been duly received by him. Further, the respondent states that the information has been sent to the appellant. He has also brought the copy of information today. After going through the same,

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Dated: 26.11.2025



## AC No 348 of 2024

it is ascertained that the respondent has adequately addressed the RTI of the appellant. Hence, no further cause of action is left. The copy of information, as brought by the respondent is also sent to the appellant along with these orders. With this the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within one month, if he is not satisfied. Copy of the order be sent to the parties Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)

State Information Commissioner Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



ShGurdeep Singh Dhingi,	
S/o ShHarnam Singh,	
R/o Block No.1, House No.390,	
DharampuraMohalla,	
Dhuri, DisttSangrur	Appellant
.Vs	
Public Information Officer,	
O/o Divisional Forest Officer,	
Roopnagar	
First Appellate Authority	
O/o Conservator of Forests,	
Van Bhawan, Shivalik Circle, Sector-68	
SAS Nagar, Mohali	Respondent

#### AC No 398 of 2024

(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant Present:-

(ii) for the respondent: Sh. Harish Kumar, Clerk (9779262151)

#### **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 09.09.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 01.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 26.11.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.
- 3. Today the appellant is not present. Respondent states that the information has already been sent to the appellant. He has also submitted the acknowledgment given by the Appellant in token of having received the information. The same is taken on record.
- 4. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab

\Dated :26.11.2025

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Gurdeep Singh Dhingi,	
S/o ShHarnam Singh,	
R/o Block No.1, House No.390,	
DharampuraMohalla,	
Dhuri, DisttSangrur	Appellant
.Vs	• •
Public Information Officer,	
O/o Divisional Forest Officer,	
Roopnagar	
First Appellate Authority	
O/o Conservator of Forests,	
Van Bhawan, Shivalik Circle, Sector-68	
SAS Nagar, Mohali	Respondent
AC No 400 of 2024	•

Present:-

Dated: 26.11.2025

- (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant
- (ii) for the respondent: Sh. Harish Kumar, Clerk (9779262151)

#### **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 01.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 01.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 26.11.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.
- Today the appellant is not present. Respondent states that the information has 3. already been sent to the appellant. He has also submitted the acknowledgment given by the Appellant in token of having received the information. The same is taken on record.
- In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said 4. appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Ms Manju Sharma,		
w/o Late ShNaresh Sharma,		
House No. 359, Old Sarai,		
Near SatpalKaliya Di Puranikothi,		
Khanna, Distt Ludhiana		Appellant
	.Vs	
<b>Public Information Officer,</b>		
O/o PSPCL,Patiala		
First Appellate Authority O/o CMD, PSPCL, Patiala		Respondent
	AC No 494 of 2024	

(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

# **ORDER**

Present:-

The RTI application is dated 01.02.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 24.04.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

(ii) For the respondent: (i) Sh. Vijay Kumar, Dy. Secy.-cum-PIO (9646122232) and Sh. Gurinder Singh, Sr. Assistant (9646103311)

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 26.11.2025 in the Commission i.e. todav.
- 3. The appellant is absent today.
- 4. Respondent states that the information has already been sent to the appellant. He further states that the information has also been sent in the Commission.
- 5. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is observed that the information has been sent to the appellant by the respondent. The appellant is not present today. However copy of the information as sent by the respondent is taken on record. The appellant can collect the same from the Commission office on any working day.

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



#### AC No 494 of 2024

6. With these directions the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is disposed of and closed. *However, liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within one month, in case he is not satisfied.* Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)

Dated :26.11.2025
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

**Note**: Sh. Adarsh Kumar on behalf of the appellant appeared before the Commission after the hearing was over. He was read out the above order. Information as submitted by the respondent is handed over to the representative of the appellant. The appeal case stands **disposed of and closed**. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within one month, in case he is not satisfied. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
Dated :26.11.2025
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



ShInderjit Singh, (M-9464794411)	
S/o Sh joginder Singh,	
R/o House no. 483/119,	
Dr Kakkar Nursing Home wali Street,	
Mohalla Shastri Nagar,	
Railway Road, Malerkotla-148023	Appellant
.Vs	
Public Information Officer,	
O/o Civil Surgeon, Malerkotla	
First Appellate Authority	
O/o Civil Surgeon, Malerkotla	Respondent

## AC No 1262 of 2025

Present:-

- (i) None for the appellant
- (ii) for the respondent: PIO-Dr Sahilpreet Singh, MO (9646309627) and

Sh Ajay Kumar, JrAsstt. (94642-23446)

## **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 22.04.2024 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 17.02.2025 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 26.11.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is not present today.
- 4. The respondent states that the information has been provided to the appellant. Further, he has also filed a copy of the receiving given by the appellant in lieu of receiving the information. Copy of the same is taken on record.
- 5. Since the appellant is satisfied therefore, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) **State Information Commissioner** Punjab

Dated: 26.11.2025

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Dinesh Kumar,		
S/o ShSatpal Mittal,		
R/o Village Bajakhana, Tehsil Jaito,		
Distt Faridkot-151205		Appellant
(M-7696733113)		
	.Vs	
Public Information Officer,		
O/o SSP,Bathinda		
First Appellate Authority		
O/o IGP, Bathinda range, Bathinda		Responden

#### AC No 315of 2024

Present:-(i) None for the appellant

(ii) for the respondent : Sh Resham Singh ASI

## **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 15.05.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 22.12.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 26.11.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is not present today.
- 4. The respondent states that the information sought by the appellant cannot be provided as the Challan in FIR No. 7 dated 26.02.2019, regarding which the appellant has demanded information, has already been filed in the concerned Court on 31.03.2023. The respondent has also filed his reply regarding the same, which is taken on record.
- 5. The perusal of the case file shows that the appellant is not present today. He has not even informed the Commission about his absence. Even the notice of hearing sent to him has not been returned undelivered which makes it clear that the same has been duly received by him. Further, as intimated by the respondent, the requisite Challan in this case has already been presented in the Court on 31.03.2023 and the entire record has been exhibited in the concerned Court. Hence, the appellant may seek the remaining from the PIO of the concerned court by filling a fresh application.

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Dated: 26.11.2025



## AC No 315of 2024

6. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Gurdeep Singh Dhingi,		
S/o ShHarnam Singh,		
R/o Block No.1, House No.390,		
DharampuraMohalla,		
Dhuri, DisttSangrur		Appellant
	.Vs	
<b>Public Information Officer,</b>		
O/o BDPO, Malerkotla-2		
First Appellate Authority		
O/o ADC, Malerkotla		Respondent

## AC No 401of 2024

(i) None for the appellant Present:-

(ii) for the respondent: Sh Harmel Singh, Supdt.

## **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 12.06.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 01.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 26.11.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is not present today.
- 4. The respondent states that the information has already been provided to the appellant. He has also brought the copy of information today, which is taken on record.
- 5. The perusal of the case file shows that the appellant is not present today. He has not even informed the Commission about his absence. Even the notice of hearing sent to him has not been returned undelivered which makes it clear that the same has been duly received by him. Further, the respondent states that the information has been sent to the appellant. He has also brought the copy of information today. After going through the same, it is ascertained that the respondent has adequately addressed the RTI of the appellant. Hence, no further cause of action is left. The copy of information, as brought by the

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



## AC No 401of 2024

respondent is also sent to the appellant along with these orders. With this the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within one month, if case he is not satisfied. Copy of the order be sent to the parties Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Dated :26.11.2025

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Jaipal Singh Bhinder, (M-9780555430)	
# 79/2, Ward NO 16,	
Krishana Nagar, Jail Road,	
Gurdaspur-143521	Appellant
.Vs	• •
<b>Public Information Officer,</b>	
O/o Principal Secretary,	
Water Resources deptt, Punjab	
Punjab Civil Secretariat-II, Sector-9, Chd	
First Appellate Authority	
O/o Principal Secretary,	
Water Resources deptt, Punjab	
Punjab Civil Secretariat-II, Sector-9, Chd	Respondent

## AC No 486 of 2024

Present:-

(i) Sh Jaipal Singh Bhinder, appellant

(ii) for the respondent: PIO-Ms Saroj Kumari, Supdt (94171-83367)

And Sh Manpreet Singh Brar, SrAsstt. (98887-56660)

## **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 28.08.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 20.12.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 26.11.2025 in the Commission.
- The respondent states that the appellant has demanded certain information 3. regarding the inquiry report of officials, as mentioned in his RTI application. She adds that the information related to the appellant has already been provided to him but the rest of the information is third -party information hence, the same cannot be provided.
- The appellant states that he has received the information related to him but he has 4. not received the rest of information. Hence, the same be provided to him
- Before stating anything further, it would be significant to reproduce the subject 5. matter of information of the RTI application itself, which are as follows:-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



#### AC No 486 of 2024

- (i) Inquiry report of Shri N.S. Brar Retd. P.C.S. submitted to Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, dt: 10/04/2023
- (2) Status Report of action taken against following Officers:-
- (i) Sh. Jagdish Raj, Superintending Engg., Draining cum mining & Geology, Amritsar
- (ii) Jaipal Singh Bhinder, Xen Retd.
- (iii) Sh. Sartaj Singh Randhawa, Xen Hoshiarpur, Draining cum mining & Geology, Hoshiarpur.
- (iv) Sh. Charanjit Singh Xen, Kandi Area Hoshiarpur.
- (v) Sh. Gagan, Mining and for a school tiala,

After going through the above, it has been seen and observed that the nature of information sought is mainly the information which has the relevance and governance of the relationship of the employer and the employee and not between this official and the appellant. Accordingly, from the perusal of this RTI application it has become abundantly clear that the purpose of the appellant in seeking this kind of information does not involve any larger public for asking the information as per the details mentioned in his application and it should not be in the public domain as has been upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and other Hon'ble Courts to avoid the humiliation and harassment of the employees. Allowing this kind of information will certainly strengthen the abuse of the process of law.

6. As discussed above the appellant for seeking the personal information in the instant case has not been made with due bona fides and in larger public interest. The furnishing of

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: <a href="mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in">psic25@punjabmail.gov.in</a> Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



AC No 486of 2024

the information asked for , if provided, would cause unwarranted invasion of privacy of the individual under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act and may also lead to intimidation of such officials. Further, the Commission also relied upon the decision dated 13.11.2019 of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No. 10044 of 2010 titled in Central Public Information Officer, Supreme Court of India Vs. Subhash Chandra Aggarwal, regarding Right to Information Act, 2005 in which in para no. 59 of the above referred case had defined 'Personal Information' under the Right to Information Act 2005, the relevant part of the judgment is reproduced below:-

"Reading of the aforesaid judicial precedents, in our opinion, would indicate that personal records, including name, address, Physical, mental and psychological status, marks obtained, grades and answer sheets, are all treated as personal information. Similarly, professional records, including qualification, performance, evaluation reports, ACRs, disciplinary proceedings, etc. Are all personal information. Medical records, treatment, choice of medicine, list of hospitals and doctors visited, findings recorded. Including that of the family members, information, relating to assets, liabilities, Income tax returns, details of the investments, lending and borrowing etc. are personal information. Such personal information is entitled to protection from unwarranted invasion of privacy and conditional access is

available when stipulation of larger public interest is satisfied. This list is indicative and not exhaustive.

7. Hence, the information seeks third party information, which cannot be provided to him as per above said law/directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. Therefore the present appeal filed by the applicant stands **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 26.11.2025

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Shv Som Raj, (M-94649-26383)	
S/o Sh Bishan Dass,	
R/o Vill.ManwalBagh,	
Near Sai Mandir,	
Tehsil &Distt- Pathankot	Appellant
.Vs	
Public Information Officer,	
0/o General Roadways,	
Punjab Roadways, Pathankot	
First Appellate Authority	
O/o Director,	
State Transport Deptt, Sec-17, Chandigarh	Respondent

## AC No 440of 2024

Present:-

- (i) Sh Som Raj, appellant
- (ii) for the respondent: ShPardeep Singh, Clerk (8699666162) (Through CISCO-Webex )

## **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 07.09.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 01.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 26.11.2025 in the Commission. 2.
- 3. The respondent states that the complete information has already been provided to the appellant. Further, the respondent has also filed his point-wise specific reply through e-mail, which is also taken on record. He also adds that the matter of information demanded by the appellant is also pending in CWP no. 15620 of 2025 before the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, filed by the appellant himself, hence the matter of information is also *sub-judice*.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



AC No 440of 2024

- 4. The appellant states that he is not satisfied with the information provided.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and perusing the documents placed on record, it has been ascertained that the respondent has already been provided the information to the appellant, but the appellant demands that calculation sheets, as demanded through his RTI application be prepared again and provided to him. The appellant may note that it is settled legal position that information that can be provided under the RTI Act is that which is already on record of the Public Authority. The PIO cannot be asked to create any record for As the RTI questions. the Supreme Court answering per decision KhanapuramGandaiahvs Administrative Officer &Ors on 4 January, 2010 in SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO.34868 OF 2009 the definition of information as given under Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005, shows that an applicant under Section 6 of the RTI Act can get any information which is already in existence and accessible to the public authority under law. Of course, under the RTI Act an applicant is entitled to get copy of the opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc., but he cannot ask for any information as to why such opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc. have been passed.
- 5. In view of the abvoe, no further cause of action is required to be taken in this case. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Dated: 26.11.2025

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Ms Jyoti, JrAsstt. (8837501266)



ShPawan Kumar Verma, (M-9888615433)	
S/o ShBaldev Raj,	
# 347 A, Surya Enclave.	
Near Ekta Nagar, Railway Crossing,	
Jalandhar-I-144009	
(RTI application no. 108204)	Appellant
.Vs	• •
Public Information Officer,	
O/o Directorate, Department of Social Justice	
Empowernment&minorities,pb	
SCO 6, Sector 55, Phase 1, SAS Nagar	
First Appellate Authority	
O/o Directorate, Department of Social Justice	
Empowernment&minorities,pb	
SCO 6, Sector 55, Phase 1, SAS Nagar	Respondent
500 0, 500tor 55, 1 mase 1, 5115 reagar	Respondent
AC No.3396 of 2024	
Present:- (i) None for the appellant	
(ii) for the respondent : Ms Madhu Bala, Supdt.	(783732100) and

## **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 27.01.2024 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 13.05.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 26.11.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is not present today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence.
- The respondent states that the information sought by the appellant is third-party 4. information. However, she adds that the information demanded is also not available in their official record.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



## AC No.3396 of 2024

- 5. Before stating anything further, it would be significant to reproduce the subject matter of information of the RTI application itself, which are as follows:-
  - (1) ਸਬੰਧਤ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਜਸਪ੍ਰੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ, ਆਈ.ਏ.ਐਸ. ਦੀ ਨਿਯੁਕਤੀ ਤੋਂ ਹੁਣ ਤੱਕ ਇਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਜਾਅਲੀ ਐਸ.ਸੀ. ਸਰਟੀਫਿਕੇਟ ਦੇ ਅਧਾਰ ਤੇ ਨੈਕਰੀ ਲੈਣ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋਈ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸੂਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ।
  - (2) ਸਬੰਧਤ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਵਲੋਂ ਨੇਕਰੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਕਰਨ ਸਮੇਂ ਪੇਸ਼ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਐਸ.ਸੀ. ਸਰਟੀਫਿਕੇਟ ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ।
  - (3) ਸਬੰਧਤ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਨੂੰ ਐਸ.ਸੀ. ਕੋਟੇ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਭਰਤੀ ਕਰਨ ਤੇ ਜਾਰੀ ਨਿਯੁਕਤੀ ਪੱਤਰ ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸੂਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ।
  - (4) ਜੇਕਰ ਇਸ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਨੁਕਤਾ ਨੈ: 1 ਸਬੰਧੀ ਕੋਈ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋਈ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਹਦਾਇਤਾਂ ਮੁਤਾਬਕ ਇਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਉਸੇ ਵਿਭਾਗ (Directorate of Social Justice, Empowerment and Minorities Department) ਦਾ ਡਾਇਰੈਕਟਰ ਜਾਂ ਮਖੀ ਲਗਾ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸੂਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਦੇਣ ਦੀ ਕ੍ਰਿਪਾਲਤਾ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ।
  - (5) ਹੁਣ ਤੱਕ ਇਸ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਵਲੋਂ Reservation Chor Phaddo-Pakka Morcha ਜਾਂ ਹੋਰਨਾਂ ਵਲੋਂ ਜਾਅਲੀ ਸਰਟੀਫਿਕੇਟ ਦੇ ਅਧਾਰ ਤੇ ਨੈਕਰੀ ਲੈਣ ਵਾਲਿਆਂ ਖਿਲਾਫ਼ ਦਾਇਰ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ ਗਈਆਂ ਕਿੰਨੀਆਂ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਾਂ ਦਾ ਨਿਪਟਾਰਾ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀ ਗਿਣਤੀ ਦੱਸੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਅਤੇ ਕੁਲ ਕਿੰਨੀਆਂ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤਾਂ ਦਾਇਰ ਹੋਈਆਂ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀ ਗਿਣਤੀ ਦੱਸੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ। ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਫਾਈਲ ਡਾਉਨਲੋਡ ਕਰ ਲਈ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ।

Scanned by Camera Scanner

After going through the above, it has been seen and observed that the nature of information sought is mainly the information which has the relevance and governance of the relationship of the employer and the employee and not between this official and the appellant. Accordingly, from the perusal of this RTI application it has become abundantly clear that the purpose of the appellant in seeking this kind of information does not involve any larger public for asking the information as per the details mentioned in his application and it should not be in the public domain as has been upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and other Hon'ble Courts to avoid the humiliation and harassment of the employees. Allowing this kind of information will certainly strengthen the abuse of the process of law.

6. As discussed above the appellant for seeking the personal information in the instant case has not been made with due bona fides and in larger public interest. The furnishing of

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



AC No.3396 of 2024

the information asked for , if provided, would cause unwarranted invasion of privacy of the individual under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act and may also lead to intimidation of such officials. Further, the Commission also relied upon the decision dated 13.11.2019 of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No. 10044 of 2010 titled in Central Public Information Officer, Supreme Court of India Vs. Subhash Chandra Aggarwal, regarding Right to Information Act, 2005 in which in para no. 59 of the above referred case had defined 'Personal Information' under the Right to Information Act 2005, the relevant part of the judgment is reproduced below:-

"Reading of the aforesaid judicial precedents, in our opinion , would indicate that personal records, including name, address , Physical, mental and psychological status, marks obtained, grades and answer sheets, are all treated as personal information. Similarly, professional records, including qualification , performance , evaluation reports, ACRs, disciplinary proceedings, etc. Are all personal information. Medical records, treatment, choice of medicine, list of hospitals and doctors visited, findings recorded. Including that of the family members, information, relating to assets, liabilities, Income tax returns, details of the investments, lending and borrowing etc. are personal information. Such personal information is entitled to protection from unwarranted invasion of privacy and conditional access is available when stipulation of larger public interest is satisfied. This list is indicative and not exhaustive.

7. Hence, the information seeks third party information, which cannot be provided to him as per above said law/directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. Therefore the present appeal filed by the applicant stands **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 26.11.2025