

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Dr. Pawan Kumar Banta, (94180-00450)**

# 500 A, Sector - IV,  
New Shimla – 171009

....Appellant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Registrar,  
Baba Farid University of Health Sciences,  
Faridkot.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Registrar,  
Baba Farid University of Health Sciences,  
Faridkot.

....Respondents

## APPEAL CASE NO. 1013 OF 2025

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Dr. Pawan Kumar Banta, (94180-00450)  
Respondent: Shri Sukrit Sood, Law Officer (81301-08737)

### **ORDER:**

The RTI application is dated **11.11.2024** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **11.12.2024** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **06.02.2025** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **26.06.2025**.

2. The appellant states that he has received a reply from the respondent, but the reply is not satisfactory. The appellant, Dr. Pawan Kumar Banta, who has submitted a copy of the judgments / decisions of Hon'ble State Information Commission, Himachal Pradesh, in support of his contention.

3. The respondent states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant wherein the sought for information has been denied as third party information. Further, he states that the university has returned back the documents to the concerned college after scrutiny of the documents, which were required for the registration of the students. The respondent PIO has also submitted a detailed written submission during the course of hearing, citing the following judgments of "*Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 22 of 2009 titled Canara Bank V/s Shayam & Ors., and Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CWP No. 13567 of 2022 titled M/s Goyal Construction V/s Punjab State Information Commission & Ors*", which is taken on record.

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),

Email: [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)



## APPEAL CASE NO. 1013 OF 2025

4. The Commission has examining the RTI application alongside the submissions submitted by both the parties and is of the view that “Certificates of the candidate” are personal information and not liable to be disclosed. The Commission concurs with the respondent PIO and his decision is upheld.

5. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action is required in this case and this appeal case is herewith **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Date: 26.06.2025

Sd/-  
(Virinderjit Singh Billing)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Dr. Pawan Kumar Banta, (94180-00450)**

# 500 A, Sector - IV,  
New Shimla – 171009

....Appellant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Registrar,  
Baba Farid University of Health Sciences,  
Faridkot.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Registrar,  
Baba Farid University of Health Sciences,  
Faridkot.

....Respondents

## APPEAL CASE NO. 1014 OF 2025

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Dr. Pawan Kumar Banta, (94180-00450)  
Respondent: Shri Sukrit Sood, Law Officer (81301-08737)

### **ORDER:**

The RTI application is dated **11.11.2024** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **11.12.2024** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **06.02.2025** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **26.06.2025**.

2. The appellant states that he has received a reply from the respondent, but the reply is not satisfactory. The appellant, Dr. Pawan Kumar Banta, who has submitted a copy of the judgments / decisions of Hon'ble State Information Commission, Himachal Pradesh, in support of his contention.

3. The respondent states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant wherein the sought for information has been denied as third party information. Further, he states that the university has returned back the documents to the concerned college after scrutiny of the documents, which were required for the registration of the students. The respondent PIO has also submitted a detailed written submission during the course of hearing, citing the following judgments of "*Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 22 of 2009 titled Canara Bank V/s Shayam & Ors., and Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CWP No. 13567 of 2022 titled M/s Goyal Construction V/s Punjab State Information Commission & Ors*", which is taken on record.

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),

Email: [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)



## APPEAL CASE NO. 1014 OF 2025

4. The Commission has examining the RTI application alongside the submissions submitted by both the parties and is of the view that “Certificates of the candidate” are personal information and not liable to be disclosed. The Commission concurs with the respondent PIO and his decision is upheld.

5. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action is required in this case and this appeal case is herewith **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Date: 26.06.2025

Sd/-  
(Virinderjit Singh Billing)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Dr. Pawan Kumar Banta, (94180-00450)**  
# 500 A, Sector - IV,  
New Shimla – 171009

....Appellant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer,**  
**O/o Registrar,**  
Baba Farid University of Health Sciences,  
Faridkot.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
**O/o Registrar,**  
Baba Farid University of Health Sciences,  
Faridkot.

....Respondents

## APPEAL CASE NO. 1015 OF 2025

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Dr. Pawan Kumar Banta, (94180-00450)  
Respondent: Shri Sukrit Sood, Law Officer (81301-08737)

### **ORDER:**

The RTI application is dated **11.11.2024** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **11.12.2024** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **06.02.2025** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **26.06.2025**.

2. The appellant states that he has received a reply from the respondent, but the reply is not satisfactory. The appellant, Dr. Pawan Kumar Banta, who has submitted a copy of the judgments / decisions of Hon'ble State Information Commission, Himachal Pradesh, in support of his contention.

3. The respondent states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant wherein the sought for information has been denied as third party information. Further, he states that the university has returned back the documents to the concerned college after scrutiny of the documents, which were required for the registration of the students. The respondent PIO has also submitted a detailed written submission during the course of hearing, citing the following judgments of "*Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 22 of 2009 titled Canara Bank V/s Shayam & Ors., and Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CWP No. 13567 of 2022 titled M/s Goyal Construction V/s Punjab State Information Commission & Ors*", which is taken on record.

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),

Email: [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)



## APPEAL CASE NO. 1015 OF 2025

4. The Commission has examining the RTI application alongside the submissions submitted by both the parties and is of the view that “Certificates of the candidate” are personal information and not liable to be disclosed. The Commission concurs with the respondent PIO and his decision is upheld.

5. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action is required in this case and this appeal case is herewith **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Date: 26.06.2025

Sd/-  
(Virinderjit Singh Billing)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),

Email: [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Dr. Pawan Kumar Banta, (94180-00450)**

# 500 A, Sector - IV,  
New Shimla – 171009

....Appellant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer,**

**O/o Registrar,**

Baba Farid University of Health Sciences,  
Faridkot.

**First Appellate Authority,**

**O/o Registrar,**

Baba Farid University of Health Sciences,  
Faridkot.

....Respondents

## APPEAL CASE NO. 1016 OF 2025

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Dr. Pawan Kumar Banta, (94180-00450)  
Respondent: Shri Sukrit Sood, Law Officer (81301-08737)

### **ORDER:**

The RTI application is dated **11.11.2024** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **11.12.2024** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **06.02.2025** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **26.06.2025**.

2. The appellant states that he has received a reply from the respondent, but the reply is not satisfactory. The appellant, Dr. Pawan Kumar Banta, who has submitted a copy of the judgments / decisions of Hon'ble State Information Commission, Himachal Pradesh, in support of his contention.

3. The respondent states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant wherein the sought for information has been denied as third party information. Further, he states that the university has returned back the documents to the concerned college after scrutiny of the documents, which were required for the registration of the students. The respondent PIO has also submitted a detailed written submission during the course of hearing, citing the following judgments of "*Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 22 of 2009 titled Canara Bank V/s Shayam & Ors., and Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CWP No. 13567 of 2022 titled M/s Goyal Construction V/s Punjab State Information Commission & Ors*", which is taken on record.

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),

Email: [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)



## APPEAL CASE NO. 1016 OF 2025

4. The Commission has examining the RTI application alongside the submissions submitted by both the parties and is of the view that “Certificates of the candidate” are personal information and not liable to be disclosed. The Commission concurs with the respondent PIO and his decision is upheld.

5. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action is required in this case and this appeal case is herewith **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Date: 26.06.2025

Sd/-  
(Virinderjit Singh Billing)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab