

Sh. Baljit Ram, S/o Sh. Gurmeet Ram, H No 20/540, St No 1 Prem Nagar, Tehsil &Distt Moga.-142001. M : 9463296150 **RTI Application No 98146** 

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o SE/ DS Circle, PSPCL, Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority O/o Chief Engineer, Distribution (West), PSPCL, Bathinda.

Respondents

# APPEAL CASE NO. 231 OF 2024

Present :- (i) ShBaljit Ram, Appellant. (ii) For the respondent :ErMandeep Singh, AE (9646114569) and ShJaspreet Sharma, LDC (97802-81669)

## <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 07.11.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 19.12.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 26.03.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.

3. The respondent states that the information has been provided to the appellant. He has also filed point-wise specific reply today in the Commission, pertaining to the RTI of the appellant. Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. The appellant states that he has received the same but he is not satisfied with it.

5. Today all the points pertaining to the RTI of the appellant has been discussed between both the parties. Accordingly, it has been ascertained that the Respondent has



### **APPEAL CASE NO. 231 OF 2024**

provided appropriate and permissible reply to the Appellant. Further, it has also been ascertained that this is a case of grievance of the appellant who has attempted to find redressal thereof by filling second appeal in the Commission. The perception of the appellant that use of RTI Act can be employed as a mechanism for redressal of his grievance is beyond the paradigm of the Act. The Commission advises the appellant to approach the competent forum or Court for redressal of his grievance as it is unable to go outside the jurisdiction stipulated by the RTI Act. In wake of aforementioned, this Appeal Case is hereby devoid of merit and hence **closed and disposed of**.Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Baldev Singh, S/o Sh. Pritam Singh, R/o VPO Sherkha Wala, Tehsil &Distt Ferozepur.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Irrigation Department, PB, Chandigarh. (PB Civil Sectt-2, Chd)

First Appellate Authority O/o Irrigation Department, PB, Chandigarh. (PB Civil Sectt-2, Chd)

Respondents

# APPEAL CASE NO. 241 OF 2024

Present :- (i) ShBaldev Singh, Appellant (ii) For the respondent :Sh Ankush, Sr Asstt. (8872808889) and Sh Kulwinder Singh, Supdt. (97816-85455

## <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 26.05.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 13.12.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 26.03.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.

3. The respondent states that the information has been provided to the appellant.

4. The appellant states that he has received the complete information but much beyond the time period, as described under the Act.

5. After hearing both the parties and perusing the case file, it is ascertained that the information has been provided to the appellant and he is satisfied with it but he demands that action be taken against the Respondent-PIO for delay in providing the information to him.



#### APPEAL CASE NO. 2410F 2024

It is observed that there are glaring systemic deficiencies in the office of Irrigation Department, Pb Chandigarh. Appropriate mechanism has not been provided to keep the record properly by the public authority due to which the information / request under RTI Act, 2005 are not being served properly. *However, PIO-Respondent is warned to be careful in future while dealing with the RTI applications.* 

6. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Baldev Singh, S/o Sh. Pritam Singh, R/o VPO Sherkha Wala, Tehsil &Distt Ferozepur.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Irrigation Department, PB, Chandigarh. (PB Civil Sectt-2, Chd)

First Appellate Authority O/o Irrigation Department, PB, Chandigarh. (PB Civil Sectt-2, Chd)

Respondents

## APPEAL CASE NO. 242 OF 2024

Present :- (i) Sh Baldev Singh, Appellant (ii) For the respondent :Sh Ankush, Sr Asstt. (8872808889) and Sh Kulwinder Singh, Supdt. (97816-85455)

## <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 14.06.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 05.04.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 26.03.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.

3. The respondent states that the information has been provided to the appellant.

4. The appellant states that he has received the complete information but much beyond the time period, as described under the Act.

5. After hearing both the parties and perusing the case file, it is ascertained that the information has been provided to the appellant and he is satisfied with it but he demands that action be taken against the Respondent-PIO for delay in providing the information to him.



### APPEAL CASE NO. 242 OF 2024

It is observed that there are glaring systemic deficiencies in the office of Irrigation Department, Pb Chandigarh. Appropriate mechanism has not been provided to keep the record properly by the public authority due to which the information / request under RTI Act, 2005 are not being served properly. *However, PIO-Respondent is warned to be careful in future while dealing with the RTI applications.* 

6. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. NarinderBir Singh, S/o Sh. Vasdev Singh,Village Jhangi Panwan, Tehsil Dera Baba Nank,Distt Gurdaspur-143604.M : 9914789975

Appellant

Respondents

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Dera Baba Nanak, Distt Gurdaspur..

# First Appellate Authority,

0/o DDPO, Gurdaspur.

# APPEAL CASE NO. 182 OF 2024

Present :-

(i) None for the appellant

(ii) For the respondent :ShKulwinder Singh, PanchayatSecy (98553-51522)

# <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 26.09.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 18.12.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 26.03.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.

3. The respondent states that the information has been provided to the appellant and he is satisfied with it. Further, he also files a copy of the acknowledgement given by the appellant in lieu of receiving the information, which is taken on record.

4. Since the information stands provided, therefore, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of orders be sent to the parties.

> Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Manga Singh, S/o Sh. Raghvir Singh R/o Village Shadhwal, Tehsil Nabha, Distt Patiala. M : 9592353778

Appellant

Respondents

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Nabha, Distt Patiala.

First Appellate Authority O/o BDPO, Nabha, Distt Patiala.

### APPEAL CASE NO. 247 OF 2024

Present :- (i) Sh Manga Singh, Appellant (ii) For the respondent :Sh Navneet Gupta, PanchayatSecy (99141-00717)

## <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 28.04.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 14.12.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 26.03.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.

3. The appellant states that he has not received the information till date.

4. The respondent states that the information has been sent to the appellant on 25.03.2025. He also shows a copy of the same today. The appellant has gone through the same and states that he is satisfied with it.

5. Since the information stands provided therefore, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Date :26.03.2025

RTI Helpline - 01722864100



Sh. Baldev Singh, S/o Sh. Pritam Singh, R/o VPO Sherkha Wala, Tehsil & Distt Ferozepur.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Irrigation Department, PB, Chandigarh. (PB Civil Sectt-2, Chd)

First Appellate Authority O/o Irrigation Department, PB, Chandigarh. (PB Civil Sectt-2, Chd)

Respondents

## APPEAL CASE NO. 242 OF 2024

Present :- (i) Sh Baldev Singh, Appellant (ii) For the respondent :Sh Ankush, Sr Asstt. (8872808889) and Sh Kulwinder Singh, Supdt. (97816-85455)

## <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 14.06.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 05.04.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 26.03.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.

3. The respondent states that the information has been provided to the appellant.

4. The appellant states that he has received the complete information but much beyond the time period, as described under the Act.

5. After hearing both the parties and perusing the case file, it is ascertained that the information has been provided to the appellant and he is satisfied with it but he demands that action be taken against the Respondent-PIO for delay in providing the information to him.



#### APPEAL CASE NO. 242 OF 2024

It is observed that there are glaring systemic deficiencies in the office of Irrigation Department, Pb Chandigarh. Appropriate mechanism has not been provided to keep the record properly by the public authority due to which the information / request under RTI Act, 2005 are not being served properly. *However, PIO-Respondent is warned to be careful in future while dealing with the RTI applications.* 

6. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab