Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.GurmejLal, Whistle Blower, Blower+RTI Activist +VP NCAG Ltd., NCAG Office 1331-5, Near VishawkarmaBhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

**Appellant** 

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o XEN B&R, Zone B, MC Ludhiana First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC Ludhiana

Respondents

#### APPEAL CASE NO. 566 OF 2024

Present: -(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Ayush Bhardwaj, JE (7889101328)

### **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 20.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 25.09.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is absent today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.
- 4. Respondent states that the reply has already been sent to the appellant. He further states that the information demanded by the appellant is very voluminous. He further adds that the information demanded by the appellant is not available in the particular form as requested it will have to be created, and information under Section 2(f) includes information in any form available with the public authority and accessible.
- 5. After hearing the respondent and going through the RTI of the appellant, the objection of the respondent is upheld. The appellant may note that it is settled legal position that information that can be provided under the RTI Act is that which is already on

Date: 25.09.2025

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



#### APPEAL CASE NO. 566 OF 2024

record of the Public Authority. The PIO cannot be asked to create any record for answering the RTI questions. As per the Supreme Court decision in **Khanapuram Gandaiah vs Administrative Officer & Ors on 4 January, 2010 in** SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO.34868 OF 2009 the definition of information as given under Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005, shows that an applicant under Section 6 of the RTI Act can get any information which is already in existence and accessible to the public authority under law. Of course, under the RTI Act an applicant is entitled to get copy of the opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc., but he cannot ask for any information as to why such opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc. have been passed. Hence, the information sought by him cannot be supplied. The appeal is, therefore **dismissed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

ShriLakhwinder Singh, (81988 81734) S/o ShriSurjeet Singh, VPO TehKalandar, Tehsil & District Fazilka.

Appellant

Versus Public Information Officer, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,

Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Fazilka.

Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 1513 OF 2023** 

Present: -None for the parties.

**ORDER** 

Date: 25.09.2025

This order may be read with reference to the previous orders dated 17.06.2025 vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was present and a last and final opportunity was given to both the parties to appear before the Commission and state their case.

2. Today again neither the appellant nor the respondent is present. They have even not informed the Commission about their absence for today's hearing and no submissions have been received from him in the titled case, which appears the appellant is not serious and does not intend to pursue the case.

3. Keeping in view the above prevailing circumstances, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. As such, the present case is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.GurmejLal, Whistle Blower, Blower+RTI Activist +VP NCAG Ltd., NCAG Office 1331-5, Near VishawkarmaBhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003 Versus

**Appellant** 

Public Information Officer, O/o XEN, B&R, Zone B, MC Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC, Ludhiana

Respondents

#### APPEAL CASE NO. 553 OF 2024

Present: -(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Ayush Bhardwaj, IE (7889101328)

#### **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 04.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 25.09.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is absent today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.
- Respondent states that the reply has already been sent to the appellant. He further 4. states that the information demanded by the appellant is very voluminous. He further adds that the information demanded by the appellant is not available in the particular form as requested it will have to be created, and information under Section 2(f) includes information in any form available with the public authority and accessible.
- 5. After hearing the respondent and going through the RTI of the appellant, the objection of the respondent is upheld. The appellant may note that it is settled legal position that information that can be provided under the RTI Act is that which is already on

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: <a href="mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in">psic25@punjabmail.gov.in</a> Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Date: 25.09.2025



#### **APPEAL CASE NO. 566 OF 2024**

record of the Public Authority. The PIO cannot be asked to create any record for answering the RTI questions. As per the Supreme Court decision in **Khanapuram Gandaiah vs Administrative Officer & Ors on 4 January, 2010 in** SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO.34868 OF 2009 the definition of information as given under Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005, shows that an applicant under Section 6 of the RTI Act can get any information which is already in existence and accessible to the public authority under law. Of course, under the RTI Act an applicant is entitled to get copy of the opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc., but he cannot ask for any information as to why such opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc. have been passed. Hence, the information sought by him cannot be supplied. The appeal is, therefore **dismissed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Gurmej Lal, Whistle Blower, Blower+RTI Activist +VP NCAG Ltd., NCAG Office 1331-5, Near VishawkarmaBhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

**Appellant** 

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o SE B&R, Zone B MC. Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC Ludhiana

Respondents

#### **APPEAL CASE NO. 554 OF 2024**

Present: -(i) None is present one behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent: sh. Ayush Bhardwaj, JE (7889101328)

#### **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 06.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 25.09.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is absent today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.
- 4. Respondent states that the reply has already been sent to the appellant. He further states that the information demanded by the appellant is very voluminous. He further adds that the information demanded by the appellant is not available in the particular form as requested it will have to be created, and information under Section 2(f) includes information in any form available with the public authority and accessible.

Date :25.09.2025

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



### APPEAL CASE NO. 554 OF 2024

5. After hearing the respondent and going through the RTI of the appellant, the objection of the respondent is upheld. The appellant may note that it is settled legal position that information that can be provided under the RTI Act is that which is already on record of the Public Authority. The PIO cannot be asked to create any record for answering the RTI questions. As per the Supreme Court decision in **Khanapuram Gandaiah vs Administrative Officer & Ors on 4 January, 2010 in** SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO.34868 OF 2009 the definition of information as given under Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005, shows that an applicant under Section 6 of the RTI Act can get any information which is already in existence and accessible to the public authority under law. Of course, under the RTI Act an applicant is entitled to get copy of the opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc., but he cannot ask for any information as to why such opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc. have been passed. Hence, the information sought by him cannot be supplied. The appeal is, therefore **dismissed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.GurmejLal, Whistle Blower, Blower+RTI Activist +VP NCAG Ltd., NCAG Office 1331-5, Near VishawkarmaBhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o XEN B&R, Zone C, MC Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC Ludhiana

Respondents

### APPEAL CASE NO. 556 OF 2024

Present: -(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Amritpal Singh, SDO (9915950301)

#### **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 12.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 25.09.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is absent today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.
- 4. Respondent states that the appellant had inspected the record and obtained the information and he has given the same in writing.
- 5. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is observed that the information has been provided to the appellant. Respondent has also submitted the acknowledgment given by the Appellant in token of having received the information. The same is taken on record.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Date: 25.09.2025



## APPEAL CASE NO. 556 OF 2024

6. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.GurmejLal, Whistle Blower, Blower+RTI Activist +VP NCAG Ltd., NCAG Office 1331-5, Near VishawkarmaBhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o XEN B&R, Zone C, MC Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC Ludhiana

Respondents

#### **APPEAL CASE NO. 557 OF 2024**

(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant Present: -

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Amritpal Singh, SDO (9915950301)

#### **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 14.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 25.09.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is absent today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.
- Respondent states that the appellant had inspected the record and obtained the 4. information and he has given the same in writing.
- 5. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is observed that the information has been provided to the appellant. Respondent has also submitted the acknowledgment given by the Appellant in token of having received the information. The same is taken on record.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



## APPEAL CASE NO. 557 OF 2024

6. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)

Date :25.09.2025

State Information Commissioner

Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.GurmejLal, Whistle Blower, Blower+RTI Activist +VP NCAG Ltd., NCAG Office 1331-5, Near VishawkarmaBhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o XEN B&R, Zone D, MC Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC Ludhiana

Respondents

#### **APPEAL CASE NO. 558 OF 2024**

Present: -(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Amritpal Singh, SDO (9915950301)

#### **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 14.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 25.09.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is absent today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.
- Respondent states that the appellant had inspected the record and obtained the 4. information and he has given the same in writing.
- 5. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is observed that the information has been provided to the appellant. Respondent has also submitted the acknowledgment given by the Appellant in token of having received the information. The same is taken on record.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Date: 25.09.2025



## APPEAL CASE NO. 558 OF 2024

6. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.GurmejLal, Whistle Blower, Blower+RTI Activist +VP NCAG Ltd., NCAG Office 1331-5, Near VishawkarmaBhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer. O/o XEN B&R, Zone A, MC Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC Ludhiana

Respondents

### APPEAL CASE NO. 559 OF 2024

(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant Present: -

(ii) for the respondent: Amritpal Singh, SDO (9915950301)

#### **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 14.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 25.09.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is absent today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.
- 4. Respondent states that the appellant had inspected the record and obtained the information and he has given the same in writing.
- After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is observed that the 5. information has been provided to the appellant. Respondent has also submitted the acknowledgment given by the Appellant in token of having received the information. The same is taken on record.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Date: 25.09.2025



## APPEAL CASE NO. 559 OF 2024

In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said 6. appeal case filed by the appellant is disposed of and closed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.GurmejLal, Whistle Blower, Blower+RTI Activist +VP NCAG Ltd., NCAG Office 1331-5, Near VishawkarmaBhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

**Appellant** 

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o XEN B&R, Zone B, MC Ludhiana First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC Ludhiana

Respondents

### APPEAL CASE NO. 560 OF 2024

Present: -(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Ayush Bhardwaj, JE (7889101328)

### **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 14.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 25.09.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is absent today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.
- 4. Respondent states that the reply has already been sent to the appellant. He further states that the information demanded by the appellant is very voluminous. He further adds that the information demanded by the appellant is not available in the particular form as requested it will have to be created, and information under Section 2(f) includes information in any form available with the public authority and accessible.
- 5. After hearing the respondent and going through the RTI of the appellant, the objection of the respondent is upheld. The appellant may note that it is settled legal position that information that can be provided under the RTI Act is that which is already on

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Date: 25.09.2025



#### APPEAL CASE NO. 560 OF 2024

record of the Public Authority. The PIO cannot be asked to create any record for answering the RTI questions. As per the Supreme Court decision in Khanapuram Gandaiah vs Administrative Officer & Ors on 4 January, 2010 in SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO.34868 OF 2009 the definition of information as given under Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005, shows that an applicant under Section 6 of the RTI Act can get any information which is already in existence and accessible to the public authority under law. Of course, under the RTI Act an applicant is entitled to get copy of the opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc., but he cannot ask for any information as to why such opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc. have been passed. Hence, the information sought by him cannot be supplied. The appeal is, therefore **dismissed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: <a href="mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in">psic25@punjabmail.gov.in</a> Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.GurmejLal, Whistle Blower, Blower+RTI Activist +VP NCAG Ltd., NCAG Office 1331-5, Near VishawkarmaBhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o XEN B&R, Zone A, MC Ludhiana First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC Ludhiana

Respondents

#### **APPEAL CASE NO. 565 OF 2024**

Present: -

- (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant
- (ii) For the respondent: Sh. Vikas Kumar, JE(9646222900)

#### **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 21.11.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 25.09.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is absent today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.
- 4. Respondent states that the reply has already been sent to the appellant. He further states that the information demanded by the appellant is very voluminous. He further adds that the information demanded by the appellant is not available in the particular form as requested it will have to be created, and information under Section 2(f) includes information in any form available with the public authority and accessible.
- 5. After hearing the respondent and going through the RTI of the appellant, the objection of the respondent is upheld. The appellant may note that it is settled legal position that information that can be provided under the RTI Act is that which is already on

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



#### **APPEAL CASE NO. 565 OF 2024**

record of the Public Authority. The PIO cannot be asked to create any record for answering the RTI questions. As per the Supreme Court decision in Khanapuram Gandaiah vs Administrative Officer & Ors on 4 January, 2010 in SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO.34868 OF 2009 the definition of information as given under Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005, shows that an applicant under Section 6 of the RTI Act can get any information which is already in existence and accessible to the public authority under law. Of course, under the RTI Act an applicant is entitled to get copy of the opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc., but he cannot ask for any information as to why such opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc. have been passed. Hence, the information sought by him cannot be supplied. The appeal is, therefore **dismissed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner **Punjab** 

Date: 25.09.2025

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.GurmejLal, Whistle Blower, Blower+RTI Activist +VP NCAG Ltd., NCAG Office 1331-5, Near VishawkarmaBhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o XEN B&R, Zone C, MC Ludhiana First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC Ludhiana

Respondents

#### **APPEAL CASE NO. 5670F 2024**

Present: -(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Amritpal Singh, SDO (9915950301)

#### **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 20.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 25.09.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is absent today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.
- Respondent states that the appellant had inspected the record and obtained the 4. information and he has given the same in writing.
- 5. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is observed that the information has been provided to the appellant. Respondent has also submitted the acknowledgment given by the Appellant in token of having received the information. The same is taken on record.

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



## APPEAL CASE NO. 567 OF 2024

6. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Date :25.09.2025

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.GurmejLal, Whistle Blower, Blower+RTI Activist +VP NCAG Ltd., NCAG Office 1331-5, Near VishawkarmaBhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

**Appellant** 

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o XEN B&R, Zone C, MC, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC Ludhiana

Respondents

#### **APPEAL CASE NO. 575 OF 2024**

(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant Present: -

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Ayush Bhardwaj, JE (7889101328)

#### **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 28.06.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 25.09.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is absent today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.
- 4. Respondent states that the appellant had inspected the record and obtained the information and he has given the same in writing.
- 5. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is observed that the information has been provided to the appellant. Respondent has also submitted the acknowledgment given by the Appellant in token of having received the information. The same is taken on record.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: <a href="mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in">psic25@punjabmail.gov.in</a> Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Date: 25.09.2025



## APPEAL CASE NO. 575 OF 2024

6. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Sarbjit Singh, S/o ShJagir Singh, H.NO 298, Sector 15, SaiKirpa, Village Sarna, Tehsil & Distt-Pathankot-145025 (M-9814691777) RTI application No. 90721

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Govt. ITI, Pathankot

First Appellate Authority, O/o Govt. ITI, Pathankot

Respondents

Appellant

#### APPEAL CASE NO. 199 OF 2024

Present: -(i) Sh Sarbjit Singh, Appellant

(ii) For the respondent- ShSarbjit Singh, Training officer (94173-60692)

#### **ORDER**

Date: 25.09.2025

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.06.2025vide which an opportunity was given to both the parties to appear before the Commission and state their case.

- 2. The respondent states that he has brought the information to be provided to the appellant. Copy of the same is handed over to the appellant today.
- 3. The appellant has gone through the same and states the information is complete and he is satisfied with it.
- Since the appellant is satisfied therefore, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, 4. the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: <a href="mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in">psic25@punjabmail.gov.in</a> Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.GurmejLal, Whistle Blower,
Blower+RTI Activist +VP NCAG Ltd., NCAG
Office 1331-5, Near VishawkarmaBhawan,
Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003
Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Zonal Commissioner, Zone B, MC,Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC Ludhiana

Respondents

### APPEAL CASE NO. 571 OF 2024

Present: -(i) None for the appellant
(ii) For the respondent- Sh Kartar Singh, FSO (9041204071) and
Sh Ricky (R&D)Fire Brigade (80541-02300)

#### **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 28.06.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 25.09.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is not present today.
- 4. The Respondent states that the information demanded by the appellant is very voluminous. He further adds that the information demanded by the appellant is not available in the particular form as requested and it will have to be created, and information under Section 2(f) includes information in any form available with the public authority and accessible. The respondent has filed his reply regarding the same.

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Date: 25.09.2025



### APPEAL CASE NO. 571 OF 2024

5. After hearing the respondent and going through the RTI of the appellant, the objection of the respondent is upheld. The appellant may note that it is settled legal position that information that can be provided under the RTI Act is that which is already on record of the Public Authority. The PIO cannot be asked to create any record for answering the RTI questions. As per the Supreme Court decision in **KhanapuramGandaiahvs Administrative Officer &Ors on 4 January, 2010 in** SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO.34868 OF 2009 the definition of information as given under Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005, shows that an applicant under Section 6 of the RTI Act can get any information which is already in existence and accessible to the public authority under law. Of course, under the RTI Act an applicant is entitled to get copy of the opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc., but he cannot ask for any information as to why such opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc. have been passed. Hence, the information sought by him cannot be supplied. The appeal is, therefore **dismissed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



**Appellant** 

ShSarbjit Singh, S/o ShJagir Singh, H.NO 298, Sector 15, SaiKirpa, Village Sarna, Tehsil & Distt-Pathankot-145025 (M-9814691777) RTI application No. 90720

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Govt. ITI, Bamial, Distt- Pathankot

First Appellate Authority, O/o Govt. ITI, Bamial, Distt-Pathankot

Respondents

#### APPEAL CASE NO. 201 OF 2024

Present: - (i) ShSarbjit Singh, appellant

(ii) For the respondent- PIO- ShSushil Kumar, Training Officer (94171-63827) And ShJogesh Kumar, clerk (99144-87115)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

Date: 25.09.2025

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.06.2025 vide which an opportunity was given to both the parties to appear before the Commission and state their case.

- 2. The respondent states that the information has been provided to the appellant.
- 3. The appellant states that the information has been provided to him and he is satisfied with it.
- Since the appellant is satisfied therefore, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, 4. the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.GurmejLal, Whistle Blower,
Blower+RTI Activist +VP NCAG Ltd., NCAG
Office 1331-5, Near VishawkarmaBhawan,
Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003
Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o XEN B&R, Zone C, MC Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC Ludhiana

Respondents

#### **APPEAL CASE NO. 564 OF 2024**

Present: -(i) None for the appellant

(ii) For the respondent-ShAmritpal Singh, SDO (99159-50301)

### **ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 19.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 25.09.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is not present today.
- 4. The respondent states that the appellant had inspected the relevant record in their office on 19.09.2025 and identified the information required. He adds that the appellant has given the same in writing also. He assures the Bench that the information identified by the appellant shall be provided to the appellant.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Date: 25.09.2025



### APPEAL CASE NO. 564 OF 2024

5. Hence, on the assurance of the respondent to provide the information to the appellant the appeal case filed by the appellant is disposed of and closed. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within one month in case of non-receipt of information.

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Baljinder Singh Brar, (M:5307886440) S/o Sh. Jarnail Singh #1101,3rd Floor, Shivjot Enclave, Kharar Distt.SAS Nagar.

(RTI Application No 126239)

**Appellant** 

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, Vigilance Bureau, PB, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority O/o Director, Vigilance Bureau, PB, Mohali.

Respondents

### **APPEAL CASE NO. 5462 OF 2024**

Present: - (i) None for the appellant

(ii) For the respondent- Ms AmandeepKaur, Inspector (80549-40526)

#### **ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 04.06.2025 vide which the appellant was directed to file his rejoinder/reply regarding the reply of the respondent.

- 2. The appellant is not present today. However, he has filed an e-mail intimating that he shall not be able to attend the hearing today. Further, the appellant has also filed e-mail(s) which are taken on record.
- 3. The respondent states that they have already filed their reply.
- 4. The perusal of the case file showsthat the appellant has demanded information about Status update of his 16<sup>th</sup> reminder to Vigilance Bureau. The respondent-PIO has submitted his reply regarding the same, which has already been scanned in the last orders. However, the relevant para of the said reply is reproduced here as under:-

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

PSIC USE

**APPEAL CASE NO. 5462 OF 2024** 

" ਪੈਰ੍ਹਾ ਨੰਬਰ 2 ਅਤੇ 3 ਵਿੱਚ ਦਰਸਾਈ ਸਥਿਤੀ ਅਤੇ ਤੱਥਾਂ ਦੇ ਸਨਮੁੱਖ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਵਲੋਂ

ਪੀ.ਜੀ.ਆਰ.ਐਸ ਪੋਰਟਲ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿਖੇ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਨੰਬਰ 20230213554, 20240361125

ਅਤੇ 20240369248 ਆਨਲਾਇਨ ਪੀ.ਜੀ.ਆਰ.ਐਸ ਪੋਰਟਲ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਪ੍ਰਬੰਧਕੀ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਨੂੰ ਭੇਜੀਆਂ ਗਈਆਂ ਹਨ।

ਜਿਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਵਲੋਂ ਆਨਲਾਇਨ ਪੋਰਟਲ ਪਰ ਚੈਕ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ"

In view of the above, it has been ascertained that the respondent has adequately addressed

the RTI of the appellant. The Appellant may take note that the Right to Information Act,

grants citizens the right to access information held by or under the control of a public

authority. This means the public authority can only provide information that already exists

and is in its possession or control, such as documents, records, and certified copies.

Further, it has been observed that this is a case of grievance of the appellant who

has attempted to find redressal thereof by filling second appeal in the Commission. Such

fishing operation does not fall in the purview of PSIC. Accordingly, appellant is advised to

approach the competent forum or Court for redressal of his grievance as PSIC is unable to

go outside its jurisdiction stipulated under the RTI Act. In wake of aforementioned, this

Appeal Case is hereby **closed and disposed of**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :25.09.2025

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner

Punjab