

Sh. Yog Raj Garg, S/o Sh. Ganga Bishan, # 763, Sector-15A, Hisar-125001. (M:9876176343). RTI Application No 97027.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

### First Appellate Authority,

O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

....Appellant

...Respondents

### Appeal Case No. 1004 of 2024

V/s

 Present:
 (i) Sh. Yog Raj Garg, the appellant (through cisco webex)

 (ii)Smt. Kulvir Kaur, Junior Assistant on behalf of the respondent PIO.

 ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated 31.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 30.11.2023 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 19.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e.25.06.2025 by the Commission.

2. The appellant is present through cisco webex but due to technical glitch, the case has not been heard through cisco webex and the appellant was contacted on phone. The appellant states that complete information as per RTI application has been received to his satisfaction and he does not intend to pursue the matter. He requested that the respondent PIO should be penalized for the delay in providing the information.

3. The respondent PIO, represented by Smt. Kulvir Kaur, Junior Assistant, files a reply sent to the appellant vide letter dated 15.05.2025 mentioning therein that information comprising 31 pages have been supplied to the appellant. Copy of the same is taken on record. She further adds that the sought information has been sent to the appellant earlier but the same has been received undelivered in the office with the remarks of the postal authorities that the address of the appellant is not correct.



# Appeal Case No. 1004 of 2024

5. Keeping in view of the above, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the case is **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 25<sup>th</sup> June, 2025



Sh. Yog Raj Garg, S/o Sh. Ganga Bishan, # 763, Sector-15A, Hisar-125001. (M:9876176343). RTI Application No 97033.

V/s

....Appellant

**Public Information Officer**, O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

# First Appellate Authority,

O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

...Respondents

# Appeal Case No. 1005 of 2024

<u>Present:</u> (i) Sh. Yog Raj Garg, the appellant (through cisco webex) (ii)Smt. Kulvir Kaur, Junior Assistant on behalf of the respondent PIO.

### ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated 31.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 30.11.2023 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 19.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e.25.06.2025 by the Commission.

2. The appellant is present through cisco webex but due to technical glitch, the case has not been heard through cisco webex and the appellant was contacted on phone. The appellant states that complete information as per RTI application has been received to his satisfaction and he does not intend to pursue the matter. He requested that the respondent PIO should be penalized for the delay in providing the information.

3. The respondent PIO, represented by Smt. Kulvir Kaur, Junior Assistant, files a reply sent to the appellant vide letter dated 15.05.2025 mentioning therein that information comprising 31 pages have been supplied to the appellant. Copy of the same is taken on record. She further adds that the sought information has been sent to the appellant earlier but the same has been received undelivered in the office with the remarks of the postal authorities that the address of the appellant is not correct.



# Appeal Case No. 1005 of 2024

5. Keeping in view of the above, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the case is **<u>disposed of and closed</u>**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 25<sup>th</sup> June, 2025



Sh. Yog Raj Garg, S/o Sh. Ganga Bishan, # 763, Sector-15A, Hisar-125001. (M:9876176343).RTI Application No 97067.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

# First Appellate Authority,

O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

....Appellant

...Respondents

# Appeal Case No. 1006 of 2024

V/s

Present:

(i) Sh. Yog Raj Garg, the appellant (through cisco webex)(ii)Smt. Kulvir Kaur, Junior Assistant on behalf of the respondent PIO.

### ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated 31.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 30.11.2023 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 19.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e.25.06.2025 by the Commission.

2. The appellant is present through cisco webex but due to technical glitch, the case has not been heard through cisco webex and the appellant was contacted on phone. The appellant states that complete information as per RTI application has been received to his satisfaction and he does not intend to pursue the matter. He requested that the respondent PIO should be penalized for the delay in providing the information.

3. The respondent PIO, represented by Smt. Kulvir Kaur, Junior Assistant, files a reply sent to the appellant vide letter dated 08.05.2025 mentioning therein that information comprising 20 pages have been supplied to the appellant. Copy of the same is taken on record. She further adds that the same information was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 01.01.2024 but the same has been received undelivered in the office with the remarks of the postal authorities that the address of the appellant is not correct.



# Appeal Case No. 1006 of 2024

5. Keeping in view of the above, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the case is **<u>disposed of and closed</u>**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 25<sup>th</sup> June, 2025



Sh. Yog Raj Garg, S/o Sh. Ganga Bishan, # 763, Sector-15A, Hisar-125001. (M:9876176343).RTI Application No 97071.

V/s

....Appellant

Public Information Officer. O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

# First Appellate Authority,

O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

...Respondents

# Appeal Case No. 1007 of 2024

Present: (i) Sh. Yog Raj Garg, the appellant (through cisco webex) (ii)Smt. Kulvir Kaur, Junior Assistant on behalf of the respondent PIO.

### **ORDER:**

1. The RTI application is dated 31.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 30.11.2023 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 19.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e.25.06.2025 by the Commission.

2. The appellant is present through cisco webex but due to technical glitch, the case has not been heard through cisco webex and the appellant was contacted on phone. The appellant states that complete information as per RTI application has been received to his satisfaction and he does not intend to pursue the matter. He requested that the respondent PIO should be penalized for the delay in providing the information.

3. The respondent PIO, represented by Smt. Kulvir Kaur, Junior Assistant, files a reply sent to the appellant vide letter dated 06.06.2025 mentioning therein that information/reply has been sent to the appellant. Copy of the same is taken on record. She further adds that the same information was sent to the appellant earlier but the same has been received undelivered in the office with the remarks of the postal authorities that the address of the appellant is not correct.



# Appeal Case No. 1007 of 2024

5. Keeping in view of the above, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the case is **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 25<sup>th</sup> June, 2025



Sh. Yog Raj Garg, S/o Sh. Ganga Bishan, # 763, Sector-15A, Hisar-125001. (M:9876176343).RTI Application No 97164.

V/s

Public Information Officer.

O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

# First Appellate Authority,

O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

....Appellant

...Respondents

# Appeal Case No. 1008 of 2024

<u>Present:</u> (i) Sh. Yog Raj Garg, the appellant (through cisco webex) (ii)Smt. Kulvir Kaur, Junior Assistant on behalf of the respondent PIO.

### ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated 01.11.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 01.12.2023 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 19.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e.25.06.2025 by the Commission.

2. The appellant is present through cisco webex but due to technical glitch, the case has not been heard through cisco webex and the appellant was contacted on phone. The appellant states that complete information as per RTI application has been received to his satisfaction and he does not intend to pursue the matter. He requested that the respondent PIO should be penalized for the delay in providing the information.

3. The respondent PIO, represented by Smt. Kulvir Kaur, Junior Assistant, files a reply sent to the appellant vide letter dated 08.05.2025 mentioning therein that information comprising 03 pages have been supplied to the appellant. Copy of the same is taken on record. She further adds that the same information was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 01.01.2024 but the same has been received undelivered in the office with the remarks of the postal authorities that the address of the appellant is not correct.



# Appeal Case No. 1008 of 2024

5. Keeping in view of the above, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the case is **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 25<sup>th</sup> June, 2025



Sh. Yog Raj Garg, S/o Sh. Ganga Bishan, # 763, Sector-15A, Hisar-125001. (M:9876176343). RTI Application No 97164.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Amritsar Development Authority, Amritsar.

### First Appellate Authority,

O/o Amritsar Development Authority, Amritsar.

....Appellant

...Respondents

## Appeal Case No. 1009 of 2024

V/s

Present:(i) Sh. Yog Raj Garg, the appellant (through cisco webex)<br/>(ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent PIO.ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated 01.11.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 30.11.2023 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 19.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e.25.06.2025 by the Commission.

2. The appellant is present through cisco webex but due to technical glitch, the case has not been heard through cisco webex and the appellant was contacted on phone. The appellant states that complete information as per RTI application has been received to his satisfaction and he does not intend to pursue the matter.

3. The respondent PIO is absent at today's hearing. However an email dated 02.06.2025 has been received from the respondent PIO vide which complete point-wise reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 22.05.2025. Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. Keeping in view of the above, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the case is **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Pooja Gupta) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Yog Raj Garg, S/o Sh. Ganga Bishan, # 763, Sector-15A, Hisar-125001. (M:9876176343).RTI Application No 97501.

#### Public Information Officer,

O/o Bathinda Development Authority, Bathinda.

#### First Appellate Authority,

O/o Bathinda Development Authority, Bathinda.

....Appellant

...Respondents

## Appeal Case No. 1013 of 2024

V/s

**<u>Present:</u>** (i) Sh. Yog Raj Garg, the appellant (through cisco webex) (ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent PIO.

#### ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated 03.11.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 03.12.2023 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 19.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e.25.06.2025 by the Commission.

2. The appellant is present through cisco webex but due to technical glitch, the case has not been heard through cisco webex and the appellant was contacted on phone. The appellant states that complete information as per RTI application has been received to his satisfaction and he does not intend to pursue the matter.

3. The respondent PIO is absent at today's hearing. However, a letter dated 21.05.2025 has been received from the respondent PIO vide which complete point-wise reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 18.01.2024 and again on 21.05.2025. Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. Keeping in view of the above, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the case is **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Pooja Gupta) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Yog Raj Garg, S/o Sh. Ganga Bishan, # 763, Sector-15A, Hisar-125001 (M: 9876176343).RTI Application No 97506.

Public Information Officer,

V/s

O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

## First Appellate Authority,

O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

....Appellant

...Respondents

# Appeal Case No. 1014 of 2024

Present:(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant.<br/>(ii)Smt. Kulvir Kaur, Junior Assistant on behalf of the respondent PIO.ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated 03.11.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 03.12.2023 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 19.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e.25.06.2025 by the Commission.

2. The appellant is present through cisco webex but due to technical glitch, the case has not been heard through cisco webex and the appellant was contacted on phone. The appellant states that information/reply as per RTI application has been received to his satisfaction and he does not intend to pursue the matter.

3. The respondent PIO, represented by Smt. Kulvir Kaur, Junior Assistant, files a reply sent to the appellant vide letter dated 08.05.2025. Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. Keeping in view of the above, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the case is **<u>disposed of and closed</u>**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Pooja Gupta) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Yog Raj Garg, S/o Sh. Ganga Bishan, # 763, Sector-15A, Hisar-125001. (M: 9876176343).RTI Application No 97509.

....Appellant

V/s

### Public Information Officer,

O/o Punjab Urban Planning and Development Authority, Mohali.

### First Appellate Authority,

O/o Punjab Urban Planning and Development Authority, Mohali.

...Respondents

## Appeal Case No. 1015 of 2024

Present:(i) Sh. Yog Raj Garg, the appellant.<br/>(ii) Sh. Daljeet Singh, Senior Assistant on behalf of the respondent PIO.ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated 03.11.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 03.12.2023 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 19.1.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e.25.06.2025 by the Commission.

2. The appellant is present through cisco webex but due to technical glitch, the case has not been heard through cisco webex and the appellant was contacted on phone. The appellant states that complete information as per RTI application has been received to his satisfaction and he does not intend to pursue the matter.

3. The respondent PIO, represented by Sh. Daljeet Singh, Sr. Assistant, files a reply sent to the appellant vide letter dated 12.06.2025 mentioning therein that the same reply has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 18.01.2024 and has brought another copy of the same to deliver it personally to the appellant, during the course of hearing but the appellant is present through cisco webex. Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. Keeping in view of the above, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the case is **disposed of and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Pooja Gupta) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Tajinder Kumar, S/o Sh. Chander Prakash, Village and Post Office: Keeria Gandyal, Village Ramnagar, Tehsil & District Kathua, J&K-184152. RTI Application No 95811. (M: 7889399818).

....Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o Executive Engineer, Drainage cum Mining & Geology Division, WRD, Pathankot-145024.

### First Appellate Authority,

O/o Superintendent Engineer, Drainage cum Mining & Geology Division, WRD, Pathankot-145024.

...Respondents

## Appeal Case No. 1024 of 2024

V/s

**<u>Present:</u>** (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant.

(ii)Sh. Sandeep Singh, Junior Engineer, on behalf of the respondent PIO.

#### ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated 22.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 22.11.2023 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 22.01.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e.25.06.2025.

2. The appellant is absent at today's hearing. However, an email dated 23.06.2025 has been received from the appellant mentioning therein that he has received the information to his satisfaction and does not intend to pursue the matter.

3. The respondent PIO, represented by Sh. Sandeep Singh, Jr. Engineer, files a reply sent to the appellant vide letter dated 19.06.2025 in which complete, point-wise reply has been given to the appellant. Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. Keeping in view of the above, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the case is **disposed of and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Pooja Gupta) State Information Commissioner Punjab

