Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Versus

Shri Kamaldeep (9855127717)

S/o Shri Surinder Pal, Village Badshahpur, P.O Khambra, Jalandhar - 144026

....Appellant

Public Information Officer, **O/o** DDPO, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority, O/o DDPO, Jalandhar.

APPEAL CASE NO. 882 OF 2023

....Respondents

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

The above said appeal case was earlier allocated to Shri Anumit Singh Sodhi, State Information Commissioner. After his retirement, the said appeal case was allocated to the undersigned Bench. The RTI application is dated **19.09.2022** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **26.10.2022** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **25.01.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **25.03.2023**.

2. The respondent is absent but has submitted his reply along with information which was received in the Commission vide diary no. 17400 dated 19.7.2023, mentioning that the sought information has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter no. 953 dated 07.07.2023.

3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post even then he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.

4. Keeping in view of the above and examining the case file, the Commission is of the view that no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this appeal case is <u>disposed of</u> <u>and closed</u>.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Maharaj Saran Khanna, (9896080270)

653, Sector - 5, Kurukshetra, Haryana.

Versus

....Appellant

....Respondents

Public Information Officer, O/o Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, O/o Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology, Patiala.

APPEAL CASE NO. 1782 OF 2023

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Advocate Shri Vijay on behalf of the respondent (97281929234)

ORDER:

The above said appeal case was earlier allocated to Shri Anumit Singh Sodhi, State Information Commissioner. After his retirement, the said appeal case was allocated to the undersigned Bench. The RTI application is dated **31.12.2022** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **06.02.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **10.03.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **25.03.2023**.

2. The respondent states that Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology, Patiala, is a totally private institute and not funded by the Government, partially or substantially. The respondent further states that a reply has already been submitted to the Commission. The perusal of the case file shows that the respondent submitted his reply, which was received in the Commission vide diary no. 27773 Dated 22.11.2023, which is taken on record.

3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post even then he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.

4. After having detailed deliberations with the respondent and examining the case file, the Commission is of the view that no further cause of action is required at this stage. <u>This case is</u> <u>disposed of and closed</u>.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Jagjit Singh, (9914248131)

RTI Activist, R/o Aman Nagar, Street No. 3, Backside Green Land School, Near Jalandhar By Pass, Ludhiana.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, **O/o** Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana. APPEAL CASE NO. 1792 OF 2023

....Respondents

PRESENT:Appellant: Absent
Respondent: Shri Hari Om, SA (9815256996)

ORDER:

The above said appeal case was earlier allocated to Shri Anumit Singh Sodhi, State Information Commissioner. After his retirement, the said appeal case was allocated to the undersigned Bench. The RTI application is dated **22.08.2022** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **03.01.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **10.03.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **25.03.2023**.

2. The respondent states that a letter was written to the appellant to submit a copy of the complaint dated 06.08.2022, so that the information can be supplied to him, but the appellant has, however, not responded to said letter, till date. A copy of the same was also submitted to the Commission during the course of hearing, which is taken on record.

3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post even then he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.

4. After having detailed deliberations with the respondent and examining the case file, the Commission is of the view that no further cause of action is required at this stage. <u>This case is</u> <u>disposed of and closed</u>.

Date: 25.03.2025

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Narinder Kumar Vashisht (8591687711)

(Through Sh. Ranjeet K. Jaswal Advocate), # 269, Sunny Enclave, Sunny City - 1, Sector - 124, Kharar, Distt Mohali.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, **O/o** SSP, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority, O/o SSp, Mohali.

APPEAL CASE NO. 4860 OF 2024

....Respondents

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent Respondent: Head Constable Maninder Singh (7837741687) and Shri Tejinder Singh, Assistant (7837252928)

ORDER:

The RTI application is dated **14.03.2024** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **14.05.2024** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **23.07.2024** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **25.03.2023**.

2. The representatives of the respondent state that information has already been supplied to the appellant twice vide letter nos. 12898 dated 22.05.2024 and 74048 dated 30.11.2024, by registered post, but till date no deficiency has been pointed out by him. The respondent further submitted his reply during the course of hearing vide letter no. 17926 dated 24.03.2025, which is taken on record.

3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing / order was sent to him through registered post even then he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.

4. After having detailed deliberations with the respondent and examining the case file, the Commission is of the view that no further cause of action is required at this stage. <u>This case is</u> <u>disposed of and closed</u>.