Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



# FINAL ORDER REMAND BACK TO FAA

Shri Makhan Singh, (99151-69047) S/o Shri Jagir Singh, Village Bika, Distt SBS Nagar.

....Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer, O/o** SSP, Kapurthala.

Regd. Post

Remanded Back to:
First Appellate Authority
O/o Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Jalandhar.

**Encl. RTI application** 

....Respondents

#### COMPLAINT CASE NO. 315 OF 2024

**PRESENT:** Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Sub Inspector, Davinder Singh (94635-41414)

#### **ORDER:**

The application dated **23.01.2024** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **08.08.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **24.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent states that the sought information has already been sent to the complainant by registered post.
- 3. The complainant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post even then he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. After going through the case file, it is observed that this is the complaint case.
- 4. The attention of the complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768- 32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in

Date: 24.06.2025



#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 315 OF 2024**

- 5. Since, an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant who is interested in getting the information, accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, the case is remanded back to the **First Appellate Authority i.e. O/o Deputy Inspector General of Police, Jalandhar,** to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.
- 6. With these directions, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file second appeal, if he is not satisfied / does not receive the decision of the First Appellate Authority. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



# FINAL ORDER REMAND BACK TO FAA

Shri Makhan Singh, (99151-69047) S/o Shri Jagir Singh, Village Bika, Distt SBS Nagar.

....Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer, O/o** SSP, SBS Nagar.

Regd. Post

Remanded Back to: First Appellate Authority O/o Deputy Inspector General of Police, Ropar.

**Encl. RTI application** 

....Respondents

#### COMPLAINT CASE NO. 316 OF 2024

**PRESENT:** Complainant: Absent

Respondent: ASI Rajinder Singh (94630-64028)

#### **ORDER:**

The application dated **25.05.2024** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **08.08.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **24.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent states that the sought information has already been supplied to the complainant.
- 3. The complainant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post even then he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. After going through the case file, it is observed that this is the complaint case.
- 4. The attention of the complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768- 32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 316 OF 2024**

- 5. Since, an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant who is interested in getting the information, accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, the case is remanded back to the **First Appellate Authority i.e. O/o Deputy Inspector General of Police, Ropar**, to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.
- 6. With these directions, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file second appeal, if he is not satisfied / does not receive the decision of the First Appellate Authority. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



# FINAL ORDER REMAND BACK TO FAA

Shri Makhan Singh, (99151-69047) S/o Shri Jagir Singh, Village Bika, Distt SBS Nagar.

....Complainant

**Versus** 

**Public Information Officer, O/o** SSP, SBS Nagar.

Regd. Post

Remanded Back to:
First Appellate Authority
O/o Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Ropar.

**Encl. RTI application** 

....Respondents

#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 317 OF 2024**

**PRESENT:** Complainant: Absent

Respondent: ASI Rajinder Singh (94630-64028)

#### **ORDER:**

The application dated **21.02.2024** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **08.08.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **24.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent states that the sought information has already been supplied to the complainant.
- 3. The complainant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post even then he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. After going through the case file, it is observed that this is the complaint case.
- 4. The attention of the complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768- 32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 317 OF 2024**

- 5. Since, an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant who is interested in getting the information, accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, the case is remanded back to the **First Appellate Authority i.e. O/o Deputy Inspector General of Police, Ropar**, to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.
- 6. With these directions, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file second appeal, if he is not satisfied / does not receive the decision of the First Appellate Authority. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Virinderjit Singh Billing) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Date: 24.06.2025

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



#### FINAL ORDER

Shri Makhan Singh, (99151-69047) S/o Shri Jagir Singh, Village Bika, Distt SBS Nagar.

....Complainant

**Versus** 

**Public Information Officer, O/o** Deputy Commissioner, SBS Nagar.

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 318 OF 2024

PRESENT: Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Smt. Satinder Kaur, SA (98154-24878) and Shri Kamaljeet Paul, DPRO (94649-01000)

#### ORDER:

Date: 24.06.2025

The application dated **22.02.2024** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **08.08.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **24.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent states that the RTI application was received in his office on 22.02.2024. After that receipt of the RTI application, a reply was sent to the complainant vide letter no. 68 dated 01.03.2024, but till date no deficiency has been pointed out by the complainant.
- 3. After going through the case file, it is observed that this is the complaint case and that the only question before the Commission is to determine whether there was any malafide intent on the part of the PIO in delaying / denying the information. The Commission is satisfied that there was no malafide intent on the part of the respondent, who replied the complainant, Shri Makhan Singh, which is well within the 30 days stipulated by the RTI Act, 2005.
- 4. The complainant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The prima facie appears that the complainant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 5. After having detailed deliberations with the respondent and examining the case file, the Commission is of the view that no further cause of action is left in this case. The complaint is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



# FINAL ORDER REMAND BACK TO FAA

Shri Makhan Singh, (99151-69047) S/o Shri Jagir Singh, Village Bika, Distt SBS Nagar.

....Complainant

**Versus** 

**Public Information Officer, O/o** SSP, SBS Nagar.

Regd. Post

Remanded Back to: First Appellate Authority O/o Deputy Inspector General of Police, Ropar.

**Encl. RTI application** 

....Respondents

#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 319 OF 2024**

**PRESENT:** Complainant: Absent

Respondent: ASI Rajinder Singh (94630-64028)

#### **ORDER:**

The application dated **24.11.2023** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **08.08.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **24.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent states that the sought information has already been supplied to the complainant.
- 3. The complainant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post even then he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. After going through the case file, it is observed that this is the complaint case.
- 4. The attention of the complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768- 32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in

Date: 24.06.2025



#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 319 OF 2024**

- 5. Since, an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant who is interested in getting the information, accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, the case is remanded back to the **First Appellate Authority i.e. O/o Deputy Inspector General of Police, Ropar**, to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.
- 6. With these directions, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file second appeal, if he is not satisfied / does not receive the decision of the First Appellate Authority. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Virinderjit Singh Billing) State Information Commissioner Punjab

2/2

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



# FINAL ORDER REMAND BACK TO FAA

Shri Sarwan Singh, (99150-47191) S/o Shri Darbara Singh, R/o Village Jaramsatpur, Tehsil &Distt Tarn Taran.

....Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer, O/o** District Registrar Cooperative Society, Tarn Taran.

Regd. Post

Remanded Back to:
First Appellate Authority
O/o District Registrar Cooperative Society,
Tarn Taran.

**Encl. RTI application** 

....Respondents

#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 320 OF 2024**

**PRESENT:** Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Gurinder Singh, Assistant Manager (98886-33967)

#### **ORDER:**

The application dated **21.05.2024** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **08.08.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **24.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent states that the sought information has already been supplied to the complainant but till date no deficiency has been pointed out by him.
- 3. The complainant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post even then he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. After going through the case file, it is observed that this is the complaint case.
- 4. The attention of the complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768- 32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in

Date: 24.06.2025



### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 320 OF 2024**

- 5. Since, an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant who is interested in getting the information, accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, the case is remanded back to the **First Appellate Authority i.e. O/o District Registrar Cooperative Society, Tarn Taran**, to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.
- 6. With these directions, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file second appeal, if he is not satisfied / does not receive the decision of the First Appellate Authority. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



# FINAL ORDER REMAND BACK TO FAA

Versus

Shri Gurjit Singh, (94631-38069) S/o Shri Gurdev Singh, R/o Giana, Tehsil Talwandi Saboo, Distt Bathinda.

....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o Tehsildar. Talwandi Saboo.

Read. Post

Remanded Back to: First Appellate Authority O/o SDM, Talwandi Saboo.

Encl. RTI application

....Respondents

#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 321 OF 2024**

**PRESENT:** Complainant: Shri Gurjit Singh, (94631-38069)

Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

The application dated **26.06.2024** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **12.08.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **24.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent is absent without intimation. The complainant states that he has not received any reply / information from the respondent till date. The complainant Shri Gurjit Singh, states that it is imperative for him to obtain the information sought in his RTI application.
- 3. As the complainant is interested to collect / get the sought information. It is appropriate to mention the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768- 32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.
- 4. Since, an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant who is interested in getting the information, accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, the case is remanded back to the **First Appellate Authority i.e. O/o SDM**, **Talwandi Saboo**, to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in

Date: 24.06.2025



#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 321 OF 2024**

5. With these directions, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file second appeal, if he is not satisfied / does not receive the decision of the First Appellate Authority. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



# FINAL ORDER REMAND BACK TO FAA

Shri Jasbir Singh, (93160-80904) S/o Shri Lekh Ram, # B 021/00704, SanteMajra Colony, Kharar, Distt S.A.S Nagar.

....Complainant

**Versus** 

**Public Information Officer, O/o** Deputy Commissioner, SAS Nagar.

Regd. Post

Remanded Back to: First Appellate Authority O/o Deputy Commissioner, SAS Nagar.

**Encl. RTI application** 

....Respondents

#### COMPLAINT CASE NO. 322 OF 2024

**PRESENT:** Complainant: Shri Jasbir Singh, (93160-80904)

Respondent: Absent

#### ORDER:

The application dated **16.05.2024** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **05.08.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **24.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent is absent without intimation. The complainant states that he has not received any reply from the respondent till date. Further, he states that it is imperative for him to obtain the information sought in his RTI application.
- 3. As the complainant is interested to collect / get the sought information. It is appropriate to mention the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768- 32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in

Date: 24.06.2025



#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 322 OF 2024**

- 4. Since, an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant who is interested in getting the information, accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, the case is remanded back to the **First Appellate Authority i.e. O/o Deputy Commissioner, SAS Nagar**, to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.
- 5. With these directions, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file second appeal, if he is not satisfied / does not receive the decision of the First Appellate Authority. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



#### **FINAL ORDER**

**Shri O.P. Dutta, (98159-63797)** R/o B XI - 1873, Habib Road, Khud Mohalla, Ludhiana – 141008

....Complainant

**Versus** 

**Public Information Officer, O/o** Commissioner, MC, Ludhiana.

....Respondents

#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 323 OF 2024**

**PRESENT:** Complainant: Shri O.P. Dutta, (98159-63797)

Respondent: Absent

#### ORDER:

Date: 24.06.2025

The application dated **26.04.2024** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **06.08.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **24.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent is absent but submitted his reply to the Commission vide diary no. 8935 dated 17.06.2025, which is taken on record.
- 3. The complainant states that he has not received any reply from the respondent till date and requests the court for sought information.
- 4. After having detailed deliberations with the complainant of his RTI application filed in this case, the Commission is of the view that the aforesaid RTI application does not contain any request for 'information' as defined/denied by Section 2(f) and 2 (j) of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 5. However, in view of above, the complainant does not seek any information, and ought to have been rejected at the outset. Accordingly, the complaint is hereby, **dismissed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Virinderjit Singh Billing) State Information Commissioner Punjab

[Note: After the hearing was over, Shri Ashok Kumar, PIO-cum-Superintendent (81464-80800), is present. He was briefed out the proceedings of the case.]

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



# FINAL ORDER REMAND BACK TO FAA

Versus

Shri Sukhdavinder Singh Mann, R/o Dashmesh Nagar, Near Railway Station, Gidderbaha, Distt Sri Muktsar Sahib.

....Complainant

Public Information Officer,

**O/o** Director, Higher Education, Punjab, Phase – 8, Mohali.

Regd. Post

Remanded Back to: First Appellate Authority O/o Secretary, Higher Education, Punjab Phase 8, Mohali.

Encl. RTI application

....Respondents

#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 324 OF 2024**

PRESENT: Complainant: Shri Sukhdavinder Singh Mann,

Respondent: Shri Kulveer Singh, SA (97804-23600) and Shri Ravinder Kumar, JA (85279-16165)

#### ORDER:

The application dated **22.11.2023** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **06.08.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **24.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent states that a reply has already been sent to the complainant and a copy of the same was submitted to the Commission vide diary no. 8613 Dated 10.06.2025, which is taken on record.
- 3. The complainant states that he has received the reply from the respondent but he is not satisfied with the reply. The complainant Shri Sukhdavinder Singh Mann, states that it is imperative for him to obtain the information sought in his RTI application.
- 4. As the complainant is interested to collect / get the sought information. It is appropriate to mention the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768- 32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in

Date: 24.06.2025



#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 324 OF 2024**

- 5. Since, an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant who is interested in getting the information, accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, the case is remanded back to the <u>First Appellate Authority i.e. O/o Secretary, Higher Education, Punjab, Phase 8, Mohali</u>, to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.
- 6. With these directions, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file second appeal, if he is not satisfied / does not receive the decision of the First Appellate Authority. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



# FINAL ORDER REMAND BACK TO FAA

Shri Gurbir Singh, (98140-46068) S/o Shri Jagjit Singh, R/o Village Landeke, Tehsil &Distt Moga.

....Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer, O/o** SDO, PSPCL, North Sub Division, Moga.

Regd. Post

Remanded Back to:
First Appellate Authority
O/o Superintending Engineer,
PSPCL, North Sub Division, Moga.

**Encl. RTI application** 

....Respondents

#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 328 OF 2024**

**PRESENT:** Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Absent

#### **ORDER:**

The application dated **05.03.2024** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **14.08.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **24.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent is absent without intimation. However, the complainant is also absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to the parties through registered post but even then both parties are absent. After going through the case file, it is observed that this is the complaint case.
- 3. The attention of the complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768- 32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in

Date: 24.06.2025



#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 328 OF 2024**

- 4. Since, an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant who is interested in getting the information, accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, the case is remanded back to the <u>First Appellate Authority i.e. O/o Superintending Engineer, PSPCL, North Sub Division, Moga</u>, to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.
- 5. With these directions, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file second appeal, if he is not satisfied / does not receive the decision of the First Appellate Authority. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Virinderjit Singh Billing) State Information Commissioner Punjab

2/2

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



# FINAL ORDER REMAND BACK TO FAA

Shri Rakesh Kumar, (94179-36372) S/o Shri Jyoti Ram, R/o NaviAbadi, Tehsil Ajnala, Distt Amritsar.

....Complainant

**Versus** 

**Public Information Officer, O/o** XEN, PWD, Near Celebration Mall, Batala Road, Amritsar.

Regd. Post

Remanded Back to: First Appellate Authority O/o Superintending Engineer, PWD, Near Celebration Mall, Batala Road, Amritsar.

**Encl. RTI application** 

....Respondents

#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 329 OF 2024**

**PRESENT:** Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Absent

#### **ORDER:**

The application dated **04.07.2024** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **14.08.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **24.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent is absent without intimation. However, the complainant is also absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to the parties through registered post but even then both parties are absent. After going through the case file, it is observed that this is the complaint case.
- 3. The attention of the complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768- 32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in

Date: 24.06.2025



#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 329 OF 2024**

- 4. Since, an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant who is interested in getting the information, accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, the case is remanded back to the <u>First Appellate Authority i.e. O/o Superintending Engineer, PWD, Near Celebration Mall, Batala Road, Amritsar</u>, to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.
- 5. With these directions, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file second appeal, if he is not satisfied / does not receive the decision of the First Appellate Authority. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



#### **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Santokh Singh, (81960-55915) S/o Shri Amar Singh, VPO Kila Raipur, Patti Sema, Distt Ludhiana - 141201

....Complainant

**Versus** 

**Public Information Officer, O/o** Chief Engineer, Central Zone, PSPCL, Ludhiana.

....Respondent

#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 330 OF 2024**

**PRESENT:** Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Absent

#### ORDER:

The application dated **15.06.2024** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **16.08.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **24.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent is absent without intimation. However, the complainant is also absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to the parties through registered post but even then both parties are absent.
- 3. The perusal of the case file shows that the respondent submitted his reply to the Commission vide diary no. 8336 dated 04.06.2025, mentioning therein that the sought information has already been sent to the complainant and also mentioned that the complainant is deceased. A copy of the death certificate of the complainant is also attached with the reply.
- 4. Keeping in view of the above and the statement submitted by the respondent, the Commission is of the view that no further cause of action is required. Hence, this case is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Virinderjit Singh Billing) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Date: 24.06.2025

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



# FINAL ORDER REMAND BACK TO FAA

Versus

Mrs. Kulwinder Kaur, (94631-33006) W/o Lt. Col. Hardev Singh (Retd), # 700, New Bank WaliGali, VPO Rayya, Distt Amritsar - 143112

....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o Govt. Girls Sr. Sec School, Rayya, Amritsar.

Regd. Post

Remanded Back to: First Appellate Authority O/o Principal, Govt. Girls Sr. Sec School, Rayya, Amritsar.

Encl. RTI application

....Respondents

#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 423 OF 2024**

**PRESENT:** Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Smt. Gurpreet Kaur, Principal (95011-16010) and

Shri Navjot Singh, Legal Advisor (98143-16119 & 89277-57070)

ORDER:

The application dated **18.04.2024** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in her RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **18.11.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **24.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent states that the sought information has already been supplied to the complainant. Further, she states that the detailed written submission was submitted to the Commission vide diary no. 8932 dated 17.06.2025, which is taken on record.
- 3. The complainant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post even then he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. After going through the case file, it is observed that this is the complaint case.
- 4. The attention of the complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768- 32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in

Date: 24.06.2025



#### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 423 OF 2024**

- 5. Since, an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant who is interested in getting the information, accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, the case is remanded back to the <u>First Appellate Authority i.e. O/o Principal, Govt. Girls Sr. Sec School, Rayya, Amritsar</u>, to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.
- 6. With these directions, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file second appeal, if she is not satisfied / does not receive the decision of the First Appellate Authority. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.