

Sh. Jasbir Singh Guru Nanak Nagar, Village – BholapurJhabewal, P. O. – Ramgarh, Distt. – Ludhiana – 141123(Punjab) (M.-9888296107)

Encl :Reply

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o The Commisisoner of Police, Ludhiana(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority O/o The Commisisoner of Police, Ludhiana(Punjab)

.....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2887 of 2023

Present : None on behalf of the appellant. Sh. Tarsem Singh(M.-9463202689), A. S. I. O/o C. P, Ludhiana.

<u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 30.01.2023 vide which the appellant sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on 27.02.2023 and Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 03.05.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i. e. 23.04.2025.

2. The appellant is absent but has sent the written submissions, which are taken on record. However, the respondent filed the written submissions, relevant portion of which is as follows :

"ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ/ਹਵਾਲਾ ਅਧੀਨ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ, ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮੰਗ ਪੱਤਰ ਵਿੱਚਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਜ਼ਸਵੀਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਵੱਲੋਂਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਨੰਬਰ-2365706 ਅਤੇ 2334503 ਸਬੰਧੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਸੀ, ਜਿਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਸ਼ਾਖਾ, ਸੀ.ਪੀ.ੳ. ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਪਾਸੋਂ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਹਾਸਲ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ, ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾ ਦੀ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਮੁਤਾਬਕ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਨੰਬਰ-2365706 ACP IND/AREA-(A) ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਪਾਸ ਪੈਡਿੰਗ ਹੈ, ਅਤੇ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਨੰਬਰ-2334503 ACP IND/AREA-(B) ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਪਾਸ ਪੈਡਿੰਗ ਹੈ, ਦਰਖਾਸਤਾਂ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਅਧੀਨ ਹੋਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ 2005 ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 8(1) ਐਚ ਤਹਿਤ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਨਹੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ। ਦਰਖਾਸਤਾਂ ਦੀ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਮੁਕੰਮਲ ਹੋਣ ਪਰ ਹੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਨਾਂ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ ਹੈ। ਜਿਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਜ਼ਸਵੀਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਡਾਕ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ, ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾ ਦੀ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਰਾਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ, ਪੰਜਾਬ, ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਜੀ ਪਾਸ ਅਪੀਲ ਕੇਸ ਨੰਬਰ 2887/2023 ਤਰੀਕ ਪੇਸ਼ੀ 23-04-2025 ਸਮਾਂ 11.30 ਏ.ਐਮ ਪਰ ਲੱਗੀ ਹੈ।"

Contd...2/-



Appeal Case No. 2887 of 2023

3. A copy of the submissions made by the respondent is **being sent** to the appellant along with this order.

-2-

4. Keeping in view the specific submissions made by the respondent, enumerated above as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Date : 23rd April, 2025 R

Encl :Reply



Sh. HarmilapSingh Grewal S/o Sh. Jagdish Singh Grewal, H. No. 22173, Street 12/2 Power House Road, Bathinda - 151001 (Punjab) (M.- 9356200018)

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o The Assiatnt Commisioner of Police (Narcotics), Ludhiana (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority O/o The Commisioner of Police, Ludhiana (Punjab)

.....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 4310 of 2023

Vs

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.

Sh. Tarsem Singh(M.-9463202689), A. S. I. O/o C. P, Ludhiana.

<u>ORDER</u>

Encl:

The RTI application is dated 03.05.2023 vide which the appellant sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on 16.05.2023 and Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 06.07.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i. e. 23.04.2025.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. However the respondent made the submission that the information sought can not supplied to the appellant as per section 24 (4) of the RTI Act, 2005 and requested to close the case. Section 24(4),provides that :

"(4) Nothing contained in this Act shall apply to such intelligence and security organisation being organisations established by the State Government, as that Government may, from time to time, by notification in the Official Gazette, specify:

Provided that the information pertaining to the allegations of corruption and human rights violations shall not be excluded under this sub-section:

Provided further that in the case of information sought for is in respect of allegations of violation of human rights, the information shall only be provided after the approval of the State Information Commission and, notwithstanding anything contained in section 7, such information shall be provided within forty-five days from the date of the receipt of request."

Contd...2/-



Appeal Case No. 4310 of 2023

-2-

3. Keeping in view the written submissions made by the respondent, the Commission concurs the same and is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the case is **disposed of and closed**. A copy of the submissions made by the respondent is **being sent** to the appellant alongwih this order.

Date :23rd April, 2025 R

Sh. Gurpreet Singh Sidhu S/o Sh. Amar Singh, Office No. 5017 A, 5th Floor, District Courts, Ludhiana - 141001(Punjab) (M.-8360396612) **RTI Application No. 48045**

.....Appellant

Vs

Ms. Madhu Bala, Public Information Officer-cum-Sation House Officer, Police Station - Haibowal, Distt. - Ludhiana(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority O/o The Commisisoner of Police, Ludhiana (Punjab)

.....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2018 of 2023

Sh. Gurpreet Singh Sidhu, the appellant in person. Present :

Sh. Tarsem Singh(M.-9463202689), A. S. I. O/o C. P. Ludhiana ; i)

ii) Ms. Sandeep Kaur(M.-9876683075), Head (Lady) Constable ;

Sh. Sukhwinder Singh, MHC, on behalf of the respondent. iii)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order 06.03.2025.

2. The appellant submits that the directions may be issued to the respondent PIO to supply the complete information. However, the respondent made the written submissions that the matter is under investigation and orally submits that the sought information cannot be supplied to the appellant under Section 8 (1) (h) of the RTI application. A copy of the response filed by the respondent is handed over to the appellant during the course of hearing.

After detailed deliberations with both the parties, the Commission accepted the 3. submissions made by the respondent and is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the, the case is **disposed and closed**.

Date :23rd April, 2025 R



Ms. Anupama

D/o Sh. Madhosh Devgon, Baba Deep Singh Colony, Gali No. 2, Opposite Bharat Petrol Pump, Amritsar-II-143001 (M:7710151686) RTI Application No. 78939

..Appellant

..respondent(s)

Public Information Officer,

O/o Punjab State Information Commission, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Punjab State Information Commission, Chandigarh

Appeal Case No.6217 of 2023

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.

Sh.Sudhir Kumar, Section Officer-cum-PIO on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 25.07.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 17.08.2023 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 25.09.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. 23.04.2025

2. The respondent is present. However, the appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that a copy of notice of hearing was sent to her through registered post but even though the appellant is absent without intimation, which appears that her absence is intentional & wilful and has nothing to say in this case, and does not intend to pursue this case.

3. Keeping in view the above, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the case is **disposed of & closed**.

Date:23.04.2025 G (Inderpal Singh) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab



Vs



Ms. Navreet Kaur Sekhon

Excise & Taxation Officer, C/o Sekhon Cloth House G.T. Road, Rayyia, District Amritsar (M:7508032132)

Vs

..Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Financial Commissioner, Taxation, Punjab Civil Sectt.-2, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Financial Commissioner, Taxation, Punjab Civil Sectt.-2, Chandigarh Appeal Case No.5274 of 2023

..respondent(s)

Present : None on behalf of the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 25.09.2024 and fixed for hearing on 12.12.2024 but was postponed and fixed for 10.04.2025, which was again postponed due to public holiday declared by the Punjab Government on account of Mahavir Jayanti and fixed for today i.e. 23.04.2025.

2. The respondent PIO is absent without intimation. However, the appellant is also absent but she has sent an email, which is taken on record. It is pertinent to mention that ample opportunities were given to the appellant to remain present but she has failed to avail the given opportunities. Although the respondent is absent but the written submissions have already been received from him vide memo No. 3087 dated 29.2.2024 that the complaint of the appellant has already been filed and a copy of the same had already been sent to the appellant. It is also a fact that the submissions of the respondent were made part and parcel of the order dated 24.4.2024 and since then the case has been adjourned number of times but no counter submissions have been received from the appellant.

3. After perusal of the submissions sent by the respondent, the Commission concur the same. Therefore, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, this case is **disposed of & closed**.

(Inderpal Singh) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

Date:23.04.2025 G



Sh.Rajnish Kumar

(DAV College, Bathinda) #19274, Street No. 6, Bibi Wala Road, Bathinda (M:9815313238)

.. Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o Director, Higher Education, Punjab, PSEB Complex, Sector-62, Mohali

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director, Higher Education, Punjab, PSEB Complex, Sector-62, Mohali

..respondent(s)

Appeal Case No. 4963 of 2023

Vs

Present : None on behalf of the parties.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 05.12.2024 and fixed for hearing on 10.04.2025, which was postponed due to public holiday declared by the Punjab Government on account of Mahavir Jayanti and fixed for today i.e. 23.04.2025.

2. The relevant portion of the order dated 05.12.2024 is as follows:

"2. None of the parties are present in today's hearing. It is also a fact that the respondent had already submitted the reply in this case vide letter dated 26.09.2024 and the same was sent to the appellant. Further the appellant through an e-mail has requested to send the same at his e-mail, accordingly, the same was sent to the appellant to file the deficiencies, if any. Thereafter, the appellant sent an e-mail with the request to send the copy of the letter dated 26.09.2024 through an e-mail. According to the request of the appellant the same was sent to the appellant through e-mail mentioned in his RTI application.



Appeal Case No. 4963 of 2023

Thereafter, one another e-mail has been received from the appellant mentioning therein that his e-mail mentioned in the RTI application is defunct so he requested to resend the e-mail. On receipt of the said mail, again a copy the letter dated 26.09.2024 was sent to the appellant at an e-mail provided by the appellant. Today, an e-mail has been received from the appellant, mentioning therein that he has received the attachment on 03.12.2024 and he has to go through the details. Accordingly, an opportunity is granted to the appellant to fie the deficiencies, if any, before the next date of hearing. It is also a fact that the appellant was directed to place on record a copy of letter no. 2023755392 dated 15.03.2023, information related to which has been sought by him but the appellant has not placed on record the said latter. One **last opportunity** is granted to the appellant to submit the same.

3. Keeping in view the above, the case is adjourned. Also a copy of this order is being sent to the Principal Secretary Higher Education to deliver a copy of this order to the concerned PIO. It is also clarified that both the parties are directed to remain present on the next date of hearing, failing which the case will be decided ex-parte on merit. It is also clarified that no further adjournment will be allowed in this case."

3. Keeping in view the above, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case as the appellant failed to represent this case/point out the deficiency. Hence, this case is **disposed of & closed**.

Date:23.04.2025