Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Morinda, Distt Roopnagar.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Morinda, Distt Roopnagar.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7494 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Harmel Singh, AME (98142-91244)

### ORDER:

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **25.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **25.09.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **10.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Harmel Singh, AME, states that he has brought a point wise reply along with information today to be provided to the appellant.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. Since the appellant is absent, said reply and information is available in the case file and the appellant can collect the same from the office of this Bench on any working day at his convenience.
- 5. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Morinda, Distt Roopnagar.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Morinda, Distt Roopnagar.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7495 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Harmel Singh, AME (98142-91244)

#### ORDER:

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **25.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **25.09.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **10.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Harmel Singh, AME, states that he has brought a point wise reply along with information today to be provided to the appellant.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. Since the appellant is absent, said reply and information is available in the case file and the appellant can collect the same from the office of this Bench on any working day at his convenience.
- 5. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Morinda, Distt Roopnagar.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Morinda, Distt Roopnagar.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7496 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Harmel Singh, AME (98142-91244)

#### ORDER:

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **25.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **25.09.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **10.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Harmel Singh, AME, states that he has brought a point wise reply along with information today to be provided to the appellant.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. Since the appellant is absent, said reply and information is available in the case file and the appellant can collect the same from the office of this Bench on any working day at his convenience.
- 5. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003 Versus

....Appellant

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Morinda, Distt Roopnagar.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Morinda,
Distt Roopnagar.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7497 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Harmel Singh, AME (98142-91244)

#### ORDER:

The RTI application is dated **25.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **25.09.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **10.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Harmel Singh, AME, states that he has brought a point wise reply vide letter dated 14.11.2025 today to be provided to the appellant.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. After having detailed deliberations with the respondent and examining the RTI application alongside reply filed by respondent, the Commission is of the view that PIO's reply adequately addresses the RTI application. A copy of the reply submitted by the respondent be sent to the appellant along with this order. Hence, this case is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Virinderjit Singh Billing) State Information Commissioner Punjab

**Encl:** Letter dated 14.11.2025 sent to the appellant.

Date: 19.11.2025

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Barnala.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Barnala.

....Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 7499 OF 2023** 

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Anil Kumar, Clerk (94780-75942)

**ORDER:** 

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **27.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **25.09.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **10.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Anil Kumar, Clerk, states that the information sought by the appellant is voluminous in nature and requests the appellant to inspect the official record vide letter dated 16.08.2023, to collect the specific information, but the appellant has however, not responded till date. A copy of the same is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Barnala.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Barnala.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7500 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Anil Kumar, Clerk (94780-75942)

### **ORDER:**

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **27.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **25.09.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **10.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Anil Kumar, Clerk, states that the information sought by the appellant is voluminous in nature and requests the appellant to inspect the official record vide letter dated 16.08.2023, to collect the specific information, but the appellant has however, not responded till date. A copy of the same is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Barnala.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Barnala.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7501 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Anil Kumar, Clerk (94780-75942)

### **ORDER:**

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **27.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **25.09.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **10.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Anil Kumar, Clerk, states that the information sought by the appellant is voluminous in nature and requests the appellant to inspect the official record vide letter dated 16.08.2023, to collect the specific information, but the appellant has however, not responded till date. A copy of the same is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Banga, Distt SBS Nagar.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Banga, Distt SBS Nagar.

....Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 7886 OF 2023** 

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Ravinder Kumar, Inspector (75289-54878)

**ORDER:** 

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **22.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **06.10.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Ravinder Kumar, Inspector, states that the information sought by the appellant is not specific and letters were also written to the appellant to mention the specific information, so that the information can be supplied to him, but the appellant has not responded till date. A copy of the same is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The Commission has examined the RTI application and concurs with the respondent PIO that the requested record is not specific. The appellant is advised to make his request for information under the RTI Act 2005, more specific as the present RTI application appears to be more in the way of fishing enquiry.
- 5. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Banga, Distt SBS Nagar.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Banga, Distt SBS Nagar.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7887 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Ravinder Kumar, Inspector (75289-54878)

**ORDER:** 

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **22.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **06.10.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Ravinder Kumar, Inspector, states that the point wise reply has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 24.08.2023 and further, he states that no deficiency has been pointed out by the appellant till date. A copy of the same is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Banga, Distt SBS Nagar.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Banga, Distt SBS Nagar.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7888 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Ravinder Kumar, Inspector (75289-54878)

### ORDER:

The RTI application is dated **22.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **06.10.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Ravinder Kumar, Inspector, states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 24.08.2023. A copy of the same is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Virinderjit Singh Billing) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Date: 19.11.2025

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

**Public Information Officer**,

**O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Banga, Distt SBS Nagar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o EO, Nagar Council, Banga, Distt SBS Nagar.

....Respondents

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Ravinder Kumar, Inspector (75289-54878)

**APPEAL CASE NO. 7889 OF 2023** 

**ORDER:** 

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **22.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **06.10.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Ravinder Kumar, Inspector, states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 14.08.2023, wherein the informantion sought at point nos. 1, 3, 4, 5, is not specific and point no. 2 is available on website <a href="http://lgpunjab.gov.in">http://lgpunjab.gov.in</a>. A copy of the same is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The Commission has examined the RTI application and concurs with the respondent PIO that the requested record is not specific. The appellant is advised to make his request for information under the RTI Act 2005, more specific as the present RTI application appears to be more in the way of fishing enquiry.
- 5. The appeal is accordingly <u>disposed of and closed</u>. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

**Versus** 

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Tapa, Distt Barnala.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Tapa, Distt Barnala.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7880 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Tarsem Chand, JA (94631-22668)

### **ORDER:**

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **28.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **06.10.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Tarsem Chand, JA, states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 30.8.2023. Further, he states that the appellant was also invited for inspection of the record, but the appellant has however, not responded till date. A copy of the same is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Tapa, Distt Barnala.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Tapa, Distt Barnala.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7881 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Tarsem Chand, JA (94631-22668)

**ORDER:** 

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **28.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **06.10.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Tarsem Chand, JA, states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 30.8.2023. Further, he states that the appellant was also invited for inspection of the record, but the appellant has however, not responded till date. A copy of the same is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

**Versus** 

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Tapa, Distt Barnala.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Tapa, Distt Barnala.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7890 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Tarsem Chand, JA (94631-22668)

**ORDER:** 

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **28.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **06.10.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Tarsem Chand, JA, states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 30.8.2023. Further, he states that the appellant was also invited for inspection of the record, but the appellant has however, not responded till date. A copy of the same is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

**Versus** 

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Tapa, Distt Barnala.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Tapa, Distt Barnala.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7891 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Tarsem Chand, JA (94631-22668)

### **ORDER:**

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **28.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **06.10.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Tarsem Chand, JA, states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 30.8.2023. Further, he states that the appellant was also invited for inspection of the record, but the appellant has however, not responded till date. A copy of the same is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Handiaya, Distt Barnala.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Handiaya, Distt Barnala.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7882 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Virinder Pal Singh, Clerk (96463-08521)

### **ORDER:**

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **29.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **06.10.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Virinder Pal Singh, Clerk, states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant through registered post. Further, he states that a reply has already been submitted to the Commission vide letter no. 811 dated 07.11.2025, which is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Handiaya, Distt Barnala.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Handiaya, Distt Barnala.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7883 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Virinder Pal Singh, Clerk (96463-08521)

### **ORDER:**

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **29.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **06.10.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Virinder Pal Singh, Clerk, states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant through registered post. Further, he states that the appellant was also invited for inspection of the record, but the appellant has however, not responded till date. A copy of the same is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Handiaya, Distt Barnala.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Handiaya, Distt Barnala.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7884 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Virinder Pal Singh, Clerk (96463-08521)

### **ORDER:**

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **29.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **06.10.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Virinder Pal Singh, Clerk, states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant through registered post. Further, he states that the appellant was also invited for inspection of the record, but the appellant has however, not responded till date. A copy of the same is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Handiaya, Distt Barnala.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** EO, Nagar Council, Handiaya, Distt Barnala.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7885 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Virinder Pal Singh, Clerk (96463-08521)

### **ORDER:**

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **29.07.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **06.10.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Virinder Pal Singh, Clerk, states that the information sought by the appellant is voluminous in nature and requests the appellant to inspect the official record vide letter dated 02.09.2023, to collect the specific information, but the appellant has however, not responded till date. A copy of the same is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** ATP, Zone C, MC, Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** Commissioner, MC, Ludhiana.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7651 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Hardeep Singh, Draftsman (88727-34541)

### **ORDER:**

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **04.09.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **04.10.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **20.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Hardeep Singh, Draftsman, states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant and also submits the appellant's letter mentioning therein that he wishes to withdraw his appeal case and does not intend to pursue this appeal case, which is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** XEN, B&R, Zone B, MC, Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** Commissioner, MC, Ludhiana.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7687 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Ayush, JE (78891-01328)

**ORDER:** 

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **23.08.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **28.09.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **20.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Ayush, JE, states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant and also submits the appellant's letter mentioning therein that he wishes to withdraw his appeal case and does not intend to pursue this appeal case, which is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** XEN, B&R, Zone D, MC, Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** Commissioner, MC, Ludhiana.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7688 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Jashandeep Singh, JE (78885-24941)

### **ORDER:**

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **18.08.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **28.09.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **20.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Jashandeep Singh, JE, files a reply to the Commission's Notice of Hearing vide letter dated 16.09.2025 mentioning therein that a reply has already been furnished to the appellant. Copy of the same is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** XEN, B&R, Zone B, MC, Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** Commissioner, MC, Ludhiana.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7689 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Ayush, JE (78891-01328)

**ORDER:** 

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **04.09.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **04.10.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **20.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Ayush, JE, states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant and also submits the appellant's letter mentioning therein that he wishes to withdraw his appeal case and does not intend to pursue this appeal case, which is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Shri Gurmej Lal, (97816-72776)

RTI Activist, Whistle Blower, VP, NCAG Office, Street No. 5, # 1331-5, Vishawkarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana - 141003

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, **O/o** Commissioner, Zone C, MC, Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority, O/o** Commissioner, MC, Ludhiana.

....Respondents

## **APPEAL CASE NO. 7690 OF 2023**

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Chand Gill, Clerk (77176-11775)

### ORDER:

Date: 19.11.2025

The RTI application is dated **18.08.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **28.09.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **20.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **19.11.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Shri Chand Gill, Clerk, states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant and also submits the appellant's receiving, mentioning therein that he is satisfied with the supplied information.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.