

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - [psic23@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic23@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com) Helpline no. 0172-2864100**



Sh. Rohit Sharma  
S/o Sh. R. D. Sharma,  
H. No. 150, PreetVihar,  
Airport Road,  
Amritsar - 143008 (Punjab)  
(M.-9876830000)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The District Programme Officer,  
Opp. Madaan Hospital,  
Near NariNiketan,  
Majitha Road, Amritsar (Punjab)

...Respondents

**Complaint Case No. 289 of 2024**

1/2

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Complaint received in the Commission
21.02.2024	01.03.2024	12.07.2024

**Present: Complainant:**Absent  
**Respondent:**Absent

**ORDER:**

1. The Complainant filed above mentioned complaint case in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Both parties are absent. The complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - [psic23@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic23@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com) Helpline no. 0172-2864100



**Complaint Case No.289/2024**

**2/2**

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant **Sh. Rohit Sharma** within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The compliant is **DISPOSED OFF** accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

Chandigarh  
**18.11.2025**

Sd/-  
**(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

CC:

**First Appellant Authority,**  
**O/o The District Programme Officer,**  
**Opp. Madaan Hospital,**  
**Near NariNiketan,**  
**Majitha Road, Amritsar (Punjab)**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - [psic23@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic23@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com) Helpline no. 0172-2864100



Sh. Darshan Singh  
S/o Sh. Jagir Singh,  
H. No. B/89, DLF Colony,  
Patiala - 147001(Punjab)  
(M.-9876104919)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The A. E. E.,  
Powercom Sub Division – Aour,  
Distt. – Nawanshaher(Punjab)

...Respondents

**Complaint Case No.290 of 2024**  
**1/2**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Complaint received in the Commission
04.05.2024	--	15.07.2024

**Present:**

**Complainant:** Absent

**Respondent:** Sh. Harinder Singh (LM/SDC)

**ORDER:**

1. The Complainant filed above mentioned complaint case in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Complainant absent, the respondent Sh. Harinder Singh (LM/SDC) is present in the commission with information/reply which he said to provide the appellant also by post. As, the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - [psic23@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic23@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com) Helpline no. 0172-2864100



**Complaint Case No.290/2024**

**2/2**

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant **Sh. Darshan Singh** within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The compliant is **DISPOSED OFF** accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

Chandigarh  
**18.11.2025**

Sd/-  
**(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

CC: First Appellant Officer,  
O/oThe A. E. E.,  
Powercom Sub Division – Aour,  
Distt. – Nawanshaher(Punjab)

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - [psic23@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic23@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com) Helpline no. 0172-2864100**



Sh. Hartegbir Singh  
S/o Sh. Amritpal Singh,  
Village – ChakkHolgarh,  
Tehsil - SriAnandpur Sahib,  
Distt. - Roopnagar(Punjab)  
(M.-9876270779)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/oThe Executive Officer,  
Nagar Council,  
Sri Anandpur Sahib,  
Distt. - Roopnagar(Punjab)

...Respondents

**Complaint Case No.291 of 2024**  
**1/2**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Complaintreceived in the Commission
09.05.2024	--	16.07.2024

**Present:**

**Complainant:** Sh. Hartegbir Singh

**Respondent:** Sh. Simran Pal Singh (Accontanat)

**ORDER:**

1. The Complainant filed above mentioned complaint case in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Both the parties are present in the Commission and heard. The respondent PIO's representative, **Sh. Simran Pal Singh**, handed over the requisite information/reply to the complainant during the hearing. The complainant, however, submitted that the information/reply furnished is **unsatisfactory and misleading**, as the complete point-wise information sought in his RTI application has not been provided. He further stated that **larger public interest** is involved and therefore complete disclosure is necessary. As, the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - [psic23@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic23@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com) Helpline no. 0172-2864100



**Complaint Case No.291/2024**

**2/2**

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant **Sh. Hartegbir Singh** within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The compliant is **DISPOSED OF** accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

Chandigarh  
**18.11.2025**

Sd/-  
**(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

**CC: First Appellant Officer, (Encl. RTI)**  
O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner Urban Development,  
Rupnagar-cum-Applicant Authority,  
Room No.: 309 Mini  
Secretariat-8, D.C. Complex  
Rupnagar 140001

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - [psic23@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic23@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com) Helpline no. 0172-2864100



Sh. Hartegbir Singh  
S/o Sh. Amritpal Singh,  
Village – ChakkHolgarh,  
Tehsil - Sri Anandpur Sahib,  
Distt. - Roopnagar(Punjab)  
(M.-9876270779)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/oThe Executive Officer,  
Nagar Council,  
Sri Anandpur Sahib,  
Distt. - Roopnagar(Punjab)

...Respondents

**Complaint Case No. 292 of 2024**

**1/2**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Complaintreceived in the Commission
09.05.2024	--	16.07.2024

**Present:**

**Complainnat:**Sh. Hartegbir Singh

**Respondent:**Sh. Simran Pal Singh (Accontanat)

**ORDER:**

1. The Complainant filed above mentioned complaint case in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Both the parties are present in the Commission and heard. The respondent PIO's representative, **Sh. Simran Pal Singh**, handed over the requisite information/reply to the complainant during the hearing. The complainant, however, submitted that the information/reply furnished is **unsatisfactory and misleading**, as the complete point-wise information sought in his RTI application has not been provided. He further stated that **larger public interest** is involved and therefore complete disclosure is necessary.As, the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - [psic23@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic23@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com) Helpline no. 0172-2864100



**Complaint Case No.292/2024**

**2/2**

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant **Sh. Hartegbir Singh** within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The compliant is **DISPOSED OFF** accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

Chandigarh  
**18.11.2025**

Sd/-  
**(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

**CC: First Appellant Officer, (Encl. RTI)**  
O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner Urban Development,  
Rupnagar-cum-Applicant Authority,  
Room No.: 309 Mini  
Secretariat-8, D.C. Complex  
Rupnagar 140001



**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - [psic23@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic23@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com) Helpline no. 0172-2864100**



Sh. Hartegbir Singh  
S/o Sh. Amritpal Singh,  
Village – ChakkHolgarh,  
Tehsil - Sri Anandpur Sahib,  
Distt. - Roopnagar(Punjab)(M.-9876270779)....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/oThe Executive Officer,  
Nagar Council,  
Sri Anandpur Sahib,  
Distt. - Roopnagar(Punjab)

...Respondents

**Complaint Case No. 293 of 2024**  
**1/2**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Complaintreceived in the Commission
09.05.2024	--	16.07.2024

**Present:**

**Complainnat:** Sh. Hartegbir Singh

**Respondent:** Sh. Simran Pal Singh (Accontanat)

**ORDER:**

1. The Complainant filed above mentioned complaint case in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Both the parties are present in the Commission and heard. The respondent PIO's representative, **Sh. Simran Pal Singh**, handed over the requisite information/reply to the complainant during the hearing. The complainant, however, submitted that the information/reply furnished is **unsatisfactory and misleading**, as the complete point-wise information sought in his RTI application has not been provided. He further stated that **larger public interest** is involved and therefore complete disclosure is necessary. As, the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - [psic23@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic23@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com) Helpline no. 0172-2864100



**Complaint Case No.293/2024**

**2/2**

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant **Sh. Hartegbir Singh** within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The compliant is **DISPOSED OFF** accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

Chandigarh  
**18.11.2025**

Sd/-  
**(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

**CC: First Appellant Officer, (Encl. RTI)**  
O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner Urban Development,  
Rupnagar-cum-Applciant Authority,  
Room No.: 309 Mini  
Secretariat-8, D.C. Complex  
Rupnagar 140001

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - [psic23@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic23@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com) Helpline no. 0172-2864100



Sh. JasbirSingh Bhangu(Er.),  
S.C.F. 9-10,  
Opp. Guru NnanakDev University,  
P. O.-Khalsa College,  
Amritsar(Punjab)

V/s

....Appellant

Public Information Officer,  
O/oTheSecretary,  
Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab,  
VikasBhawan, Sector 62,  
S.A.S. Nagar(Mohali) (Punjab)

...Respondents

**Complaint Case No. 294 of 2024**  
**1/2**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Complaintreceived in the Commission
07.08.2023	25.10.2023	16.07.2024

**Present:**

**Complainnat:**Absent

**Respondent:**Ms. Shivani (Sr. Asst.) nd Ms. Vandana (Supt.)

**ORDER:**

1. The Complainant filed above mentioned complaint case in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. The complainant is absent without any intimation. However, the respondent PIO's representatives, **Ms. Shivani (Sr. Assistant)** and **Ms. Vandana (Superintendent)**, appeared and stated that the requisite information has already been supplied to the complainant vide letter **Ref. No. 6274 dated 30.08.2024**.As, the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - [psic23@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic23@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com) Helpline no. 0172-2864100



**Complaint Case No.294/2024**

**2/2**

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant **Sh. Jasbir Singh Bhangu** within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The compliant is **DISPOSED OF** accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

Chandigarh  
**18.11.2025**

Sd/-  
**(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

CC: First Appellant Officer, (Encl. RTI)  
O/o Director, Rural Development and Panchayats,  
Punjab, VikasBhawan, Sector 62,  
S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - [psic23@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic23@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com) Helpline no. 0172-2864100



Sh.Raj Kumar,  
H. No. 284 – D, Jujharnagar,  
Patiala (Punjab)  
(M.-9814347699)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/oThe Director,  
Public Instructions (Colleges) Pb.,  
VidyaBhawan, P.S.E.B. Complex,  
Sector 62,S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab) ...Respondents

**Complaint Case No. 295of 2024**  
**1/2**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Complaintreceived in the Commission
20.05.2024	--	16.07.2024

**Present:**

**Complainnat:**Absent

**Respondent:**Sh. Kulvir Singh (Sr. Asst.)

**ORDER:**

1. The Complainant filed above mentioned complaint case in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. The complainant is absent without any intimation. However, the respondent PIO's representatives, Sh. Kulvir Singh (Sr. Asst.), appeared and stated that the requisite information has already been supplied to the complainant.As, the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - [psic23@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic23@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com) Helpline no. 0172-2864100



**Complaint Case No.295/2024**

**2/2**

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant **Sh. Raj Kumar** within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The compliant is **DISPOSED OFF** accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

Chandigarh  
**18.11.2025**

Sd/-  
**(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

**CC: First Appellant Officer,** (Encl. RTI)  
O/o The Director,  
Public Instructions (Colleges) Pb.,  
VidyaBhawan, P.S.E.B. Complex,  
Sector 62, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - [psic23@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic23@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com) Helpline no. 0172-2864100



Sh. Harnek Singh,  
Ward No. 9, Sandhua Road,  
P. O. & Tehsil – SriChamkaur Sahib,  
Distt. - Roopnagar(Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/oThe Director,  
Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab,  
VikasBhawan, Sector 62,  
S.A.S. Nagar(Mohali) (Punjab)

...Respondents

**Complaint Case No. 296of 2024**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Complaintreceived in the Commission
13.05.2024	24.06.2024	16.07.2024

**Present:**

**Complainnat:**Absent

**Respondent:**Ms. Kamlesh Rani (Supt.), Sh. Jatinder Singh (Sr. Asst.)

**ORDER:**

1. The Complainant filed above mentioned complaint case in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. The complainant is absent without any intimation. However, the respondent PIO's representatives, Ms. Kamlesh Rani (Supt.), Sh. Jatinder Singh (Sr. Asst.), appeared and stated that the requisite information has already been supplied to the complainant.As, the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - [psic23@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic23@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com) Helpline no. 0172-2864100



**Complaint Case No.296/2024**

**2/2**

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant **Sh. Harnek Singh** within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The compliant is **DISPOSED OFF** accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

Chandigarh  
**18.11.2025**

Sd/-  
**(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

**CC: First Appellant Officer,** (Encl. RTI)  
O/o The Director,  
Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab,  
VikasBhawan, Sector 62,  
S.A.S. Nagar(Mohali) (Punjab)



**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - [psic23@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic23@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com) Helpline no. 0172-2864100**



**Ms. BachanKaur**  
**W/o Sh. Ranjit Singh,**  
**DilchiKe Road,**  
**Near Guru TegBahadur School Wali Street,**  
**Ferozepur(Punjab)**  
**(M.-9464410330)**

....Appellant

V/s

**Public Information Officer,**  
**O/oThe Senior Superintendent of Police,**  
**Ferozepur(Punjab)**

...Respondents

**Complaint Case No.297 of 2024**  
**1/2**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Complaintreceived in the Commission
02.05.2024	--	18.07.2024

**Present:**

**Complainnat:Ms. BachanKaur**

**Respondent:ASI Balwinder Singh**

**ORDER:**

1. The Complainant filed above mentioned complaint case in the Commission. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Both the parties are present. The complainant, **Ms. BachanKaur**, has been heard. The Respondent, **ASI Balwinder Singh**, has handed over the information/reply to the complainant during the hearing. The complainant submits that the reply is misleading and incorrect, and that too has been supplied after an inordinate delay. She insists that she requires the correct and complete information.As, the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - [psic23@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic23@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com) Helpline no. 0172-2864100



**Complaint Case No.297/2024**

**2/2**

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant **Ms. BachanKaur** within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The compliant is **DISPOSED OFF** accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

**Note : The Commission takes a serious view of the delay and issues a strict warning to the Respondent PIO to ensure timely and accurate disposal of RTI applications in future.**

Chandigarh  
18.11.2025

Sd/-  
**(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

CC: First Appellant Officer, (Encl. RTI)  
O/o Deputy Inspector General of Police,  
Ferozepur Range  
Ferozepur Cantt, Punjab – 152001