Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Baldev Singh (98147-15043) S/o Shri Swaran Singh, House No. 933/7 A, Street No. 5, New Deep Nagar, Civil Lines,

Versus

....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Tehsildar East, Ludhiana.

Ludhiana - 141001

First Appellate Authority, O/o SDM East, Ludhiana.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 7732 OF 2023

PRESENT: Appellant: Shri Baldev Singh (98147-15043)

Respondent: Shri Amritbir Singh, PIO-cum-Tehsildar (79881-60633)

ORDER:

Date: 18.11.2025

This order may be read with the reference to the previous order dated 05.08.2025.

- 2. The appellant states that he has received a reply from the respondent, but the same was not satisfactory.
- 3. The respondent PIO-cum-Tehsildar, Shri Amritbir Singh, states that the record is very old. He states that the record was placed in the basement of the office and destroyed due to flood. Further, he states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant and once again sent to the appellant as directed at the last hearing vide letter no. 341 dated 21.10.2025, a relevant portion of the same is reproduce below:

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਤੇ ਹਵਾਲਾ ਅਧੀਨ ਪੱਤਰ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਆਪ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਜ਼ੌ ਸੂਚਨਾਂ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਉਸ ਸੂਚਨਾਂ (ਰਿਕਾਰਡ) ਬਹੁਤ ਪੁਰਾਣਾ ਹੋਣ ਕਾਰਨ ਖਰਾਬ ਹੋ ਚੁੱਕਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਆਪ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾਂ 20 ਸਾਲ ਤੋਂ ਵੱਧ ਸਮਾਂ ਹੋਣ ਕਾਰਨ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਐਕਟ 2005 ਅਧੀਨ ਧਾਰਾ 8 (1) ਤਹਿਤ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਉਪਲਬਧ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ ਹੈ।

- 4. Furthermore, the respondent PIO-cum-Tehsildar, Shri Amritbir Singh, proffered an oral explanation for the delay in addressing the RTI application, in view of which, I am satisfied that there was no malafide intent on the part of the PIO to deny or delay the sought information. The show cause notice which was issued to the PIO concerned vide Commission's order dated 05.08.2025 is **dropped**.
- 5. The Commission has examined the RTI application alongside the PIO's reply and notes that the information sought by the appellant is very old and pertains to the year 1986. The Commission is of the view that the respondent PIO has adequately addressed the RTI application.
- 6. In view of the above, no further cause of action. The appeal is accordingly, **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Virinderjit Singh Billing) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Mangal Singh, (92169-13015) # 296 S.J.S Avenue, Ajnala Road, Amritsar - 143008

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, **O/o** District Social Security Officer, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Director, Social Security and Women & Child Development, Punjab, Chandigarh.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 7172 OF 2024

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

This order may be read with the reference to the previous order dated 05.08.2025.

- 2. The respondent is absent, but an email dated 14.11.2025 has been received from him mentioning therein that the sought information has already been provided to the appellant and also submits a appellant's receiving mentioning therein that he had received the information.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that copy of previous order was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Virinderjit Singh Billing) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Date: 18.11.2025

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Dilpreet Singh, (62802-58589) S/o Shri Raminder Singh, # 122, Shaheed Baba Deep Singh Nagar, Dhandra Road, Dugri, Ludhiana - 141013

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, **O/o** Secretary, (SE), Punjab, Mini Sectt. Sector - 9, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Secretary, (SE), Punjab, Mini Sectt. Sector - 9, Chandigarh.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 1680 OF 2025

PRESENT: Appellant: Shri Dilpreet Singh (62802-58589) accompanied by his father

Shri Raminder Singh

Respondent: Shri Gurpreet, SA (97804-17565)

ORDER:

This order may be read with the reference to the previous order dated **05.08.2025**.

- 2. The appellant states that he has not received any reply from the respondent as directed at the last hearing.
- 3. The respondent states that he has brought a reply today to be provided to the appellant. Copy of the same is handed over to the appellant. After examining the reply the appellant states that he is satisfied with the reply and requested to close his present appeal case.
- 4. Since, the appellant has expressed his satisfaction over the same. Hence, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Virinderjit Singh Billing) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Date: 18.11.2025

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Ms. Deepti Saluja, (98882-03328)Advocate, S/o Shri Chranjeet Singh, # 6834/3A, Mohar Singh Nagar, Ludhiana.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, **O/o** Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o DGP, Punjab, Chandigarh.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 280 OF 2023 Through Cisco Webex

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

This order may be read with the reference to the previous order dated 17.06.2025.

- 2. The appellant is absent, even though the opportunity was given to her to appear before Commission via Cisco Webex. A letter has been received from her in the Commission vide diary no. 16572 dated 03.10.2025 mentioning therein that the sought information is still pending. She also mentioned that affidavit must be provided to her by the respondent PIO and requests for compensation for harassment. The said letter is taken on record.
- 3. The respondent PIO is absent, but an email dated 17.11.2025 has been received from the respondent mentioning therein that the appellant was invited for inspection of the concerned record as directed at the last hearing, but the appellant did not responded till date. He also mentioned that a reply has already been sent to the appellant and once again sent to the appellant vide letter dated 05.02.2025, a relevant portion of the same is reproduced below:

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ, ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲ ਕਰਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਮੰਗ ਪੱਤਰ ਮਿਤੀ 03-10-2022 ਵਿੱਚ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਨੰਬਰ 592-5ਸੀ/ਏ.ਡੀ.ਸੀ.ਪੀ-ਪੀਬੀਆਈ ਆਰਥਿਕ 5 ਸਾਇਬਰ ਕਰਾਈਮ ਬਾਰੇ ਲੜੀ ਨੰਬਰ 01 ਤੋਂ 15 ਤੱਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਸੀ। ਜਿਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਅਪੀਲ ਕਰਤਾ ਨੂੰ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 3927/ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਮਿਤੀ 12-10-2022 ਰਾਹੀ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ, ਕਿ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਐਕਟ 2005 ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 2F ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰੀਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਨੂੰ ਕਵਰ ਨਹੀ ਕਰਦੀ। ਲੋਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਫਸਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਅਜਿਹੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ Create/Interpret ਕਰਕੇ ਨਹੀਂ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ। ਅਪੀਲ ਕਰਤਾ ਦੀ ਪਹਿਲੀ ਅਪੀਲ ਮੌਸੂਲ ਹੋਣ ਪਰ ਦੁਬਾਰਾ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 391/ਐਫ.ਏ/ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਮਿਤੀ 15-12-2022 ਰਾਹੀ ਦੁਬਾਰਾ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ।

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



APPEAL CASE NO. 280 OF 2023

- 4. The perusal of the case file shows that the appellant was granted multiple opportunities to inspect the concerned record in the respondent's office. The Commission notes that at the last hearing held on 17.06.2025, the appellant was awarded **one last opportunity** to inspect the record, if she was unable then she can send her representative for inspection of the official record pertaining to the RTI application. The appellant, Ms. Deepti Saluja, has failed to comply with this Commission's direction.
- 5. The Commission has examined the RTI application it is observed that the appellant has demanded information in a question form. Here the Commission referred to the definition of information U/s Section 2(f) of the RTI Act, 2005 which is reproduced as under:

'information" means any material in any form, including records, documents, memos, e-mails, opinions, advices, press releases, circulars, orders, logbooks. contracts, reports, papers, samples, models, data material held in any electronic form and information relating to any private body which can be accessed by a public authority under any other law for the time being in force.

- 6. At the outset, the Commission observes that under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, only such information as is available and existing and held by the public authority or is under control of the public authority can be provided. The PIO is not supposed to create information.
- 7. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by the respondent, no further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter. The appeal stands **disposed of** and closed accordingly. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/(Virinderjit Singh Billing)

Date: 18.11.2025
State Information Commissioner
Puniab

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Neeraj Kumar, (90412-22236) C/o Chamber No. 736, District Courts, Bathinda

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, **O/o** SSP, Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority, O/o SSP, Bathinda.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2881 of 2023 THROUGH CISCO WEBEX

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: ASI Resham Singh (70098-80345)

ORDER:

Date: 18.11.2025

This order may be read with the reference to the previous order dated **02.07.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Resham Singh, is present in person at PSIC office. He states that all the requested information has already been provided to the appellant as available in the official records and there is nothing more is available on record, which could be supplied to the appellant. Further, he states that the appellant has not pointed out any deficiency till date as directed at the last hearing.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that copy of previous order was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing.
- 4. After having detailed deliberations with the respondent and examining the case file, the Commission is of the view that the appellant has not pointed out any deficiency in the information so supplied by the respondent PIO, which appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard. Therefore, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Virinderjit Singh Billing) State Information Commissioner Punjab