

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



**Ms. Baljinder Kaur,**  
D/o Sh. Mithu Singh,  
Village and PO Sivian, Tehsil  
& District Bathinda -151202  
M: 9463321626, 8360096657

....Appellant

V/s.

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o District Education Officer, (Elem.)  
Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o District Education Officer, (Elem.)  
Bathinda.

...Respondents

## **Appeal Case No. 492 of 2019**

**Present:** Sh. Paramjeet Singh is present on behalf of the Appellant, Ms. Baljinder Kaur.  
Sh. Rajwinder Singh, Junior Assistant is present on behalf of PIO/Respondent.

### **ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 14.10.2019 vide which an opportunity was given to the Appellant to appear in person or through any of her representative on the next date of hearing for clarifying the facts of this case, failing which the case will be decided on merits.

In today's hearing, the representative of the Appellant, Sh. Paramjeet Singh states that incomplete information has been supplied to the Appellant. He further states that information relating to 25 candidates has been supplied to the Appellant.

On this, the representative of the Respondent/PIO, Sh. Rajwinder Singh states that as per the category wise the information relating to the candidates has been supplied to the Appellant apart from this the information is voluminous in nature and if he want any other specific record then he can visit their office for inspection within a week and can collect the same.

### **Both the parties mutually agreed on this.**

After hearing both the parties, the representative of the Appellant is advised to bring the authority letter to the Court on the next date of hearing positively failing which Sh. Paramjeet Singh will not be entertained on the next date. The Appellant is further advised to visit the concerned office for inspection of records within a week. After inspection he can collect the specific record. The concerned PIO, officials and Sh. Rajwinder Singh, Junior Assistant are directed to be present there on the decided date and time to facilitate the Appellant for inspection of records. If the Appellant doesn't visit for inspection at the above said date and time then it will be presumed that the Appellant is satisfied and he doesn't require the requisite information anymore and the case will be decided on merits. The Appellant is further directed to appear in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing.

The Respondent/PIO is further directed to appear in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing.

**The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for 10.02.2020 at 11.00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



**Sh. Baljit Singh, S/o Sh. Pritam Dass Banga,**  
Village Grewal, PO Dhamana, Tehsil Anandpur Sahib,  
Distt. Roapar. **M: 9878745100**

...Complainant

V/s.

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o The Director, Rural Development and Panchayat  
Vikas Bhawan, Sector – 62, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali.)

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Block Development Panchayat Officer,  
Nurpur Bedi, Distt, Ropar.

... Respondents

## Complaint Case No. 583 of 2019

**Present :** Sh. Narinder Singh is present on behalf of the Complainant, Sh. Baljit Singh.  
Ms. Renu Bala, Superintendent from O/o BDPO, Nurpur Bedi is present on behalf of Respondent/PIO.

### ORDER

This complaint case was last heard on 14.10.2019 and on the previous hearing, the Respondent/ PIO was advised to supply the remaining information (i.e. point number 2, 3, 7, 9 and 10) of the RTI Application to the Complainant through registered post before the next date of hearing.

In today's hearing, the representative of the Respondent/ PIO, Ms. Renu Bala states that they have supplied the remaining information to the Complainant and she further requests to the representative of the Complainant that if he want any other specific record then he can visit their office tomorrow for inspection.

On this, the representative of the Complainant, Sh. Narinder Singh states that incomplete information has been received by the Complainant.

On further discussing on the remaining points (i.e. point number 2, 3, 7, 9 and 10) of the RTI Application, it has been observed that the representative of the Complainant is satisfied with the reply given by the Respondent/ PIO against the point number 02, relating to the point number 03 and 07 the Complainant is advised to visit the concerned office tomorrow for inspection of records and for relating to point number 09, the Complainant is advised to deposit the assessed fees against the samples and after depositing the assessed fees he can collect the samples accordingly. In relating to point number 10, the Complainant is advised to be more specific and mention the specific work in writing to the representative of the Respondent/ PIO so that they can provide the same.

The Respondent/ PIO is advised to supply the information 'free of cost' up to 15 pages only but if the information is more than 15 pages then the Complainant has to deposit the assessed fees against the information to the Respondent/ PIO. **Both the parties agreed to this.**

The Complainant is advised to visit the concerned office tomorrow for inspection of records. The concerned PIO, officials and Ms. Renu Bala, Superintendent are directed to be present there on the decided date and time to facilitate the Complainant for inspection of records. If the Complainant doesn't visit for inspection at the above said date and time then it will be presumed that the Complainant is satisfied and he doesn't require the requisite information

**Complaint Case No. 583 of 2019**



anymore and the case will be decided on merits. The Complainant is further advised to appear in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing.

The Respondent/PIO is further advised to appear in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing.

**The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for 10.02.2020 at 11.00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



**Sh. Sarabjit Singh, S/o Late Sh. Harbans Singh,**  
House No. 292, Nagar Sudhar, Trust Colony , Scheme No. 5,  
Jail Road, Gurdaspur. **M: 7009249820**

...Appellant

V/s.

**(Regd. Post)Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Nagar Council, Dera Baba Nanak, Distt. Gurdaspur.  
**Encl. RTI Application**

**(Regd. Post) First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Dera Baba Nanak,  
Distt. Gurdaspur.

... Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2305 of 2019**

**Present :** The Appellant, Sh. Sarabjit Singh is absent.  
None is present on behalf of Respondent/PIO.

**ORDER**

This case was last heard on 14.10.2019 vide which an opportunity was given to both the parties.

In today's hearing, the Appellant is again absent but an email dated 11.12.2019 has been received in the Commission in which it has been written that he is unable to appear in today's Court and further stated that no information has been supplied to him till date.

The Respondent/ PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission.

After going through the case file, Commission takes a very serious view of the casual approach of the Respondent/ PIO, as no intimation has been received from the Respondent/ PIO relating to today's hearing.

**In view of the above, a show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act is issued to PIO O/o Nagar Council, Dera Baba Nanak, Distt. Gurdaspur as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay in supplying the information to the RTI Appellant and as to why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.**

In addition, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision thereto, for a personal hearing with the sought for information, before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing.

The PIO/Respondent is directed to supply complete information to the Appellant, by registered post before the next date of hearing. The PIO concerned is further directed to appear in person along with the postal receipt, through which information would be sent to the Appellant, on the next date of hearing. **A copy of the RTI request be sent to the PIO by name through registered post.**

The Appellant is advised to go through the requisite information, once he receives the same from the Respondent/PIO and point out deficiencies in written, if any, to the Respondent/PIO through registered post, with a copy to the Commission, failing which the case will be decided on merits. The Appellant is further advised to appear in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing.

**The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for 10.02.2020 at 11.00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

**Sd/-  
(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



**Sh. Sarabjit Singh, S/o Late Sh. Harbans Singh,**  
House No. 292, Nagar Sudhar, Trust Colony , Scheme No. 5,  
Jail Road, Gurdaspur. **M: 7009249820**

...Appellant

V/s.

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Dera Baba Nanak,  
Distt. Gurdaspur.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Dera Baba Nanak,  
Distt. Gurdaspur.

... Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2634 of 2019**

**Present :** The Appellant, Sh. Sarabjit Singh is absent.  
None is present on behalf of Respondent/PIO.

**ORDER**

This case was last heard on 14.10.2019.

In today's hearing, the Appellant is absent but a letter vide diary number 23390 dated 04.12.2019 has been received in the Commission vide which it has been written that he is unable to appear in today's Court and in his letter he further writes that he wants to withdraw his Appeal case i.e. 2634 of 2019, which is taken on record.

None is present on behalf of the Respondent/PIO.

In view of above, as the Appellant withdraws his appeal case. So, no further intervention is required in this case.

**This case is disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**(Sanjiv Garg)**

**State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



**Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate**  
# 8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur,  
District: Ludhiana (141101)  
M: 9814924851

...Appellant

V/s.

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council,  
Mullanpur Dakha, Distt. Ludhiana.

**(Regd. Post) Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council,  
Jagraon, Distt. Ludhiana. **{Encl. RTI Application}**

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Deputy Director, Local Bodies,  
Mini Secretariat, Ludhiana.

... Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2608 of 2019**

**Present :** The Appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar is present.  
Sh. Parminder Singh, Executive Officer –cum- PIO O/o MC, Mullanpur Dakha is present  
on behalf of Respondent/PIO.

**ORDER**

This case was last heard on 14.10.2019 vide which the Respondent/ PIO was directed to supply the requisite information to the Appellant, before the next date of hearing.

In today's hearing, the PIO, Sh. Parminder Singh states that this information has to be sought from PIO O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Jagraon, Distt. Ludhiana and the RTI of the same had already been transferred to them.

The Appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar states that no information has been supplied to them.

After going through the case file, it has been observed that an email dated 16.12.2019 has been received in the Commission vide which it has been written that the PIO –cum- Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Jagraon would not be able to appear in today's hearing as a special team is coming in their office for checking work relating to M.P. Land and PIO has to be present there, that's why, they had requested for a date.

In view of above, the PIO, O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Jagraon, Distt. Ludhiana is directed to supply the requisite information to the Appellant through registered post before the next date of hearing positively. Also, the Public Information Officer, O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Mullanpur Dakha, Distt. Ludhiana is exempted from further hearings relating to this case. The Respondent/ PIO concerned is further directed to appear in person along with the postal receipt, through which information would be sent to the Appellant, on the next date of hearing. **A copy of the RTI request be sent to the PIO by name through registered post.**

The Appellant is advised to go through the requisite information, once he receives the same from the Respondent/PIO and point out deficiencies in written, if any, to the Respondent/PIO through registered post, with a copy to the Commission, failing which the case will be decided on merits. The Appellant is further advised to appear in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing.

**The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for 10.02.2020 at 11.00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-  
**(Sanjiv Garg)**

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

**State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



**Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate**  
# 8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur,  
District: Ludhiana (141101)  
M: 9814924851

...Appellant

V/s.

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council,  
Mullanpur Dakha, Distt. Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Deputy Director, Local Bodies,  
Mini Secretariat, Ludhiana.

... Respondents

## Appeal Case No. 2609 of 2019

**Present :** The Appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar is present.  
Sh. Parminder Singh, Executive Officer –cum- PIO O/o MC, Mullanpur Dakha is present  
on behalf of Respondent/PIO.

### ORDER

This case was last heard on 14.10.2019 vide which the Respondent/ PIO was directed to supply the requisite information to the Appellant, before the next date of hearing.

In today's hearing, the PIO, Sh. Parminder Singh handed over the requisite information to the Appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar during the proceedings of the Court. He further submits a document vide endorsement number 1009 dated 13.12.2019 to the Court, which is taken on record.

After going through the supplied information, the Appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar states that the information is incomplete in nature.

On this, the PIO, Sh. Parminder Singh requested for a date as he recently joined the office and further assures that he will supply the requisite information to the Appellant, before the next date of hearing.

After going through the case file, an opportunity is given to the PIO and he is further directed to supply complete information to the Appellant, by registered post before the next date of hearing. The PIO is further directed to appear in person along with the postal receipt, through which information would be sent to the Appellant, on the next date of hearing.

The Appellant is advised to go through the requisite information, once he receives the same from the Respondent/PIO and point out deficiencies in written, if any, to the Respondent/PIO through registered post, with a copy to the Commission, failing which the case will be decided on merits. The Appellant is further advised to appear in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing.

**The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for 10.02.2020 at 11.00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



**Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate**

# 8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur,

District: Ludhiana (141101)

M: 9814924851

...Appellant

V/s.

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council,

Mullanpur Dakha, Distt. Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Deputy Director, Local Bodies,

Mini Secretariat, Ludhiana.

... Respondents

## Appeal Case No. 2610 of 2019

**Present :** The Appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar is present.

Sh. Parminder Singh, Executive Officer –cum- PIO O/o MC, Mullanpur Dakha is present on behalf of Respondent/PIO.

## ORDER

This case was last heard on 14.10.2019 vide which the Respondent/ PIO was directed to supply the requisite information to the Appellant, before the next date of hearing.

In today's hearing, the PIO, Sh. Parminder Singh requested for a date as he recently joined the office and further assures that he will supply the requisite information to the Appellant, before the next date of hearing.

The Appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar states that no information has been supplied to him till date.

After going through the case file and discussing with both the parties, an opportunity is given to the PIO and he is further directed to supply complete information to the Appellant, by registered post before the next date of hearing, failing which an action would be taken against him as per RTI Act, 2005, on the next date of hearing. The PIO is further directed to appear in person along with the postal receipt, through which information would be sent to the Appellant, on the next date of hearing.

The Appellant is advised to go through the requisite information, once he receives the same from the Respondent/PIO and point out deficiencies in written, if any, to the Respondent/PIO through registered post, with a copy to the Commission, failing which the case will be decided on merits. The Appellant is further advised to appear in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing.

**The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for 10.02.2020 at 11.00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

Sd/-  
(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab



# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab



**Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta**

R/o # 8/237, Jagraon Road,  
Mandi Mullanpur,  
Distt.Ludhiana-141101(Punjab)

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o The Executive Officer,  
Nagar Council, Mullanpur Dakha,  
District - Ludhiana (Punjab)

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o The Regional Deputy Director,  
Urban Local Bodies, Pb.,  
Ludhiana (Punjab)

...Respondents

## Appeal Case No. 3445 of 2018

**Present :** The Appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar is present.

Sh. Parminder Singh, Executive Officer –cum- PIO O/o MC, Mullanpur Dakha is present on behalf of Respondent/PIO.

### ORDER

This case was last heard on 09.10.2019 vide which the Respondent/ PIO was directed to facilitate the Appellant to inspect the relevant official record on 01.11.2019 at 11:00 A.M. and supply the required documents to the Appellant after the inspection.

In today's hearing, the PIO, Sh. Parminder Singh states that due to some reasons the inspection couldn't take place. He requests for a new date for the inspection and assures that this time it will be his responsibility.

The Appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar states that incomplete information has been supplied to him till date.

After hearing both the parties, final opportunity is given to PIO, Sh. Parminder Singh for supplying the requisite information to the Appellant on the inspection date i.e. **27.12.2019**, failing which an action would be taken against him on the next date of hearing. The Appellant is further advised to visit the concerned office for inspection of records on **27.12.2019 at 11:00 A.M..** After inspection he can collect the specific record. The concerned PIO and officials are directed to be present there on the decided date and time to facilitate the Appellant for inspection of records. If the Appellant doesn't visit for inspection at the above said date and time then it will be presumed that the Appellant is satisfied and he doesn't require the requisite information anymore and the case will be decided on merits. The Appellant is further directed to appear in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing.

The Respondent/PIO is further directed to appear in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing.

**The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for 10.02.2020 at 11.00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH  
Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab



**Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta**

R/o # 8/237, Jagraon Road,  
Mandi Mullanpur,  
Distt.Ludhiana-141101(Punjab)

....Appellant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o The Executive Officer,  
Nagar Council, Mullanpur Dakha,  
District - Ludhiana (Punjab)

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o The Regional Deputy Director,  
Urban Local Bodies, Pb.,  
Ludhiana (Punjab)

...Respondents

## **Appeal Case No. 3446 of 2018**

**Present :** The Appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar is present.  
Sh. Parminder Singh, Executive Officer –cum- PIO O/o MC, Mullanpur Dakha is present  
on behalf of Respondent/PIO.

### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 09.10.2019 vide which the Respondent/ PIO was directed to facilitate the Appellant to inspect the relevant official record on 01.11.2019 at 11:00 A.M. and supply the required documents to the Appellant after the inspection.

In today's hearing, the PIO, Sh. Parminder Singh states that due to some reasons the inspection couldn't take place. He requests for a new date for the inspection and assures that this time it will be his responsibility.

The Appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar states that incomplete information has been supplied to him till date.

After hearing both the parties, final opportunity is given to PIO, Sh. Parminder Singh for supplying the requisite information to the Appellant on the inspection date i.e. **27.12.2019**, failing which an action would be taken against him on the next date of hearing. The Appellant is further advised to visit the concerned office for inspection of records on **27.12.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** After inspection he can collect the specific record. The concerned PIO and officials are directed to be present there on the decided date and time to facilitate the Appellant for inspection of records. If the Appellant doesn't visit for inspection at the above said date and time then it will be presumed that the Appellant is satisfied and he doesn't require the requisite information anymore and the case will be decided on merits. The Appellant is further directed to appear in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing.

The Respondent/PIO is further directed to appear in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing.

**The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for 10.02.2020 at 11.00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

**Sd/-  
(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH  
Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab



**Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta**

R/o # 8/237, Jagraon Road,  
Mandi Mullanpur,  
Distt.Ludhiana-141101(Punjab)

....Appellant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer,**

**O/o** The Executive Officer,  
Nagar Council, Mullanpur Dakha,  
District - Ludhiana (Punjab)

**First Appellate Authority,**

**O/o** The Regional Deputy Director,  
Urban Local Bodies, Pb.,  
Ludhiana (Punjab)

...Respondents

## **Appeal Case No. 3447 of 2018**

**Present :** The Appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar is present.  
Sh. Parminder Singh, Executive Officer –cum- PIO O/o MC, Mullanpur Dakha is present  
on behalf of Respondent/PIO.

### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 09.10.2019 vide which the Respondent/ PIO was directed to facilitate the Appellant to inspect the relevant official record on 01.11.2019 at 11:00 A.M. and supply the required documents to the Appellant after the inspection.

In today's hearing, the PIO, Sh. Parminder Singh states that due to some reasons the inspection couldn't take place. He requests for a new date for the inspection and assures that this time it will be his responsibility.

The Appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar states that incomplete information has been supplied to him till date.

After hearing both the parties, final opportunity is given to PIO, Sh. Parminder Singh for supplying the requisite information to the Appellant on the inspection date i.e. **27.12.2019**, failing which an action would be taken against him on the next date of hearing. The Appellant is further advised to visit the concerned office for inspection of records on **27.12.2019 at 11:00 A.M..** After inspection he can collect the specific record. The concerned PIO and officials are directed to be present there on the decided date and time to facilitate the Appellant for inspection of records. If the Appellant doesn't visit for inspection at the above said date and time then it will be presumed that the Appellant is satisfied and he doesn't require the requisite information anymore and the case will be decided on merits. The Appellant is further directed to appear in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing.

The Respondent/PIO is further directed to appear in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing.

**The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for 10.02.2020 at 11.00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



**Sh. Ravipal Singh, S/o Sh. Kuldeep Singh,**  
Ground Floor SCO-50, New Sunny Enclave, Backside –KFC,  
Sector -125, Kharar, Distt. Mohali-140301 M: 7528899222

...Appellant

V/s.

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o MC, Kharar, Distt. Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Deputy Director, Department of Local Government  
Punjab, Patiala.

...Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2300 of 2019**

**Present :** The Appellant, Sh. Ravipal Singh is absent.  
None is present on behalf of Respondent/PIO.

**ORDER**

This case was last heard on 14.10.2019. In the previous hearing, it was found that the information relating to point number 03 is pending only. For the same, the Respondent/PIO was directed to supply complete information to the Appellant, by registered post before the next date of hearing but if the information is not available with them or if it cannot be gathered then the Respondent/PIO was directed to file a proper affidavit explaining why the information cannot be supplied to the Appellant.

In today's hearing, the Appellant, Sh. Ravipal is absent without any intimation to the Commission.

The Respondent/ PIO is also absent without any intimation to the Commission.

After going through the case file, it is observed that as per the Appellant's RTI Application the information relating to point number 03 is pending only and the Appellant is absent today. So, a last opportunity is given to the Appellant to inform the Court through registered post or via email that whether he has received the remaining information or not, failing which it will be presumed that the Appellant is satisfied with the supplied information or he has received the information and case will be decided on merits.

An opportunity is also given to the Respondent/ PIO and he is directed to comply the previous order dated 14.10.2019. PIO is further directed to appear in person along with the postal receipt through which the information has been sent to the Appellant or along with an affidavit, on the next date of hearing.

**The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for 10.02.2020 at 11.00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



**Sh. Hirdey Pal Singh Rahi, S/o Sh. Malkiat Singh Rahi,**  
R/o 1601, Block -F, Adarsh Nagar, Village Karoran  
(Naya Gaon), Tehsil Kharar, Distt. S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali) Punjab.  
**M: 9878745100**

...Complainant

V/s.

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Phase - 1,  
Sector - 76, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali), Punjab. 160071

... Respondents

## Complaint Case No. 588 of 2019

**Present :** The Complainant, Sh. Hirdey Pal Singh is absent.  
A.S.I, Sh. Surjit Singh is present on behalf of Respondent/PIO.

### ORDER

This case was last heard on 14.10.2019, in which the information relating to point number 05 is pending only.

In today's hearing, the Complainant, Sh. Hirdey Pal Singh is absent without any intimation to the Commission.

The representative of the Respondent/PIO, A.S.I, Sh. Surjit Singh submits the documents along with letter number 1975/5 **ਏ/ ਥਾਣਾ ਨਵਾ ਗ੍ਰਾਉ** dated 06.10.2019 to the Court, which are taken on record.

In view of above, an opportunity is given to the Respondent/PIO and the PIO is advised to supply remaining information (i.e. point number 05 of the RTI request) to the Complainant, by registered post or by hand before the next date of hearing but if the information is not available with them then the Respondent/PIO is advised to file a proper reply in the form of an affidavit explaining why the information relates with point number 05 cannot be supplied to the Complainant.

The PIO is further advised to appear in person along with the postal receipt, through which information would be sent to the Complainant or with an affidavit, on the next date of hearing.

Last opportunity is given to the Complainant and he is advised to inform the Court through registered post or via email that whether he has received the remaining information or not, failing which it will be presumed that the Complainant is satisfied with the supplied information or he has received the information and case will be decided on merits.

The Complainant is further advised to appear in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing.

**The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for 10.02.2020 at 11.00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



**Sh. Gurdial Singh Saini,**  
S-11/204, Gentle Colony, Behind SD College, Dhaki,  
Pathankot. **M: 9417996754**

...Appellant and Others

V/s.

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Executive Officer, Pathankot Improvement Trust,  
Pathankot.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Pathankot Improvement Trust, Patel Chawk, Pathankot.

... Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2182 of 2019**

**Present :** Sh. Pawan Kumar is present on behalf of the Appellant.  
Advocate, Ms. Kavita Arora along with Sh. Manoj Sharma Executive Officer – cum PIO is present.

**ORDER**

This case was last heard on 14.10.2019, in which a show cause notice was issued to the PIO and he was directed to appear in person on the next date of hearing.

In today's hearing, Sh. Manoj Sharma states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the Appellant. He further states that a document vide number 3932 dated 10.12.2019 to the Court which is taken on record.

On this, the representative of the Appellant, Sh. Pawan Kumar states that incomplete information has been supplied to the Appellant. He further states that the Appellant is satisfied with the information relating to point number 1, 7, 9, 10 and 11 and the information relating to point number 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8 is still pending.

After discussing with both the parties, the PIO is directed to supply the documents as discussed in the Court relating to point number 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8 to the Appellant through registered post and PIO is further directed to clarify the statements by filing a proper reply of the same in the form of an affidavit to the Appellant along with a copy to the Court, on the next date of hearing.

The PIO is further directed to appear in person along with the postal receipt, through which information would be sent to the Appellant or along with an affidavit, on the next date of hearing.

The Appellant is advised to go through the requisite information, once he receives the same from the Respondent/PIO and point out deficiencies in written, if any, to the Respondent/PIO through registered post, with a copy to the Commission, failing which the case will be decided on merits. The Appellant is further advised to appear in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing.

**The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for 10.02.2020 at 11.00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



**Sh. Jasbir Singh,**  
Village Bholapur Jhabewal,  
P. O.- Ramgarh,  
Distt. Ludhiana -123455 (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s.

**Public Information Officer,**  
**O/o** The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector 17, Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority,**  
**O/o** The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector 17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

## **Appeal Case No. 2190 of 2019**

**Present :** The Appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh is absent.  
Sh. Piara Singh, Assistant Transport Officer –cum- PIO is present.

### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 21.10.2019 vide which an opportunity was given to both the parties.

In today's hearing, the Appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh is absent without any intimation to the Commission.

The PIO, Sh. Piara Singh states that the information has already been sent to the Appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh in his email. He further submits the documents vide endorsement number 35448 dated 17.12.2019 to the Court which is taken on record.

After going through the case file, it has been observed that on the previous hearing dated 21.10.2019 an opportunity was given to the Appellant to confirm whether he has received the information or not but till yet no intimation has been received from the Appellant's side relating to information as well as relating to today's hearing.

So, it is presumed that the Appellant is satisfied with the supplied information and no further intervention is required in this case.

**This case is disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab



**Sh. Manjit Singh,**  
S/o Sh. Sohan Singh,  
House No. 388/3, Dhandholian Street,  
Bahera Road, Patiala(Punjab)

...**Appellant**

V/s

**Public Information Officer,**  
**O/o** The Principal Secretary  
to Government of Punjab,  
Department of Transport, Pb. Mini Secretariat,  
Sector -9, Chandigarh(Punjab)

**First Appellate Authority,**  
**O/o** The Principal Secretary  
to Government of Punjab,  
Department of Transport, Pb. Mini Secretariat,  
Sector -9, Chandigarh(Punjab)

... **Respondents**

## **Appeal Case No. 2178 of 2019**

**Present :** The Appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh is present.  
Ms. Simran Preet, APIO, O/o Principle Secretary to Government of Punjab,  
Department of Transport along with  
Sh. Piara Singh, Assistant Transport Officer –cum- PIO from O/o STC,  
Punjab is present.

### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 21.10.2019 with the directions to the Respondent/ PIO to supply the requisite information to the Appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh before the next date of hearing.

In today's hearing, the Appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh states that he has received information relating to point number 01 and 02. He further states that the information relating to point number 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 is still pending.

The PIO, Sh. Piara Singh states that this information i.e. from point number 3 to 8 relates with the PIO O/o The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Mohali and the same has already been sent to PIO O/o The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Mohali. He further submits a copy of letter number 15234 dated 30.05.2019 along with a copy of another letter number 28914 dated 16.10.2019 to the Court, which is taken on record.

After going through the case file, the PIO O/o The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Mohali is impleaded as a party and Respondent/ PIO (O/o The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Mohali) is directed to supply the requisite information i.e. from point number 3 to 8 of the RTI Application, to the Appellant through registered post before the next date of hearing positively. The Respondent/ PIO concerned is further directed to appear in person along with the postal receipt, through which information would be sent to the Appellant, on the next date of hearing. **A copy of the RTI request be sent to the PIO (O/o The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Mohali) by name through registered post.**

The Appellant is advised to go through the requisite information, once he receives the same from the Respondent/PIO and point out deficiencies in written, if any, to the



## Appeal Case No. 2178 of 2019



Respondent/PIO through registered post, with a copy to the Commission, failing which the case will be decided on merits.

The Appellant is further advised to appear in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing.

**The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for 10.02.2020 at 11.00 A. M.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
**State Information Commissioner,**  
**Punjab**

**CC:**  
**(Regd. Post)**

**Public Information Officer, (By Name)**  
**O/o The Secretary,**  
**Regional Transport Authority,**  
**Mohali. (Encl. RTI Application)**

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



**Sh. Manjit Singh** S/o Sh. Sohan Singh,  
House No. 388/3, Dhandholian Street,  
Bahera Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Patiala (Punjab)

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector 17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

## **Appeal Case No. 2176 of 2019**

**Present :** The Appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh is present.  
Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer –cum – PIO along with Sh. Tirath Singh, Junior Assistant is present.

### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 21.10.2019 with the directions to the Respondent/ PIO to supply the requisite information to the Appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh before the next date of hearing.

In today's hearing, the PIO, Sh. Sham Lal states that the Appellant didn't deposit the Identity Proof while applying the RTI Applications, so they filed the applications (i.e. seven cases) and requested him to file fresh cases along with his I.D. Proof and PIO further mentions about the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana vide CWP No. 4787 of 2011 titled as Fruit and Merchant Union V/s Chief Information Commissioners & Others, in which it is necessary for the Appellant to submit the I.D. Proof. In relating to this, he further submits a copy of letter vide number 2080 dated 01.04.2019 to the Court which has been written to Sh. Manjit Singh through registered post dated 11.04.2019, a copy of the same is taken on record.

After going through the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana vide CWP No. 4787 of 2011 titled as Fruit and Merchant Union V/s Chief Information Commissioners & Others, in which it clearly written at the point number 23.

***“Further, in all complaints before the Public Information Officer, the appeal before the first appellate authority or any proceedings before the Commission, it should be ensured that the applicant files his proof of identity along with the application. It is for the reason that in some cases, it has come to the notice of this court that the applicants were not identifiable. It would ensure that only the genuine persons file applications.”***

On asking the Appellant about the letter dated 01.04.2019, he confirms that he had received the registered letter and on further enquiring it is found that he didn't reply to the concerned office or to the PIO by sending the Identity Proof but he filed the First Appeal before the concerned office, which is unacceptable.

**Appeal Case No. 2176 of 2019**



While discussing with both the parties, the Appellant states that he is sure that he had submitted the I.D. proof and he didn't attach an extra page while filing/ submitting the RTI's to the PIO, he just affixed the photocopy of the I.D. proof on the back side of the RTI Applications. He further requests to see the files of the PIO during the proceeding of the Court, in which his RTI Applications are attached / collected.

After going through those RTI's files (AC: 2176 /2019, 2172/2019, 2173/19, 2174/19, 2175/19 and 2177/19 which are fixed for today's hearing) of the PIO, the Appellant himself was unable to find the Identity Proof in those files and further states that he might have forgotten to attach the photocopy in it.

In view of above, it is clear that as there is no I.D. proof attached in this case. So, this case must be filed.

The Appellant agrees to the decision of the Court.

**This case is disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
**State Information Commissioner,**  
**Punjab**

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



**Sh. Manjit Singh** S/o Sh. Sohan Singh,  
House No. 388/3, Dhandholian Street,  
Bahera Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Patiala (Punjab)

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector 17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

## Appeal Case No. 2172 of 2019

**Present :** The Appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh is present.  
Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer –cum – PIO along with Sh. Tirath Singh, Junior Assistant is present.

### ORDER

This case was last heard on 21.10.2019 with the directions to the Respondent/ PIO to supply the requisite information to the Appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh before the next date of hearing.

In today's hearing, the PIO, Sh. Sham Lal states that the Appellant didn't deposit the Identity Proof while applying the RTI Applications, so they filed the applications (i.e. seven cases) and requested him to file fresh cases along with his I.D. Proof and PIO further mentions about the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana vide CWP No. 4787 of 2011 titled as Fruit and Merchant Union V/s Chief Information Commissioners & Others, in which it is necessary for the Appellant to submit the I.D. Proof. In relating to this, he further submits a copy of letter vide number 2080 dated 01.04.2019 to the Court which has been written to Sh. Manjit Singh through registered post dated 11.04.2019, a copy of the same is taken on record.

After going through the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana vide CWP No. 4787 of 2011 titled as Fruit and Merchant Union V/s Chief Information Commissioners & Others, in which it clearly written at the point number 23.

***“Further, in all complaints before the Public Information Officer, the appeal before the first appellate authority or any proceedings before the Commission, it should be ensured that the applicant files his proof of identity along with the application. It is for the reason that in some cases, it has come to the notice of this court that the applicants were not identifiable. It would ensure that only the genuine persons file applications.”***

On asking the Appellant about the letter dated 01.04.2019, he confirms that he had received the registered letter and on further enquiring it is found that he didn't reply to the concerned office or to the PIO by sending the Identity Proof but he filed the First Appeal before the concerned office, which is unacceptable.

**Appeal Case No. 2172 of 2019**



While discussing with both the parties, the Appellant states that he is sure that he had submitted the I.D. proof and he didn't attach an extra page while filing/ submitting the RTI's to the PIO, he just affixed the photocopy of the I.D. proof on the back side of the RTI Applications. He further requests to see the files of the PIO during the proceeding of the Court, in which his RTI Applications are attached / collected.

After going through those RTI's files (AC: 2176 /2019, 2172/2019, 2173/19, 2174/19, 2175/19 and 2177/19 which are fixed for today's hearing) of the PIO, the Appellant himself was unable to find the Identity Proof in those files and further states that he might have forgotten to attach the photocopy in it.

In view of above, it is clear that as there is no I.D. proof attached in this case. So, this case must be filed.

The Appellant agrees to the decision of the Court.

**This case is disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
**State Information Commissioner,**  
**Punjab**

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



**Sh. Manjit Singh** S/o Sh. Sohan Singh,  
House No. 388/3, Dhandholian Street,  
Bahera Road, Patiala (Punjab)

... Appellant

V/s

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Patiala (Punjab)

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector 17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

## **Appeal Case No. 2173 of 2019**

**Present :** The Appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh is present.  
Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer –cum – PIO along with Sh. Tirath Singh, Junior Assistant is present.

### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 21.10.2019 with the directions to the Respondent/ PIO to supply the requisite information to the Appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh before the next date of hearing.

In today's hearing, the PIO, Sh. Sham Lal states that the Appellant didn't deposit the Identity Proof while applying the RTI Applications, so they filed the applications (i.e. seven cases) and requested him to file fresh cases along with his I.D. Proof and PIO further mentions about the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana vide CWP No. 4787 of 2011 titled as Fruit and Merchant Union V/s Chief Information Commissioners & Others, in which it is necessary for the Appellant to submit the I.D. Proof. In relating to this, he further submits a copy of letter vide number 2080 dated 01.04.2019 to the Court which has been written to Sh. Manjit Singh through registered post dated 11.04.2019, a copy of the same is taken on record.

After going through the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana vide CWP No. 4787 of 2011 titled as Fruit and Merchant Union V/s Chief Information Commissioners & Others, in which it clearly written at the point number 23.

***“Further, in all complaints before the Public Information Officer, the appeal before the first appellate authority or any proceedings before the Commission, it should be ensured that the applicant files his proof of identity along with the application. It is for the reason that in some cases, it has come to the notice of this court that the applicants were not identifiable. It would ensure that only the genuine persons file applications.”***

On asking the Appellant about the letter dated 01.04.2019, he confirms that he had received the registered letter and on further enquiring it is found that he didn't reply to the concerned office or to the PIO by sending the Identity Proof but he filed the First Appeal before the concerned office, which is unacceptable.

**Appeal Case No. 2173 of 2019**



While discussing with both the parties, the Appellant states that he is sure that he had submitted the I.D. proof and he didn't attach an extra page while filing/ submitting the RTI's to the PIO, he just affixed the photocopy of the I.D. proof on the back side of the RTI Applications. He further requests to see the files of the PIO during the proceeding of the Court, in which his RTI Applications are attached / collected.

After going through those RTI's files (AC: 2176 /2019, 2172/2019, 2173/19, 2174/19, 2175/19 and 2177/19 which are fixed for today's hearing) of the PIO, the Appellant himself was unable to find the Identity Proof in those files and further states that he might have forgotten to attach the photocopy in it.

In view of above, it is clear that as there is no I.D. proof attached in this case. So, this case must be filed.

The Appellant agrees to the decision of the Court.

**This case is disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
**State Information Commissioner,**  
**Punjab**

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



**Sh. Manjit Singh** S/o Sh. Sohan Singh,  
House No. 388/3, Dhandholian Street,  
Bahera Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Patiala (Punjab)

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector 17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

## Appeal Case No. 2174 of 2019

**Present :** The Appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh is present.  
Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer –cum – PIO along with Sh. Tirath Singh, Junior Assistant is present.

### ORDER

This case was last heard on 21.10.2019 with the directions to the Respondent/ PIO to supply the requisite information to the Appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh before the next date of hearing.

In today's hearing, the PIO, Sh. Sham Lal states that the Appellant didn't deposit the Identity Proof while applying the RTI Applications, so they filed the applications (i.e. seven cases) and requested him to file fresh cases along with his I.D. Proof and PIO further mentions about the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana vide CWP No. 4787 of 2011 titled as Fruit and Merchant Union V/s Chief Information Commissioners & Others, in which it is necessary for the Appellant to submit the I.D. Proof. In relating to this, he further submits a copy of letter vide number 2080 dated 01.04.2019 to the Court which has been written to Sh. Manjit Singh through registered post dated 11.04.2019, a copy of the same is taken on record.

After going through the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana vide CWP No. 4787 of 2011 titled as Fruit and Merchant Union V/s Chief Information Commissioners & Others, in which it clearly written at the point number 23.

***“Further, in all complaints before the Public Information Officer, the appeal before the first appellate authority or any proceedings before the Commission, it should be ensured that the applicant files his proof of identity along with the application. It is for the reason that in some cases, it has come to the notice of this court that the applicants were not identifiable. It would ensure that only the genuine persons file applications.”***

On asking the Appellant about the letter dated 01.04.2019, he confirms that he had received the registered letter and on further enquiring it is found that he didn't reply to the concerned office or to the PIO by sending the Identity Proof but he filed the First Appeal before the concerned office, which is unacceptable.



**Appeal Case No. 2174 of 2019**



While discussing with both the parties, the Appellant states that he is sure that he had submitted the I.D. proof and he didn't attach an extra page while filing/ submitting the RTI's to the PIO, he just affixed the photocopy of the I.D. proof on the back side of the RTI Applications. He further requests to see the files of the PIO during the proceeding of the Court, in which his RTI Applications are attached / collected.

After going through those RTI's files (AC: 2176 /2019, 2172/2019, 2173/19, 2174/19, 2175/19 and 2177/19 which are fixed for today's hearing) of the PIO, the Appellant himself was unable to find the Identity Proof in those files and further states that he might have forgotten to attach the photocopy in it.

In view of above, it is clear that as there is no I.D. proof attached in this case. So, this case must be filed.

The Appellant agrees to the decision of the Court.

**This case is disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
**State Information Commissioner,**  
**Punjab**

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



**Sh. Manjit Singh** S/o Sh. Sohan Singh,  
House No. 388/3, Dhandholian Street,  
Bahera Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Patiala (Punjab)

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector 17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

## **Appeal Case No. 2175 of 2019**

**Present :** The Appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh is present.  
Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer –cum – PIO along with Sh. Tirath Singh, Junior Assistant is present.

### **ORDER**

This case was last heard on 21.10.2019 with the directions to the Respondent/ PIO to supply the requisite information to the Appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh before the next date of hearing.

In today's hearing, the PIO, Sh. Sham Lal states that the Appellant didn't deposit the Identity Proof while applying the RTI Applications, so they filed the applications (i.e. seven cases) and requested him to file fresh cases along with his I.D. Proof and PIO further mentions about the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana vide CWP No. 4787 of 2011 titled as Fruit and Merchant Union V/s Chief Information Commissioners & Others, in which it is necessary for the Appellant to submit the I.D. Proof. In relating to this, he further submits a copy of letter vide number 2080 dated 01.04.2019 to the Court which has been written to Sh. Manjit Singh through registered post dated 11.04.2019, a copy of the same is taken on record.

After going through the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana vide CWP No. 4787 of 2011 titled as Fruit and Merchant Union V/s Chief Information Commissioners & Others, in which it clearly written at the point number 23.

***“Further, in all complaints before the Public Information Officer, the appeal before the first appellate authority or any proceedings before the Commission, it should be ensured that the applicant files his proof of identity along with the application. It is for the reason that in some cases, it has come to the notice of this court that the applicants were not identifiable. It would ensure that only the genuine persons file applications.”***

On asking the Appellant about the letter dated 01.04.2019, he confirms that he had received the registered letter and on further enquiring it is found that he didn't reply to the concerned office or to the PIO by sending the Identity Proof but he filed the First Appeal before the concerned office, which is unacceptable.

**Appeal Case No. 2175 of 2019**



While discussing with both the parties, the Appellant states that he is sure that he had submitted the I.D. proof and he didn't attach an extra page while filing/ submitting the RTI's to the PIO, he just affixed the photocopy of the I.D. proof on the back side of the RTI Applications. He further requests to see the files of the PIO during the proceeding of the Court, in which his RTI Applications are attached / collected.

After going through those RTI's files (AC: 2176 /2019, 2172/2019, 2173/19, 2174/19, 2175/19 and 2177/19 which are fixed for today's hearing) of the PIO, the Appellant himself was unable to find the Identity Proof in those files and further states that he might have forgotten to attach the photocopy in it.

In view of above, it is clear that as there is no I.D. proof attached in this case. So, this case must be filed.

The Appellant agrees to the decision of the Court.

**This case is disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
**State Information Commissioner,**  
**Punjab**

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: [psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



**Sh. Manjit Singh** S/o Sh. Sohan Singh,  
House No. 388/3, Dhandholian Street,  
Bahera Road, Patiala (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Patiala (Punjab)

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,  
Sector 17, Chandigarh

... Respondents

## Appeal Case No. 2177 of 2019

**Present :** The Appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh is present.  
Sh. Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer –cum – PIO along with Sh. Tirath Singh, Junior Assistant is present.

### ORDER

This case was last heard on 21.10.2019 with the directions to the Respondent/ PIO to supply the requisite information to the Appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh before the next date of hearing.

In today's hearing, the PIO, Sh. Sham Lal states that the Appellant didn't deposit the Identity Proof while applying the RTI Applications, so they filed the applications (i.e. seven cases) and requested him to file fresh cases along with his I.D. Proof and PIO further mentions about the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana vide CWP No. 4787 of 2011 titled as Fruit and Merchant Union V/s Chief Information Commissioners & Others, in which it is necessary for the Appellant to submit the I.D. Proof. In relating to this, he further submits a copy of letter vide number 2080 dated 01.04.2019 to the Court which has been written to Sh. Manjit Singh through registered post dated 11.04.2019, a copy of the same is taken on record.

After going through the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana vide CWP No. 4787 of 2011 titled as Fruit and Merchant Union V/s Chief Information Commissioners & Others, in which it clearly written at the point number 23.

***“Further, in all complaints before the Public Information Officer, the appeal before the first appellate authority or any proceedings before the Commission, it should be ensured that the applicant files his proof of identity along with the application. It is for the reason that in some cases, it has come to the notice of this court that the applicants were not identifiable. It would ensure that only the genuine persons file applications.”***

On asking the Appellant about the letter dated 01.04.2019, he confirms that he had received the registered letter and on further enquiring it is found that he didn't reply to the concerned office or to the PIO by sending the Identity Proof but he filed the First Appeal before the concerned office, which is unacceptable.

**Appeal Case No. 2177 of 2019**



While discussing with both the parties, the Appellant states that he is sure that he had submitted the I.D. proof and he didn't attach an extra page while filing/ submitting the RTI's to the PIO, he just affixed the photocopy of the I.D. proof on the back side of the RTI Applications. He further requests to see the files of the PIO during the proceeding of the Court, in which his RTI Applications are attached / collected.

After going through those RTI's files (AC: 2176 /2019, 2172/2019, 2173/19, 2174/19, 2175/19 and 2177/19 which are fixed for today's hearing) of the PIO, the Appellant himself was unable to find the Identity Proof in those files and further states that he might have forgotten to attach the photocopy in it.

In view of above, it is clear that as there is no I.D. proof attached in this case. So, this case must be filed.

The Appellant agrees to the decision of the Court.

**This case is disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Dated: 17.12.2019**

Sd/-  
**(Sanjiv Garg)**  
**State Information Commissioner,**  
**Punjab**