

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Tejinder Singh, (9041004313)

Advocate, Civil Court, Tehsil Complex,
Backside SanjhKender, Phillaur - 144410

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,
Ludhiana.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 3034 OF 2022

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent
Respondent: Shri Hari Om, SA (9815256996)

ORDER:

This order may be read with the reference to the previous order dated **21.03.2023** passed by Former SIC, Sh. Anumit Singh Sodhi, who has demitted the office on **20.10.2023**, thereafter, this case was allocated to the undersigned bench. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **16.04.2025**.

2. The respondent states that the sought information has already been supplied to the appellant and further, he submitted his reply during the course of hearing along with the appellant's receiving dated 10.04.2025, mentioning therein that he is satisfied with the supplied information.

3. However, the appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post, but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.

4. Keeping in view of the above and the statement submitted by the respondent, the Commission is of the view that no further cause of action is required. Hence, the appeal is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Date: 16.04.2025

Sd/-
(Virinderjit Singh Billing)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Makhan Singh, (9855164101)

S/o Sh. Gobinder Singh,
R/o Ward No. 17, Boha Road, Near Inder Sen Di Chakki,
Teshsil Budhlada, Distt Mansa - 151502

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o EO, Nagar Council Budhlada,
Distt Mansa.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o ADC (UD),
Mansa.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 5026 OF 2022

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent
Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

This order may be read with the reference to the previous order dated **06.06.2023** passed by Former SIC, Sh. Anumit Singh Sodhi, who has demitted the office on **20.10.2023**, thereafter, this case was allocated to the undersigned bench. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **16.04.2025**.

2. The respondent is absent without intimation, which shows his carelessness and casual attitude towards the notices of the Commission. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to the PIO through registered post in spite of that, he is absent. The perusal of the case file shows that a show cause notice was issued to the respondent PIO O/o EO, Nagar Council, Budhlada, District Mansa, by my predecessor, Sh. Anumit Singh Sodhi, vide order dated 25.04.2023.

3. On examination of the case file, the Commission finds that a reply to the show cause notice was submitted by the respondent PIO, via email dated 08.06.2023. After going through the reply filed by the respondent, I am of the view that the show cause notice which was issued to the PIO concerned vide order dated 25.04.2023, is **dropped**.

4. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post even then he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and has nothing to say in this regard.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



APPEAL CASE NO. 5026 OF 2022

5. Keeping in view of the above and examining the case file, the Commission is of the view that the appellant has not pointed out any deficiency in the information so supplied by the respondent PIO, as directed at the last hearing, which appears that he has nothing to say in this regard. Therefore, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this case is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Date: 16.04.2025

Sd/-
(Virinderjit Singh Billing)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Chaman Lal, (9876113581)

S/o Shri Bihari Lal,
R/o Village Hussainpur, P.O Kalyanpur,
Jalandhar.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO,
Jalandhar (East).

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DDPO,
Jalandhar.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 237 OF 2023

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent
Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

This order may be read with the reference to the previous order dated **17.05.2023** passed by Former SIC, Sh. Anumit Singh Sodhi, who has demitted the office on **20.10.2023**, thereafter, this case was allocated to the undersigned bench. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **16.04.2025**.

2. The respondent is absent without intimation, which shows his carelessness and casual attitude towards the notices of the Commission. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to the PIO through registered post in spite of that, he is absent. The perusal of the case file shows that a reply was sent to the appellant vide letter no. 714 dated 19.06.2023, which was received in the Commission vide diary no. 15217 dated 26.06.2023.

3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post even then he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.

4. Keeping in view the above and examining the case file, the Commission is of the view that no further cause of action is required. Hence, this case is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Date: 16.04.2025

Sd/-
(Virinderjit Singh Billing)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Daljit Singh Sidhu,
76, Village Khirnian, P.O Mushkabad,
Tehsil Samrala, Distt Ludhiana - 141114

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Machhiwara,
Distt Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o BDPO, Machhiwara,
Distt Ludhiana.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 250 OF 2023

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent
Respondent: Shri Kuldeep Singh, Panchayat Secretary (9878298602)

ORDER:

This order may be read with the reference to the previous order dated **10.05.2023** passed by Former SIC, Sh. Anumit Singh Sodhi, who has demitted the office on **20.10.2023**, thereafter, this case was allocated to the undersigned bench. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **16.04.2025**.

2. The respondent states that the sought information has already been supplied to the appellant and further, he submitted his reply during the course of hearing along with the appellant's receiving dated 11.04.2025, mentioning therein that he is satisfied with the supplied information.

3. However, the appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post, but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.

4. Keeping in view of the above and the statement submitted by the respondent, the Commission is of the view that no further cause of action is required. Hence, the appeal is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Date: 16.04.2025

Sd/-
(Virinderjit Singh Billing)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Gurtej Singh Khattria, (8872474230)

S/o Shri Bakhsish Singh Khattria,
R/o Village Rohti Mouran, P.O Village Rohta,
Tehsil Nabha, Distt Patiala.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Sanaur,
Distt Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DDPO,
Patiala.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 326 OF 2023

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent
Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

This order may be read with the reference to the previous order dated **10.05.2023** passed by Former SIC, Sh. Anumit Singh Sodhi, who has demitted the office on **20.10.2023**, thereafter, this case was allocated to the undersigned bench. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **16.04.2025**.

2. The respondent is absent without intimation. However, the appellant is also absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to the parties through registered post but even then both parties are absent.

3. The perusal of the case file shows that, at the last hearing, the appellant was directed to deposit the requisite fee of Rs. 10,000/- but there is nothing on record to show that the appellant had deposited the requisite sum, requested by the respondent PIO. At the last hearing, the appellant was also asked to intimate the Commission as and when he received the requested information but neither he is present nor any submissions have been received from him, which appears that he has nothing to say in this regard.

4. Keeping in view of the above and examining the case file, the Commission is of the view that no further cause of action is required. Hence, this case is **disposed of and closed**.

Date: 16.04.2025

Sd/-
(Virinderjit Singh Billing)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Darshan Lal Kansal, (9803774808)

VPO, Village Malout (Purana),
Tehsil Malout,
Distt Sri Muktsar Sahib - 152107

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o SDE, Construction Sub Division No. 3, PWD B&R,
Malout, Distt Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o XEN, Construction Division, PWD B&R,
Sri Muktsar Sahib.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 718 OF 2023

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent
Respondent: Shri Manga Ram, SDO (9780482582)

ORDER:

This order may be read with the reference to the previous order dated **31.07.2023** passed by Former SIC, Sh. Anumit Singh Sodhi, who has demitted the office on **20.10.2023**, thereafter, this case was allocated to the undersigned bench. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **16.04.2025**.

2. The respondent states that a reply has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter no. 229 dated 11.04.2023. A copy of the same reply has also been submitted during the course of hearing.

3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing / order was sent to him through registered post even then he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.

4. The Commission has examined the RTI application alongside the replies/information submitted by the respondent PIO and is of the view that this adequately addresses the RTI application. This appeal case is accordingly **disposed of**.

Date: 16.04.2025

Sd/-
(Virinderjit Singh Billing)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Jatinder Singh, (9530661455)

S/o Shri Joginder Singh,
110, Type E-1, Panjab University Campus,
Sector - 14, Chandigarh - 160014

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Financial Commissioner Revenue, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Financial Commissioner Revenue, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2643 OF 2024

PRESENT: Appellant: Shri Jatinder Singh (9530661455)
Respondent: Shri Tarsem Lal, Superintendent (9988929388),
Smt. Bhupinder Kaur, SA (8872295222), and
Smt. Manpreet kaur, SA (9877903069)

ORDER:

The RTI application is dated **07.01.2024** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **02.02.2024** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **01.04.2024** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **16.04.2025**.

2. The appellant states that he has not received information pertaining to point nos. 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, and 10 of his RTI application. Further, he states that directions may be issued to the respondent to supply the information pertaining to point nos. 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, and 10 of his RTI application.

3. The PIO's representatives from the concerned branches are present and state that a reply has already been supplied to the appellant. Further, they submitted copies of replies sent to the appellant along with requested information and the same was handed over to the appellant during the course of hearing. After examining the reply, the appellant states that he is satisfied with point nos. 1, 2, 3, and 10 but the appellant however, insists that the information provided at point nos. 7, 8, and 9, is not what he had sought.

4. After having detailed deliberations with both the parties and examining the replies alongside the RTI application and is of the view that this RTI application has been adequately addressed. This appeal case is accordingly **disposed of**.

Date: 16.04.2025

Sd/-
(Virinderjit Singh Billing)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Sukhwinder Singh, (9899314670)

S/o Shri Rajinder Singh,
177, Model Town, Tehsil & Distt Pathankot - 145001
RTI Application No. 114713

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Pathankot.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2712 OF 2024

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent
Respondent: Shri Baljit Singh, SA (9781700223)

ORDER:

The RTI application is dated **14.03.2024** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **23.03.2024** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **04.04.2024** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **16.04.2025**.

2. The respondent states that sought information has already been supplied to the appellant by registered post and he also submitted a copy of the same reply during the course of hearing, which is taken on record. The respondent further states that the appellant has not pointed out any deficiency in the supplied information till date.

3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post even then he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.

4. After having detailed deliberations with the respondent and examining the case file, the Commission is of the view that no further cause of action is required. Hence, this case is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Date: 16.04.2025

Sd/-
(Virinderjit Singh Billing)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Sukhwinder Singh, (9899314670)

S/o Shri Rajinder Singh,
177, Model Town, Tehsil & Distt Pathankot - 145001
RTI Application No. 114527

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Pathankot.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2713 OF 2024

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent
Respondent: Shri Baljit Singh, SA (9781700223)

ORDER:

The RTI application is dated **14.03.2024** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **23.03.2024** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **04.04.2024** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **16.04.2025**.

2. The respondent states that sought information has already been supplied to the appellant by registered post and he also submitted a copy of the same reply during the course of hearing, which is taken on record. The respondent further states that the appellant has not pointed out any deficiency in the supplied information till date.

3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post even then he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.

4. After having detailed deliberations with the respondent and examining the case file, the Commission is of the view that no further cause of action is required. Hence, this case is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Date: 16.04.2025

Sd/-
(Virinderjit Singh Billing)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Ankit, (7717215989)

S/o Sh. Vipin Kumar,
Near Arya Samaj Mandir Model Town,
Pathankot - 145001
RTI Application No. 107276

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP,
Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o IGP, Border Range,
Amritsar.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2825 OF 2024

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent
Respondent: Inspector Amit Singh SHO Cyber Crime (9465451064) and
Senior Constable Sudhir (8872111546)

ORDER:

The RTI application is dated **18.01.2024** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **15.02.2024** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **12.04.2024** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **16.04.2025**.

2. The respondent states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant by registered post and he submitted his written submission, which was received in the Commission vide diary no. 5358 dated 15.04.2025, mentioning therein that the requested information is third party and denied the information under section 11 of the RTI Act, 2005.

3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post even then he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.

4. After having detailed deliberations with the respondent and examining the case file, the Commission is of the view that no further cause of action is required. Hence, this case is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Date: 16.04.2025

Sd/-
(Virinderjit Singh Billing)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Ankit, (7717215989)

S/o Sh. Vipin Kumar,
Near Arya Samaj Mandir Model Town,
Pathankot - 145001
RTI Application No. 108304

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP,
Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o IGP, Border Range,
Amritsar.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2826 OF 2024

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent
Respondent: Inspector Amit Singh SHO Cyber Crime (9465451064) and
Senior Constable Sudhir (8872111546)

ORDER:

The RTI application is dated **28.01.2024** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **22.02.2024** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **12.04.2024** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **16.04.2025**.

2. The respondent states that a reply has already been sent to the appellant by registered post and he submitted his written submission, which was received in the Commission vide diary no. 5359 dated 15.04.2025, mentioning therein that the information requested at point no. 1 and 2 supplied to the appellant and point no. 3 was denied as personal and third party information.

3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post even then he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.

4. After having detailed deliberations with the respondent and examining the case file, the Commission is of the view that no further cause of action is required. Hence, this case is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Date: 16.04.2025

Sd/-
(Virinderjit Singh Billing)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab