Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Sukhwinder Singh, S/o Amar Singh, Village Himmatgarh, PO Salana, Tehsil Amloh, Distt Fatehgarh Sahib-147301. M:8728007818

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Tehsildar, Amloh, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority O/o Deputy Commissioner, Fatehgarh Sahib.

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 64 OF 2024

Present :- None for the parties.

ORDER

Date: 13.11.2025

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 02.07.2025 vide which the appellant was not present and the respondent stated that the reply has already been sent to the appellant.

- 2. Today again the appellant is not present. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing. It is also a fact that notice of hearing and the last order dated 02.07.2025 was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which appears that his absence is intentional and willful and does not intends to pursue the case.
- 3. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Jatinder Singh, (M:8146556262) S/o Sh. Savtar Singh, House No. 466, Urban Estate, Phagwara, Distt. Kapurthala – 144632 Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Civil Surgeon, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority O/o Director Health and Family Welfare Parivar Kalvan Bhawan, Sector 34A, Chandigarh

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 184 OF 2024

Present :- (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the Respondent- Dr. Gaurav Kumar, MO (98767-16597) and Ms. Savita Rani, Accountant -cum- Cashier (7710116221), o/o CHC Barapind, Jalandhar

ORDER

Date: 13.11.2025

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.07.2025 vide which the appellant was not present and the respondent stated that he has brought the information in the Commission. The same was taken on record and the appellant was asked to collect the same from the Commission office.

- 2. Today the appellant is not present. He has even not collected the information from Commission office. It is also a fact that notice of hearing and the last orders dated 09.07.2025 was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which appears that his absence is intentional and willful and does not intends to pursue the case
- 3. In the aforementioned circumstances, the Commission is of the considered view that no useful purpose would be served by prolonging this matter any further. Hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Ms DeeptiSaluja, # 6834-3 A, Street No 8, Mohar Singh Nagar, Ludhiana.

M: 9888203328 **Appellant**

Versus

Public Information Officer. 0/o Chownki Incharge, Distt Courts. Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 3844 OF 2023

Present :- (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant (ii) For the Respondent- Sh. Navkirat Singh, ASI (8146278686)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 23.07.2025 and 09.04.2025 vide which the appellant was not present.

- 2. The perusal of the case file shows that during the hearing dated 09.04.2025 the appellant was not present and the respondent stated that the information has been sent to her. On the next date i.e. 23.07.2025 again the appellant was not present and the respondent stated that the appellant has refused to accept the information. Hence one last and final opportunity was given to Ms. Deepti Saluja to attend the hearing in the Commission. Today again the appellant is not present and the respondent Sh. Navkirat Singh states that complete information has already been sent to the appellant. He has also brought copy of the same today in the Commission. *The same is taken on record.*
- 3. Today the appellant is not present. She has sent her submissions, which are taken on record. After hearing the respondent and going through the information filed by the respondent, the Commission is of the considered opinion that the Respondent has provided appropriate and permissible reply/information to the Appellant.





APPEAL CASE NO. 3844 OF 2023

4. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
Date :13.11.2025
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Jarnail Singh, S/o Sh. Shyam Singh, R/o Village Kama, TeshilKhanna, Distt Ludhiana.

M: 9876632038 Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer. O/o DEO (SE), Mini Sectt., Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o DEO (SE), Mini Sectt., Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana.

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 130 OF 2024

Present:-(i) Sh. Jarnail Singh, appellant

(ii) For the Respondent- Sh Gurdarshan Singh, Clerk (95018-66198)

ORDER

Date: 13.11.2025

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 08.10.2025 vide which a compensation of Rs 3000/- was awarded to the appellant.

- 2. The respondent states that he has brought the cheque of compensation today to be provided to the appellant. The cheque of compensation is handed over to the appellant today. Further, he adds that the complete information has also been provided to the appellant.
- 3. The appellant submits that he has received the information and the amount of compensation and is satisfied with it.
- In view of the above, it has been ascertained that the orders of the Commission have 4. been complied with. Hence no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Jarnail Singh, S/o Sh. Shyam Singh, R/o Village Kama, TehsilKhanna, Distt Ludhiana.

M: 9876632038 Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer. O/o DEO (SE), Mini Sectt., Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o DEO (SE), Mini Sectt., Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 128 OF 2024

Present:- (i) Sh. Jarnail Singh, appellant

(ii) For the Respondent-ShGurdarshan Singh, Clerk (95018-66198)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 08.10.2025 vide which a compensation of Rs 3000/- was awarded to the appellant.

- The respondent states that he has brought the cheque of compensation today to be provided to the appellant. The cheque of compensation is handed over to the appellant. Further, he adds that the complete information has also been provided to the appellant.
- 3. The appellant submits that he has received the information and the amount of compensation and is satisfied with it.
- 4. In view of the above, it has been ascertained that the orders of the Commission have been complied with. Hence no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Puniab

Date: 13.11.2025

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Jasbir Singh, Guru Nanak Nagar Village Bholapur, PO Ramgarh Chandigarh Road, Distt. Ludhiana - 141123

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer. O/o Chief Administrator, GLADA Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority O/o Chief Administrator, GLADA Ludhiana

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 160 OF 2024

Present:-(i) Sh.Jasbir Singh, appellant

(ii) For the Respondent-ShGurpreet Singh, SDE (94632-33449)

<u>ORDER</u>

Date: 13.11.2025

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.07.2025 vide an opportunity was given to the respondent to appear before the Commission and state his case.

- 2. The respondent states that the information has been provided to the appellant.
- 3. The appellant states that the information has been provided to him and he is satisfied with it and that his case may be closed.
- Since the appellant is satisfied therefore, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, 4. the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Shlasbir Singh, Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bholapur, Chandigarh Road, Distt Ludhiana-142113

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 230 OF 2024

Present:-(i) ShJasbir Singh, appellant

(ii) For the Respondent- ShTarsem Singh, ASI (94632-02689)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.07.2025 vide an opportunity was given to the respondent to appear before the Commission and state his case.

- 2. The respondent states that the information has been provided to the appellant.
- 3. The appellant states that the information has been provided to him and he is satisfied with it and that his case may be closed.
- 4. Since the appellant is satisfied therefore, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) **State Information Commissioner Punjab**

Date: 13.11.2025

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh James, (M9888898792) S/o Sh Raj Kumar, R/o House No 94, Street No.1 Peeru Banda, Salem Tabri, Ludhiana

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer. O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 240 OF 2024

Present :- (i) None for the appellant

(ii) For the Respondent- ShTarsem Singh, ASI (94632-02689)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.07.2025 vide an opportunity was given to the respondent to appear before the Commission and state his case.

- 2. The appellant is not present today. He was not present on the previous date of hearing also. He has not even bothered to inform the Commission about his absence.
- 3. The respondent states that the information has already been provided to the appellant.
- Before stating anything further, it would be significant to reproduce the contents of the RTI application itself, which are as follows:-

"ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਗੋਲਾ ਫੈਕਟਰੀ ਜਿਸਦੀਆਂ ਦੋ ਯੂਨਿਟਾਂ (ਅਰਮਸਨ ਅਤੇ ਸੰਨੀ ਪੋਲੀਮਰਜ) ਦੇ ਮਾਲਕਮਨਜੀਤ ਕੈਰ ਅਤੇ ਇਸਦੇ ਦੇ ਲੜਕਿਆਂ ਸਨ (ਸਬੂਤ ਵਜੋਂ ਮਾਲਕੀ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਹਨ) , ਜਦਕਿ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਹਾਦਸੇ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਐਫ.ਆਈ.ਆਰ. ਸਿਰਫ ਇੰਦਰਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਗੋਲਾ ਦੇ ਨਾਮ ਤੇ ਦਰਜ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਜਦਕਿ ਅੰਫ.ਆਈ.ਆਰ. ਅਮਰਜੀਤ ਕੈਰ ਅਤੇ ਉਸਦੇ ਦੋਵੇਂ ਪੁੱਤਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਨਾਮ ਦਰਜ ਹੋਣੀ ਚਾਹੀਦੀ ਸੀ, ਜੋ ਕਿ ਸ਼ੱਕ ਦੇ ਘੇਰੇ

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 2400F 2024

ਅਧੀਨ ਆਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਮੈਨੂੰ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਜਾਵੇ ਕਿ ਉਕਤ ਹਾਦਸੇ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਦਰਜ ਹੋਈ ਐਫ.ਆਈ.ਆਰ. ਇੰਦਰਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਗੋਲਾ ਦੇ ਨਾਮ ਜਿਸ ਐਕਟ ਅਧੀਨ ਦਰਜ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ , ਉਸਦੀ ਮੁਕੰਮਲ ਸੁਚਨਾ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜਾਂ ਸਮੇਤ ਮਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ।

2) ਮੈਨੂੰ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਜਾਵੇ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਐਫ.ਆਈ.ਆਰ. ਸਬੰਧੀ ਚਾਲਾਨ ਬਿਨਾਂ ਜਾਂਚ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਤੋ ਜਿਸ ਆਧਾਰ ਤੇ ਕੋਰਟ ਵਿੱਚ ਪੇਸ਼ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ (ਲੋੜੀਂਦੇ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਹੈ) , ਉਸ ਬਾਰੇ ਵੀ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਮੁਕੰਮਲ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ"

After going through the above said, the appellant may note that it is settled legal position that information that can be provided under the RTI Act is that which is already on record of the Public Authority. The PIO cannot be asked to create any record for answering the RTI questions. As per the Supreme Court decision in **KhanapuramGandaiahvs Administrative Officer &Ors on 4 January, 2010 in** SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO.34868 OF 2009 the definition of information as given under Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005, shows that an applicant under Section 6 of the RTI Act can get any information which is already in existence and accessible to the public authority under law. Of course, under the RTI Act an applicant is entitled to get copy of the opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc., but he cannot ask for any information as to why such opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc. have been passed. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)

Date :13.11.2025
State Information Commissioner
Punjab