



Sh. Aarish Kalra, (M-8728098000)  
Mahavir Colony, Bikaneri Road,  
Fazilka

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o SSP, Fazilka

First Appellate Authority  
O/o DIGP, Ferozepur Range,  
Ferozepur

Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3000 OF 2024**

Present :- None for the parties.

**ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 20.02.2024 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 18.04.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 23.04.2025 in the Commission. However, on 23.04.2025 the abovementioned case could not be heard and was postponed to be heard on 12.06.2025 i.e. today.

3. The appellant is not present today. However, he has sent an e-mail intimating that he has received the information and that the case may be closed. Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. Since the information stands provided and the appellant is satisfied therefore, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Dated :12.06.2025

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**

**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864120**

**Email: [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh Chander Shekhar, (M-896801631)

S/o Sh Hari Krishan,

R/o House No. 2012,

Bazaar Babia Katra Dullo,

Amritsar

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Improvement Trust,

Amritsar

First Appellate Authority

O/o ADC (UD) Amritsar

Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3291 OF 2024**

Present :- (i) None for the appellant

(ii) For the respondent: Sh Balraaj Sandhu, Jr Asstt. (94652-81994)

**ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 03.10.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 08.05.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 12.06.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.

3. The appellant is not present today. However, he has sent an e-mail intimating that due to his ill health he shall not be able to attend the hearing today. Copy of the same is taken on record.



**APPEAL CASE NO. 3291 OF 2024**

4. The respondent states that the information sought by the appellant is third-party information and the so-called third-party has also given his consent not to provide his information to anyone. He also files a copy of the same today.

5. Before stating anything further, it would be significant to reproduce the contents of the RTI application itself, which are as follows:-

*"1 Copy of appointment letter Sunil Sharma.*

*2.Copy of Promotion letter*

*3. Copy of all of education qualification"*

Sh Balraaj Sandhu, Jr Asstt, the respondent, who appeared on behalf of the respondent -PIO in today's hearing, states that the information demanded by the applicant could not be supplied to him as the same is third party information. Further, he also submits a copy of the denial of consent by Sh Sunil Kumar, the so-called third party in this case, not to provide his information to anyone. The appellant may take note that the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No. 10044 of 2010 titled in Central Public Information Officer, Supreme Court of India Vs. Subhash Chandra Aggarwal, regarding Right to Information Act, 2005 in which in para no. 59 of the above referred case had defined 'Personal Information' under the Right to Information Act 2005, the relevant part of the judgment is reproduced below:-



**APPEAL CASE NO. 3291 OF 2024**

*“Reading of the aforesaid judicial precedents, in our opinion , would indicate that personal records, including name, address , Physical, mental and psychological status, marks obtained, grades and answer sheets, are all treated as personal information. Similarly, professional records, including qualification , performance , evaluation reports, ACRs, disciplinary proceedings, etc. Are all personal information. Medical records, treatment, choice of medicine, list of hospitals and doctors visited, findings recorded. Including that of the family members, information, relating to assets, liabilities, Income tax returns, details of the investments, lending and borrowing etc. are personal information. Such personal information is entitled to protection from unwarranted invasion of privacy and conditional access is available when stipulation of larger public interest is satisfied. This list is indicative and not exhaustive.*

In view of the above, it is observed that the information demanded by the appellant is third party information. This objection of the respondent is upheld. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

**Dated :12.06.2025**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**

**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864120**

**Email: [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh Chander Shekhar, (M-896801631)

S/o Sh Hari Krishan,

R/o House No. 2012,

Bazaar Babia Katra Dullo,

Amritsar

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Improvement Trust,

Amritsar

First Appellate Authority

O/o ADC (UD) Amritsar

Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3290 OF 2024**

Present :- (i) None for the appellant

(ii) For the respondent: Sh Balraaj Sandhu, Jr Asstt. (94652-81994)

**ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 03.10.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 08.05.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 12.06.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.

3. The appellant is not present today. However, he has sent an e-mail intimating that due to his ill health he shall not be able to attend the hearing today. Copy of the same is taken on record.



**APPEAL CASE NO. 3290 OF 2024**

4. The respondent states that the information sought by the appellant is third-party information and the so-called third-party has also given her consent not to provide her information to anyone. He also files a copy of the same today.

5. Before stating anything further, it would be significant to reproduce the contents of the RTI application itself, which are as follows:-

*"1 Copy of appointment letter Madhu Sharma.*

*2.Copy of Parmotion letter*

*3. Copy of all of education qualification"*

Sh Balraaj Sandhu, Jr Asstt, the respondent, who appeared on behalf of the respondent -PIO in today's hearing, states that the information demanded by the applicant could not be supplied to him as the same is third party information. Further, he also submits a copy of the denial of consent by Ms Madhu Sharma, the so-called third party in this case, not to provide her information to anyone. The appellant may take note that the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No. 10044 of 2010 titled in Central Public Information Officer, Supreme Court of India Vs. Subhash Chandra Aggarwal, regarding Right to Information Act, 2005 in which in para no. 59 of the above referred case had defined 'Personal Information' under the Right to Information Act 2005, the relevant part of the judgment is reproduced below:-



**APPEAL CASE NO. 3290 OF 2024**

*“Reading of the aforesaid judicial precedents, in our opinion , would indicate that personal records, including name, address , Physical, mental and psychological status, marks obtained, grades and answer sheets, are all treated as personal information. Similarly, professional records, including qualification , performance , evaluation reports, ACRs, disciplinary proceedings, etc. Are all personal information. Medical records, treatment, choice of medicine, list of hospitals and doctors visited, findings recorded. Including that of the family members, information, relating to assets, liabilities, Income tax returns, details of the investments, lending and borrowing etc. are personal information. Such personal information is entitled to protection from unwarranted invasion of privacy and conditional access is available when stipulation of larger public interest is satisfied. This list is indicative and not exhaustive.*

In view of the above, it is observed that the information demanded by the appellant is third party information. This objection of the respondent is upheld. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

**Dated :12.06.2025**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**

**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864120**

**Email: [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



S/o Sh Teja Singh,  
Village Nanhera,  
PO Harchandpura,  
Tehsil-Pattran, Distt-Patiala-147102

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o VDO, Gram Panchayat,  
Nanhera, Block Samana, Distt Patiala

First Appellate Authority  
O/o DDPO, Patiala

Respondents

**AC.No. 5970 of 2022**

Present :- (i) Sh Randhir Singh, Appellant along with Sh Gurpreet Singh  
(ii) For the respondent: Sh Jagtar Singh, Tax Collector (94178-74309)

**ORDER**

The abovesaid case has been reallocated to this bench from the bench of Former SIC Sh Maninder Singh Patti, after his retirement. The RTI application is dated 03.08.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 30.11.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 11.12.2024 in the Commission. However, on 11.12.2024 the above said case could not be heard and was postponed to be heard on 23.04.2025. On 23.04.2025 the case again could not be hearing and was postponed to be heard on 12.06.2025 i.e today.



**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Sector 16, Chandigarh.  
Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



**AC.No.5970 of 2022**

3. The Appellant states that the complete information has not been provided to him.
4. The respondent states that the complete information, as available in their official record has been provided to the appellant. Further, he states that in case the appellant is not satisfied he may inspect the relevant record in their office on any working day.
5. Today the RTI of the appellant has been discussed between both the parties. After hearing both the parties and perusing the document placed on record, it is ascertained that the information demanded by the appellant is not specific. However, the respondent has provided appropriate and permissible reply to the Appellant. Hence, no further cause of action is left. However, the appellant may inspect the relevant record in the Office of the respondent on any working day, with prior intimation, if he wishes to. With this, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date :12.06.2025**

**Sd/-  
(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**

**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864120**

**Email: [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh Prabhjot Singh, (M-9888804157)

S/o Sh Kuldeep Singh,

Village Lodhi Bhulana,

Opposite RCF,

Tehsil & Distt Kapurthala-144620

(RTI Application No. 126656)

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o DC, Tarn Taran

First Appellate Authority

O/o DC, Tarn Taran

Respondents

**AC.No. 5513 of 2024**

Present :- (i) Sh Prabhjot Singh, appellant

(ii) For the respondent: Sh Manmohan Singh, Clerk O/o Distt Election office Tarn Taran (98772-13324)

**ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 06.06.2024 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 30.08.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 23.04.2025 in the Commission. However, on 23.04.2025 the abovementioned case could not be heard and was postponed to be heard on 12.06.2025 i.e. today.

3. Sh Manmohan Singh, Clerk is appearing on behalf of PIO O/o District Election office, Tarn Taran. The respondent states that the information has been provided to the appellant. The respondent has also filed his reply through letter received in the Commission vide diary no. 4007 dated 17.03.2025. Copy of the same is taken on record.



**AC.No. 5513 of 2024**

3. The appellant states that the information provided to him is not complete.
4. Before stating anything further, it would be significant to reproduce the contents of the RTI application itself, which are as follows:-

It is requested to Respected Official/PIO that Manjit kaur wife of Prabhjot Singh daughter of Dalbir singh (Aadhar no. 711212876000) has transferred her voter card bearing to no. APV3671112 from village lodhi bhulana, Post office Bhulana, Tehsil and District kapurthala (booth no. 91) to village chak sikander, Post office Padhir kalan, Tehsil and District Tarn Taran (booth no. 207) new voter card bearing to no. INR4197265. In above said address at kapurthala district, voter card was favouring named as Manjit kaur wife of Prabhjot singh. And now after transferring the voter card to above said address at Tarn Taran district favouring as Manjit kaur daughter of Dalbir Singh. So Kindly provide the following soughted information of voter card of above said lady :-

1. Please provide the documents relying which Manjit kaur has made her new voter card at jurisdiction of Tarn Taran district which is favouring named as Manjit kaur Daughter of Dalbir singh inplace of favouring named as Manjit kaur wife of Prabhjot singh.
2. Whether her Aadhar card bearing to no. 7112-1287-6000 is also favoured named as Manjit Kaur daughter of/care of Dalbir Singh in place of favouring named as Manjit Kaur wife of Prabhjot Singh ?

Scanned by Camera Scanner

After perusing the contents of the RTI application of the appellant and the reply filed by the respondent, it is ascertained that the appellant has demanded information relating to Voter Card and Aadhar Card of Ms Manjit Kaur, which falls under the category of personal information. Further upon enquiring, the appellant has failed to justify what public interest is involved in the information asked for. The appellant may take note that the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No. 10044 of 2010 titled in Central Public Information Officer, Supreme Court of India Vs. Subhash Chandra Aggarwal, regarding Right to Information Act, 2005 in which in para no. 59 of the above referred case had defined 'Personal Information' under the Right to Information Act 2005, the relevant part of the judgment is reproduced below:-

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**

**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864120**

**Email: [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



**AC.No. 5513 of 2024**

*“Reading of the aforesaid judicial precedents, in our opinion , would indicate that personal records, including name, address , Physical, mental and psychological status, marks obtained, grades and answer sheets, are all treated as personal information. Similarly, professional records, including qualification , performance , evaluation reports, ACRs, disciplinary proceedings, etc. Are all personal information. Medical records, treatment, choice of medicine, list of hospitals and doctors visited, findings recorded. Including that of the family members, information, relating to assets, liabilities, Income tax returns, details of the investments, lending and borrowing etc. are personal information. Such personal information is entitled to protection from unwarranted invasion of privacy and conditional access is available when stipulation of larger public interest is satisfied. This list is indicative and not exhaustive.*

In view of the above, it is observed that the information demanded by the appellant is third party information and the same cannot be provided. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**

**Dated :12.06.2025**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Sector 16, Chandigarh.  
Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: [psic25@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)



Sh Jaspal Singh Mann,  
S/o Sh Sukhdev Singh,  
VPO Sehna Khera,  
Tehsil Malout,  
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib-152114  
(M-8699994733)

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Chief Auditor,  
Cooperative Societies, Pb Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority  
O/o Chief Auditor,  
Cooperative Societies, Pb Chandigarh

Respondents

**AC.No. 4889 of 2023**

Present :- (i) None for the Appellant  
(ii) For the respondent: PIO-Sh Bhupish Goyal, Audit officer (94634-17161)

**ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 28.04.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 31.07.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The appellant is not present today. However, he has sent an e-mail intimating that due to his ill health he shall not be able to attend the hearing today. Further, he has also filed his submission through the said e-mail, which is taken on record.

3. PIO-Sh Bhupish Goyal, Audit Officer is appearing personally today. He states that the information sought by the appellant cannot be provided as the Sabha is is not a "public





**AC.No. 4889 of 2023**

authority” and hence not covered under the purview of the RTI Act. Further, he has also filed his reply, pertaining to the RTI of the appellant as under:-

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਜਸਪਾਲ ਸਿੰਘ ਮਾਨ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਸੁਖਦੇਵ ਸਿੰਘ, ਵਾਸੀ ਮਕਾਨ ਨੰ. 152 ਪਿੰਡ ਸਹਿਣਾ ਖੇਰਾ, ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਮਲੇਟ ਜਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਮੁਕਤਸਰ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਐਕਟ ਦੇ ਤਹਿਤ ਸਹਿਣਾ ਖੇਰਾ ਅਤੇ ਖੁੰਡੀਆਂ ਗੁਲਾਬ ਸਿੰਘ ਬਹੁਮੰਤਵੀ ਸਭਾਵਾਂ ਦੇ ਸਾਲ 2005 ਤੋਂ ਚਲੰਤ ਸਮੇਂ ਤੱਕ ਦੇ ਆਡਿਟ ਨੋਟਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਮੰਗੀਆਂ ਗਈਆਂ ਸਨ, ਜੋ ਕਿ ਤੀਜੀ ਧਿਰ ਨਾਲ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਹਨ। ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਤੀਜੀ ਧਿਰ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਪੁੱਛੇ ਜਾਣ ਮਗਰੋਂ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਨੇ “ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਸੁਪਰੀਮ ਕੋਰਟ ਆਫ ਇੰਡੀਆ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸਿਵਲ ਅਪੀਲ ਨੰ. 9017 ਆਫ 2013 (Arising out of SLP no. 24290 ਆਫ 2012 ਦੇ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਮਿਤੀ 7/10/2013 ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਇਹ ਸਭਾਵਾਂ ਪਬਲਿਕ ਅਥਾਰਿਟੀ ਨਹੀਂ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਹਿਕਾਰੀ ਸਭਾਵਾਂ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇ ਅਧਿਕਾਰ ਕਾਨੂੰਨ ਦਾਇਰੇ ਵਿੱਚ ਨਹੀਂ ਆਉਂਦੀਆਂ ਇਹ ਕਹਿੰਦੇ ਹੋਏ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਤੋਂ ਸਾਫ਼ ਇਨਕਾਰ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਸੀ, ਅਤੇ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਇਸ ਕਾਰਣ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ। ਇੱਥੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਵਰਨਣਯੋਗ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਆਡਿਟ ਪੱਧਰ ਤੇ ਆਡਿਟ ਨੋਟ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਜਨਤਕ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰ ਸਕਦਾ ਕਿਉਂਕਿ ਆਡਿਟ ਨੋਟ ਕਾਪੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਸਭਾ ਦੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਕਰਜ਼ੇ ਅਤੇ ਹੋਰ ਰਕਮਾਂ ਦੀ ਡੀਟੇਲ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ, ਜੋ ਕਿ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਹਿੱਤਾਂ ਦੇ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਹੋਵੇਗੀ। ਆਡਿਟ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸਭਾ ਦੇ ਆਡਿਟ ਨੋਟ ਦੀ ਇੱਕ ਕਾਪੀ ਸਭਾ ਨੂੰ ਅਤੇ ਇੱਕ ਕਾਪੀ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਪੱਧਰ ਤੇ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਏ ਆਰ ਦਫਤਰ ਸਹਿਕਾਰੀ ਸਭਾਵਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਜਾਰੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇੱਕ ਕਾਪੀ ਬੈਂਕ ਨੂੰ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ। ਜੇਕਰ ਸਿਕਾਇਤਕਰਤਾ ਸਭਾ ਦਾ ਮੈਂਬਰ ਹੈ, ਤਾਂ ਉਹ ਆਪਣੇ ਖਾਤੇ ਦੀ ਬਿਨਾਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਰਕਮ ਭਰੇ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਲੈ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ। ਜੇਕਰ ਉਸੇ ਸਭਾ ਦੇ final account ਜਿਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਆਰ.ਡੀ ਵਪਾਰਕ ਖਾਤਾ, ਲਾਭ-ਹਾਨੀ ਖਾਤਾ, ਸਭਾ ਦੀ ਖਤਮ ਹੁੰਦੇ ਸਾਲ ਨੂੰ ਬੈਲੰਸ ਸ਼ੀਟ ਸਟਾਕ ਰਿਪੋਰਟਾਂ, ਮੁਲਾਜ਼ਮਾਂ ਦੀ ਸੂਚੀ, ਜੇਕਰ ਕਿਸੇ ਮਹਿਕਮੇ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਭੌਤਿਕ ਪਤਤਾਲ ਹੋਈ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਉਹਦੀਆਂ ਰਿਪੋਰਟਾਂ ਸਿਰਫ਼ ਦੇ ਰੁਪਏ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਭਰ ਕੇ ਲੈ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ। ਜੇਕਰ ਉਹ ਸਭਾ ਦਾ ਮੈਂਬਰ ਹੀ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਉਸਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਮਤਲਬ ਹੀ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਇਸ ਪੱਤਰ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸਾਰੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਇਸ ਪੱਤਰ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਂਦੀਆਂ ਹਨ।

ਇਹ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਤੇ ਅਗਲੇਰੀ ਲੋੜੀਂਦੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਹਿੱਤ ਹੈ ਜੀ।

4. In view of the above said it is ascertained that the RTI of the appellant has been adequately addressed. Hence, no further cause of action is left. *However, the copy of the reply, as filed by the respondent, is sent to the appellant along with these orders.* With this, the appeal case filed by the appellant is disposed of and closed. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Date :12.06.2025

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab