Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER REMAND BACK TO FAA

Versus

Shri Harwinder Singh, (8728821588) S/o Shri Harpal Singh, R/o Village Dakala, P.O Lodi Majra, Tehsil &DisttRoopnagar.

....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Roopnagar.

Regd. Post

Remanded Back to: First Appellate Authority O/o DDPO, Roopnagar.

Encl. RTI application

....Respondents

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 157 OF 2024

PRESENT: Complainant: Shri Harwinder Singh, (87288-21588)

Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

The application dated **13.12.2023** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **22.03.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for **10.06.2025**, which was postponed due to administrative reasons and further fixed for hearing today, i.e. **12.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO is absent, but submitted his reply along with information to the Commission vide diary no. 8617 dated 10.06.2025, which is taken on record.
- 3. The complainant states that he has not received any reply / information from the respondent till date. A copy of the information submitted by the respondent is handed over to the complainant during the course of hearing. After examining the information, the complainant states that he is not satisfied with it, and he requests the court for complete sought information.
- 4. As the complainant is interested to collect / get the sought information. It is appropriate to mention the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768- 32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 157 OF 2024

- 5. Since, an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant who is interested in getting the information, accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, the case is remanded back to the **First Appellate Authority i.e. O/o DDPO**, **Roopnagar**, to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.
- 6. With these directions, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file second appeal, if he is not satisfied / does not receive the decision of the First Appellate Authority. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Kewal Singh, (9855690768) S/o Shri Sukhpal Singh, R/o Village PathreriJattan, Tehsil Chamkaur Sahib, Distt Roopnagar.

....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Divisional Forest Officer, Roopnagar.

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 159 OF 2024

PRESENT: Complainant: Shri Kewal Singh, (98556-90768)

Respondent: Shri Kulwinder Singh, Forester (98155-93822)

ORDER:

Date: 12.06.2025

The application dated **10.11.2023** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **20.03.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for **10.06.2025**, which was postponed due to administrative reasons and further fixed for hearing today, i.e. **12.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent states that the sought information has already been supplied to the complainant. Further, he states that the same reply / information also submitted to the Commission vide letter no. 2124 dated 06.06.2025 along with complainant's receiving.
- 3. The complainant, Shri Kewal Singh is present in person and states that he is satisfied with the supplied information. Further, he requested to close this present complaint case, which is taken on record.
- 4. Keeping in view of the above and the statement given by the complainant, the Commission is of view that no further cause of action is required. Hence, this case is <u>disposed</u> <u>of and closed</u>. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Paramjeet, (9855201434) S/o Shri Darshan Ram, Village Mahalon, Tehsil Nawashahar, Distt S.B.S Nagar - 144514

....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o DEO (EE), S.B.S Nagar.

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 160 OF 2024

PRESENT: Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Varinder Kumar, Clerk (95010-05380)

ORDER:

Date: 12.06.2025

The application dated **10.10.2023** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **15.03.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for **10.06.2025**, which was postponed due to administrative reasons and further fixed for hearing today, i.e. **12.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent states that the sought information has already been supplied to the complainant and he also states that the same information was submitted to the Commission, which was received vide diary no. 8690 dated 10.06.2025, which is taken on record. Further, he states that he confirmed from the complainant that the complainant is satisfied with the supplied information.
- 3. The complainant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. Keeping in view of the above and the statement given by the respondent, the Commission is of the view that no further cause of action is required. Hence, this case is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER REMAND BACK TO FAA

Shri Jagtar Singh, (8360380893) Advocate, Chamber No. 41, District Courts Complex, Mansa.

....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Secretary, Indian Red Cross Society, Mansa.

Regd. Post

Remanded Back to: First Appellate Authority O/o DC, Mansa.

Encl. RTI application

....Respondents

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 162 OF 2024

PRESENT: Complainant: Shri Jagtar Singh, (83603-80893) accompanied by

Shri Kuldeep Singh

Respondent: Shri Abhishek Mittal, Accountant (86992-24070)

ORDER:

The application dated **25.10.2023** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **15.03.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for **10.06.2025**, which was postponed due to administrative reasons and further fixed for hearing today, i.e. **12.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent states that the sought information has already been supplied to the complainant as available in the records.
- 3. The complainant states that he has received the information, but he is not satisfied with the supplied information. Further, he states that his essential purpose is to obtain the demanded information as mentioned in his RTI application.
- 4. As the complainant is interested to collect / get the sought information. It is appropriate to mention the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768- 32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 162 OF 2024

- 5. Since, an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant who is interested in getting the information, accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, the case is remanded back to the **First Appellate Authority i.e. O/o DC**, **Mansa**, to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.
- 6. With these directions, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file second appeal, if he is not satisfied / does not receive the decision of the First Appellate Authority. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER REMAND BACK TO FAA

Versus

Shri Rakesh Kumar, (9464289002) R/o Street No. 7, Mohalla Roopnagar, Hoshiarpur.

....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o Nodal Officer, (RTI Cell), PSPCL, Patiala.

Regd. Post

Remanded Back to: First Appellate Authority O/o Chief Engineer, PSPCL, Patiala.

Encl. RTI application

....Respondents

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 163 OF 2024

PRESENT: Complainant: Shri Rakesh Kumar, (9464289002)

Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

The application dated **29.12.2023** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **15.03.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for **10.06.2025**, which was postponed due to administrative reasons and further fixed for hearing today, i.e. **12.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent is absent without intimation, which shows his carelessness and casual attitude towards the notices of the Commission. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to the PIO through registered post in spite of that, he is absent.
- 3. The complainant states that he has not received any reply / information from the respondent till date. Further, he states that his essential purpose is to obtain the demanded information as mentioned in his RTI application.
- 4. As the complainant is interested to collect / get the sought information. It is appropriate to mention the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768- 32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 163 OF 2024

- 5. Since, an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant who is interested in getting the information, accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, the case is remanded back to the **First Appellate Authority i.e. O/o Chief Engineer, PSPCL, Patiala**, to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.
- 6. With these directions, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file second appeal, if he is not satisfied / does not receive the decision of the First Appellate Authority. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER REMAND BACK TO FAA

Shri Pardeep Kumar, (9988101896)

2974, CRPF Colony, Urban Estate, Phase 1, Dugri Road, Ludhiana.

....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar.

Regd. Post

Remanded Back to: First Appellate Authority O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner (UD), Amritsar.

Encl. RTI application COMPLAINT CASE NO. 164 OF 2024

....Respondents

PRESENT: Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

The application dated **20.01.2024** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **27.03.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for **10.06.2025**, which was postponed due to administrative reasons and further fixed for hearing today, i.e. **12.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent is absent without intimation. However, the complainant is also absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to the parties through registered post but even then both parties are absent. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case.
- 3. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768- 32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.
- 4. Since, an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant who is interested in getting the information, accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, the case is remanded back to the <u>First Appellate Authority i.e. O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner (UD), Amritsar</u>, to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 164 OF 2024

5. With these directions, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file second appeal, if he is not satisfied / does not receive the decision of the First Appellate Authority. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/(Virinderjit Singh Billing)

Date: 12.06.2025
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER REMAND BACK TO FAA

Shri Harish Marhia (7009344723)

S/o Shri Ravi Parkash, Advocate, Chamber No. 239, Ground Floor, Major Anuj Rajput Lawyers Chambers, Distt Courts Complex, Panchkula - 134109

....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Ludhiana.

Read. Post

Remanded Back to:
First Appellate Authority
O/o Registrar,
Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University,
Ludhiana.

Encl. RTI application

....Respondents

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 167 OF 2024

PRESENT: Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Pardeep Kumar, Assistant Accounts Officer (98761-18971) and

Shri Jarnail Singh, SA (98763-65347)

ORDER:

The application dated **01.11.2023** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **01.04.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for **10.06.2025**, which was postponed due to administrative reasons and further fixed for hearing today, i.e. **12.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent states that reply has already been sent to the complainant and also submitted to the Commission via email dated 02.06.2025, which is taken on record.
- 3. The complainant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even then he is absent. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case.
- 4. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768- 32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in

Date: 12.06.2025



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 167 OF 2024

- 5. Since, an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant who is interested in getting the information, accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, the case is remanded back to the <u>First Appellate Authority i.e. O/o Registrar, Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Ludhiana</u>, to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.
- 6. With these directions, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file second appeal, if he is not satisfied / does not receive the decision of the First Appellate Authority. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER REMAND BACK TO FAA

Shri Krishan Singh, (9517007880) S/o Shri Wariam Singh R/o Village Kakrala, Tehsil Nabha Distt Patiala.

....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Astt. Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Nabha, Distt Patiala.

Regd. Post

Remanded Back to:
First Appellate Authority
O/o Joint Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Nabha,
District Patiala.

Encl. RTI application

....Respondents

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 168 OF 2024

PRESENT: Complainant: Shri Krishan Singh, (95170-07880)

Respondent: Shri Inderpal Singh, SA (82890-11793)

ORDER:

The application dated **28.07.2023** vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on **01.04.2024** under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for **10.06.2025**, which was postponed due to administrative reasons and further fixed for hearing today, i.e. **12.06.2025**.

- 2. The respondent states that a letter was written to the concerned PIO who is custodian of the information to provide the sought information to the complainant directly.
- 3. The complainant states that he has not received any reply / information from the respondent till date. Further, he states that his essential purpose is to obtain the demanded information as mentioned in his RTI application.
- 4. As the complainant is interested to collect / get the sought information. It is appropriate to mention the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768- 32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 168 OF 2024

- 5. Since, an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant who is interested in getting the information, accordingly, without going into the merits of the case, the case is remanded back to the <u>First Appellate Authority i.e. O/o Joint Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Nabha, Patiala</u>, to take appropriate decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.
- 6. With these directions, the case is <u>disposed of and closed</u>. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to file second appeal, if he is not satisfied / does not receive the decision of the First Appellate Authority. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Rajinder Singh (9815186419), S/o Late Shri Sohan Singh, # 108, Satnam Nagar, Neelpur, Tehsil Rajpura, District Patiala.

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, **O/o** SDM, Rajpura, District Patiala.

First Appellate Authority, O/o DC., Patiala.

....Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 5027 OF 2024

PRESENT: Appellant: Shri Pavitar Singh on behalf of the appellant (87400-25000)

Respondent: Shri Ravinder Kumar, Superintendent (99886-34789) and

Shri Gurvinder Kumar, JA (98146-78498)

ORDER:

Date: 12.06.2025

This order may be read with the reference to the previous orders dated **27.05.2025**. During the said hearing, the case was fixed for hearing on **10.06.2025**, but due to administrative reasons, the case was adjourned and further fixed for hearing today, i.e. **12.06.2025**.

- 2. Shri Pavitar Singh is appearing on behalf of the appellant and states that the appellant has not received the sought for information from the respondent as directed at the last hearing.
- 3. The respondent states that the sought information has already been sent to the appellant by registered post as directed at the last hearing. Further, he states that a copy of the same has brought at this hearing and handed over this to the appellant.
- 4. After having detailed deliberations with both the parties, the Commission has examined the RTI application alongside the reply / information supplied by the respondent and is of the view that this adequately addresses the RTI application. The Commission is of the view that no further cause of action is required. Hence, this case is <u>disposed of and closed.</u> Copy of the order be sent to the parties.