RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Jitender Chopra, (7009741942) Chif Editor, Ansh Kesri Hindi New Paper # 20-A, Ekta Vihar, 120 Feet Road, Near D.C. Petrol Pump, P.O. Basti Guzan, Jalandhar-144002

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner of Police, Inside District Courts Complex, Amritsar-143001

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0673 OF 2023

.Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission
20.09.2023	-	31.10.2023

Present:

Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Surinder Singh (ASI)

ORDER:

- 1. The **complainant** filed the above-mentioned **complaint case** before the **Commission**. Accordingly, the case was **fixed for hearing** today through **Cisco Webex**.
- 2. The complainant is absent today. However, the respondent PIO's representative, Sh. Surinder Singh (ASI), stated that the sought information has already been supplied to the complainant on 04.04.2025 through registered post.
- 3. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of **Section 18** of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be issued by the Commission. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in its judgment dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP(C) Nos. 32768-32769/2010), has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18, the Commission does not have the jurisdiction to direct disclosure of information.
- 4. The complainant has an alternative and efficacious remedy under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, by way of a First Appeal. However, the said remedy has not been availed in the present case. As such, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has not had an opportunity to review the decision of the PIO and pass a well-reasoned, speaking order as envisaged under the Act.
- 5. If the complainant remains dissatisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he shall be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0673 OF 2023

6. In light of the above, the present **complaint is remanded** to the concerned **First Appellate Authority** along with a copy of the **original RTI application** for ready reference. The **First Appellate Authority** is directed to treat this **RTI application as a First Appeal** and to decide the same within the **timeframe prescribed** under the RTI Act, 2005. The **FAA** shall call the complainant, **Shri Jitender Chopra**, for a hearing within **15 days** from the receipt of this order, and thereafter provide a **reasoned decision** after hearing both parties.

7. A **compliance report** shall be submitted before the **Commission** by the **Respondent** within **45 days** from the date of issue of this order.

With these directions, the case is Disposed Of and Closed.

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh **09.04.2025**

First Appellant Auhthority, (encl. RTIs)
O/o Director General of Police, Punjab
Punjab Police Headquarters
Sector 9, Chandigarh – 160009

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Jitender Chopra, (7009741942) Chif Editor, Ansh Kesri Hindi New Paper # 20-A, Ekta Vihar, 120 Feet Road, Near D.C. Petrol Pump, P.O. Basti Guzan, Jalandhar-144002

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Commissioner of Police, Inside District Courts Complex, Amritsar-143001

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0684 OF 2023

.Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission
23.09.2023	-	03.11.2023

Present:

Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Surinder Singh (ASI)

ORDER:

- 1. The **complainant** filed the above-mentioned **complaint case** before the **Commission**. Accordingly, the case was **fixed for hearing** today through **Cisco Webex**.
- 2. The complainant is absent today. However, the respondent PIO's representative, Sh. Surinder Singh (ASI), stated that the sought information has already been supplied to the complainant on 04.04.2025 through registered post.
- 3. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of **Section 18** of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be issued by the Commission. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in its judgment dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP(C) Nos. 32768-32769/2010), has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18, the Commission does not have the jurisdiction to direct disclosure of information.
- 4. The complainant has an alternative and efficacious remedy under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, by way of a First Appeal. However, the said remedy has not been availed in the present case. As such, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has not had an opportunity to review the decision of the PIO and pass a well-reasoned, speaking order as envisaged under the Act.
- 5. If the complainant remains dissatisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he shall be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0684 OF 2023

6. In light of the above, the present **complaint is remanded** to the concerned **First Appellate Authority** along with a copy of the **original RTI application** for ready reference. The **First Appellate Authority** is directed to treat this **RTI application as a First Appeal** and to decide the same within the **timeframe prescribed** under the RTI Act, 2005. The **FAA** shall call the complainant, **Shri Jitender Chopra**, for a hearing within **15 days** from the receipt of this order, and thereafter provide a **reasoned decision** after hearing both parties.

7. A **compliance report** shall be submitted before the **Commission** by the **Respondent** within **45 days** from the date of issue of this order.

With these directions, the case is <u>Disposed Of and Closed</u>.

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh **09.04.2025**

First Appellant Auhthority, (encl. RTIs)
O/o Director General of Police, Punjab
Punjab Police Headquarters
Sector 9, Chandigarh – 160009

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Harcharan Singh, (9501730330)

S/o Shri Jassa Singh,

R/o Village Seeto Nau Abad, Tehsil Patti, District Tarn Taran.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Station House Officer, Police Station Sadar, Patti, District Tarn Taran.

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0674 OF 2023

.Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission
06.03.2023	-	26.10.2023

Present:

Complainant: Shri Harcharan Singh

Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

- 1. The **complainant** filed the above-mentioned **complaint case** before the **Commission**. Accordingly, the case was **fixed for hearing** today through **Cisco Webex**.
- 2. The complainant stated that no inforantion has been provided to him so far by the PIO. The respondent PIO/APIO is absent today.
- 3. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of **Section 18** of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be issued by the Commission. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in its judgment dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP(C) Nos. 32768-32769/2010), has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18, the Commission does not have the jurisdiction to direct disclosure of information.
- 4. The complainant has an alternative and efficacious remedy under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, by way of a First Appeal. However, the said remedy has not been availed in the present case. As such, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has not had an opportunity to review the decision of the PIO and pass a well-reasoned, speaking order as envisaged under the Act.
- 5. If the complainant remains **dissatisfied** with the decision of the **First Appellate Authority**, he shall be at liberty to file a **Second Appeal** before the Commission under **Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005**.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0674 OF 2023

6. In light of the above, the present **complaint is remanded** to the concerned **First Appellate Authority** along with a copy of the **original RTI application** for ready reference. The **First Appellate Authority** is directed to treat this **RTI application as a First Appeal** and to decide the same within the **timeframe prescribed** under the RTI Act, 2005. The **FAA** shall call the complainant, Shri Harcharan Singh, for a hearing within **15 days** from the receipt of this order, and thereafter provide a **reasoned decision** after hearing both parties.

7. A **compliance report** shall be submitted before the **Commission** by the **Respondent** within **45 days** from the date of issue of this order.

With these directions, the case is Disposed Of and Closed.

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh **09.04.2025**

First Appellant Auhthority, (encl. RTIs)

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police (SSP),

Tarn Taran

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Inder Dev, (9780836417) S/o Shri Baldev Chand, R/o B-20/39, Dayanand Nagar, Near D.A.V. College, Hoshiarpur.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Hoshiarpur.

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0675 OF 2023

	<u>•</u>	
Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission
07.06.2023	-	26.10.2023/10.01.2024

Present:

Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Kulwinder Singh (Superintendent) 9876732538

ORDER:

- 1. The complainant filed the above-mentioned complaint case before the Commission. Accordingly, the matter was fixed for hearing today through Cisco Webex.
- The complainant is absent. The respondent-PIO was represented by Sh. Kulwinder Singh (Superintendent); however, due to a technical issue at his end, he could not be heard properly.
- 3. In view of the above, the matter is adjourned for a **personal hearing**. The Commission directs the PIO to appear **in person** before the Commission on the next date of hearing **along with a proper and complete reply** to the RTI application, without fail.
- 4. Next hearing on 03.06.2025 at 11.00 AM at Punjab State Information Commission, Red Cross Building, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh **09.04.2025**

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Ranbir Singh, (9877272003)

S/o Shri Ram Singh,

R/o Ekta Colony, Near Railway Phatak No. 40,

Thuhi Road Nabha, District Patiala.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Assistant Inspector General of Police, Personnel –II, Admin Wing Punjab,

Punjab Police Headquarter, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0676 OF 2023

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission
08.05.2023	-	23.10.2023

Present:

Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Ms. Surbhi Rawat (clerk), Ms. Jaspreet Kaur chahal(clerk)

ORDER:

- 1. The **complainant** filed the above-mentioned **complaint case** before the **Commission**. Accordingly, the case was **fixed for hearing** today through **Cisco Webex**.
- 2. The complainant stated is absent today. The respondent-PIO is represented by Ms. Surbhi Rawat (Clerk) and Ms. Jaspreet Kaur Chahal (Clerk). They submitted that Shri Ranbir Singh has filed a similar RTI application in Appeal Case No. 4801/2024, which is currently pending before another bench of the Commission.
- 3. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be issued by the Commission. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in its judgment dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP(C) Nos. 32768-32769/2010), has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18, the Commission does not have the jurisdiction to direct disclosure of information.
- 4. The complainant has an alternative and efficacious remedy under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, by way of a First Appeal. However, the said remedy has not been availed in the present case. As such, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has not had an opportunity to review the decision of the PIO and pass a well-reasoned, speaking order as envisaged under the Act.
- 5. If the complainant remains dissatisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he shall be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0676 OF 2023

6. In light of the above, the present **complaint is remanded** to the concerned **First Appellate Authority** along with a copy of the **original RTI application** for ready reference. The **First Appellate Authority** is directed to treat this **RTI application as a First Appeal** and to decide the same within the **timeframe prescribed** under the RTI Act, 2005. The **FAA** shall call the complainant, **Shri Ranbir Singh**, for a hearing within **15 days** from the receipt of this order, and thereafter provide a **reasoned decision** after hearing both parties.

7. A **compliance report** shall be submitted before the **Commission** by the **Respondent** within **45 days** from the date of issue of this order.

With these directions, the case is Disposed Of and Closed.

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh **09.04.2025**

First Appellant Auhthority, (encl. RTIs) **O/o** Director General of Police,
 Punjab Police Headquarter,
 Sector-9, Chandigarh.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Ms. Swarna Rani, (8437873069) W/o Shri Gurmail Singh R/o Village Mohan Ke Hithar, Tehsil Guruharsahai, District Ferozepur-152022

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Block Jalalabad (West), District Fazilka.

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0677 OF 2023

	.Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission
I		-	25.10.2023

Present:

Complainant: Absent Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

- 1. The **complainant** filed the above-mentioned **complaint case** before the **Commission**. Accordingly, the case was **fixed for hearing** today through **Cisco Webex**.
- 2. Both the parties are absent.
- 3. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be issued by the Commission. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in its judgment dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP(C) Nos. 32768-32769/2010), has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18, the Commission does not have the jurisdiction to direct disclosure of information.
- 4. The complainant has an alternative and efficacious remedy under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, by way of a First Appeal. However, the said remedy has not been availed in the present case. As such, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has not had an opportunity to review the decision of the PIO and pass a well-reasoned, speaking order as envisaged under the Act.
- 5. If the complainant remains dissatisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he shall be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0677 OF 2023

6. In light of the above, the present **complaint is remanded** to the concerned **First Appellate Authority** along with a copy of the **original RTI application** for ready reference. The **First Appellate Authority** is directed to treat this **RTI application as a First Appeal** and to decide the same within the **timeframe prescribed** under the RTI Act, 2005. The **FAA** shall call the complainant, **Ms. Swarna Rani**, for a hearing within **15 days** from the receipt of this order, and thereafter provide a **reasoned decision** after hearing both parties.

7. A **compliance report** shall be submitted before the **Commission** by the **Respondent** within **45 days** from the date of issue of this order.

With these directions, the case is Disposed Of and Closed.

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh **09.04.2025**

First Appellant Auhthority, (encl. RTIs)

O/o District Development & Panchayat Officer,
District Fazilka

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Jashandeep Singh,

(7889137387)

R/o Village Burj Sidwa, Tehsil Malout – 152107

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0678 OF 2023

.Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission
09.05.2023	-	31.10.2023

Present:

Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Rampreet Singh (DSP)

ORDER:

- 1. The **complainant** filed the above-mentioned **complaint case** before the **Commission**. Accordingly, the case was **fixed for hearing** today through **Cisco Webex**.
- 2. The complainant is absent today. The respondent is represented by Sh. Rampreet Singh, DSP, who submitted that the information sought by the complainant has already been sent to him.
- 3. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of **Section 18** of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be issued by the Commission. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in its judgment dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP(C) Nos. 32768-32769/2010), has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18, the Commission does not have the jurisdiction to direct disclosure of information.
- 4. The complainant has an alternative and efficacious remedy under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, by way of a First Appeal. However, the said remedy has not been availed in the present case. As such, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has not had an opportunity to review the decision of the PIO and pass a well-reasoned, speaking order as envisaged under the Act.
- 5. If the complainant remains **dissatisfied** with the decision of the **First Appellate Authority**, he shall be at liberty to file a **Second Appeal** before the Commission under **Section 19(3)** of the RTI Act, 2005.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0678 OF 2023

6. In light of the above, the present **complaint is remanded** to the concerned **First Appellate Authority** along with a copy of the **original RTI application** for ready reference. The **First Appellate Authority** is directed to treat this **RTI application as a First Appeal** and to decide the same within the **timeframe prescribed** under the RTI Act, 2005. The **FAA** shall call the complainant, **Shri Jashandeep Singh**, for a hearing within **15 days** from the receipt of this order, and thereafter provide a **reasoned decision** after hearing both parties.

7. A **compliance report** shall be submitted before the **Commission** by the **Respondent** within **45 days** from the date of issue of this order.

With these directions, the case is Disposed Of and Closed.

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh **09.04.2025**

First Appellant Auhthority, (encl. RTIs)O/o Deputy Inspector General of Police (DIG), Ferozepur Range Ferozepur, Punjab – 152001

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Jashandeep Singh,

(7889137387)

R/o Village Burj Sidwa, Tehsil Malout – 152107

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0679 OF 2023

Date of RTI Application

Date of Reply, if any of SPIO

Date of Appeal/ Complaint
Filed in Commission

17.06.2023

- 15.11.2023

Present:

Complainant: Absent Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

- 1. The **complainant** filed the above-mentioned **complaint case** before the **Commission**. Accordingly, the case was **fixed for hearing** today through **Cisco Webex**.
- 2. Both the parties are absent.
- 3. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be issued by the Commission. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in its judgment dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP(C) Nos. 32768-32769/2010), has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18, the Commission does not have the jurisdiction to direct disclosure of information.
- 4. The complainant has an alternative and efficacious remedy under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, by way of a First Appeal. However, the said remedy has not been availed in the present case. As such, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has not had an opportunity to review the decision of the PIO and pass a well-reasoned, speaking order as envisaged under the Act.
- 5. If the complainant remains dissatisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he shall be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0679 OF 2023

6. In light of the above, the present **complaint is remanded** to the concerned **First Appellate Authority** along with a copy of the **original RTI application** for ready reference. The **First Appellate Authority** is directed to treat this **RTI application as a First Appeal** and to decide the same within the **timeframe prescribed** under the RTI Act, 2005. The **FAA** shall call the complainant, **Shri Jashandeep Singh**, for a hearing within **15 days** from the receipt of this order, and thereafter provide a **reasoned decision** after hearing both parties.

7. A **compliance report** shall be submitted before the **Commission** by the **Respondent** within **45 days** from the date of issue of this order.

With these directions, the case is Disposed Of and Closed.

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh **09.04.2025**

First Appellant Auhthority, (encl. RTIs)O/o Deputy Inspector General of Police (DIG), Ferozepur Range Ferozepur, Punjab – 152001

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Rajesh Kumar, (9041300616) S/o Shri Ruldu Ram, R/o Mata Gujari Centre, Dr. K. Lal Wali Street, Near Girls School, Ward No. 9, Budhlada, District Mansa-151502

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Budhlada,

District Mansa.

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0680 OF 2023

	<u>*</u>	
Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission
05.09.2023	-	02.11.2023

Present:

Complainant: Absent Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

- 1. The **complainant** filed the above-mentioned **complaint case** before the **Commission**. Accordingly, the case was **fixed for hearing** today through **Cisco Webex**.
- 2. Both the parties are absent.
- 3. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of **Section 18** of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be issued by the Commission. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in its judgment dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP(C) Nos. 32768-32769/2010), has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18, the Commission does not have the jurisdiction to direct disclosure of information.
- 4. The complainant has an alternative and efficacious remedy under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, by way of a First Appeal. However, the said remedy has not been availed in the present case. As such, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has not had an opportunity to review the decision of the PIO and pass a well-reasoned, speaking order as envisaged under the Act.
- 5. If the complainant remains dissatisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he shall be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0680 OF 2023

6. In light of the above, the present **complaint is remanded** to the concerned **First Appellate Authority** along with a copy of the **original RTI application** for ready reference. The **First Appellate Authority** is directed to treat this **RTI application as a First Appeal** and to decide the same within the **timeframe prescribed** under the RTI Act, 2005. The **FAA** shall call the complainant, **Shri Rajesh Kumar**, for a hearing within **15 days** from the receipt of this order, and thereafter provide a **reasoned decision** after hearing both parties.

7. A **compliance report** shall be submitted before the **Commission** by the **Respondent** within **45 days** from the date of issue of this order.

With these directions, the case is Disposed Of and Closed.

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh **09.04.2025**

First Appellant Auhthority, (encl. RTIs)O/o Deputy Inspector General of Police (DIG), Ferozepur Range Ferozepur, Punjab – 152001

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Uday Pal Singh, (9888733749)

Retd. Poultry Inspector R/o Near St. Soldier School,

Cheema Road, Nurmahal, District Jalandhar-144039

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Principal Secretary, Government of Punjab, Department of Animal Husbandry, Fisheries & Dairy Farming, Chandigarh.

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0681 OF 2023

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission
12.02.2022	-	02.11.2023

Present:

Complainant: Shri Uday Pal Singh

Respondent: Sh. Darshan Singh (Superintendent)

ORDER:

- 1. The **complainant** filed the above-mentioned **complaint case** before the **Commission**. Accordingly, the case was **fixed for hearing** today through **Cisco Webex**.
- 2. Both parties are present and have been heard today. The complainant expressed willingness to receive the information in the present complaint case.
- 3. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of **Section 18** of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be issued by the Commission. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in its judgment dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP(C) Nos. 32768-32769/2010), has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18, the Commission does not have the jurisdiction to direct disclosure of information.
- 4. The complainant has an alternative and efficacious remedy under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, by way of a First Appeal. However, the said remedy has not been availed in the present case. As such, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has not had an opportunity to review the decision of the PIO and pass a well-reasoned, speaking order as envisaged under the Act.
- 5. If the complainant remains dissatisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he shall be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0681 OF 2023

6. In light of the above, the present **complaint is remanded** to the concerned **First Appellate Authority** along with a copy of the **original RTI application** for ready reference. The **First Appellate Authority** is directed to treat this **RTI application as a First Appeal** and to decide the same within the **timeframe prescribed** under the RTI Act, 2005. The **FAA** shall call the complainant, **Shri Uday Pal Singh**, for a hearing within **15 days** from the receipt of this order, and thereafter provide a **reasoned decision** after hearing both parties.

7. A **compliance report** shall be submitted before the **Commission** by the **Respondent** within **45 days** from the date of issue of this order.

With these directions, the case is Disposed Of and Closed.

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh **09.04.2025**

First Appellant Auhthority, (encl. RTIs) O/o Principal Secretary, Government of Punjab, Department of Animal Husbandry, Fisheries & Dairy Farming, Chandigarh

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Ram Singh, (9465659866)

S/o Shri Rakha Singh,

R/o # 286, Ward No. 17, Ropar Road,

Kurali, Tehsil Kharar, District S.A.S Nagar -140103

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

S.A.S Nagar (Mohali).

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0682 OF 2023

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission
25.09.2023	-	17.11.2023

Present:

Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Maninder Singh (Head Constable)

ORDER:

- 1. The **complainant** filed the above-mentioned **complaint case** before the **Commission**. Accordingly, the case was **fixed for hearing** today through **Cisco Webex**.
- 2. The complainant is absent today. The respondent-PIO was represented by Sh. Maninder Singh (Head Constable), who submitted that the information/reply pertaining to the RTI application has already been sent to the complainant on 08.11.2023.
- 3. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of **Section 18** of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be issued by the Commission. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in its judgment dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP(C) Nos. 32768-32769/2010), has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18, the Commission does not have the jurisdiction to direct disclosure of information.
- 4. The complainant has an alternative and efficacious remedy under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, by way of a First Appeal. However, the said remedy has not been availed in the present case. As such, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has not had an opportunity to review the decision of the PIO and pass a well-reasoned, speaking order as envisaged under the Act.
- 5. If the complainant remains dissatisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he shall be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0682 OF 2023

6. In light of the above, the present **complaint is remanded** to the concerned **First Appellate Authority** along with a copy of the **original RTI application** for ready reference. The **First Appellate Authority** is directed to treat this **RTI application as a First Appeal** and to decide the same within the **timeframe prescribed** under the RTI Act, 2005. The **FAA** shall call the complainant, **Shri Ram Singh**, for a hearing within **15 days** from the receipt of this order, and thereafter provide a **reasoned decision** after hearing both parties.

7. A **compliance report** shall be submitted before the **Commission** by the **Respondent** within **45 days** from the date of issue of this order.

With these directions, the case is Disposed Of and Closed.

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh **09.04.2025**

First Appellant Auhthority, (encl. RTIs) O/o Deputy Inspector General of Police (DIG), Rupnagar Range

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Ms. Neha Bhagat D/o Shri Raj Kumar Bhagat, R/o # 26-A, Gurcharan Park, Near Kochhar Market, Ludhiana-141002

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Principal,

Govt. Girls Sr. Sec. School, Phillaur, District Jalandhar.

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0683 OF 2023

	<u>*</u>	
Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission
30.05.2023	-	02.11.2023/24.05.2024

Present:

Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Ms. Sushila Kumari (Principal)

ORDER:

- 1. The **complainant** filed the above-mentioned **complaint case** before the **Commission**. Accordingly, the case was **fixed for hearing** today through **Cisco Webex**.
- 2. The complainant is absent today. The respondent-PIO Ms. Sushila Kumari (Principal), who submitted that the information/reply pertaining to the RTI application has already been sent to the complainant.
- 3. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be issued by the Commission. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in its judgment dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP(C) Nos. 32768-32769/2010), has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18, the Commission does not have the jurisdiction to direct disclosure of information.
- 4. The complainant has an alternative and efficacious remedy under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, by way of a First Appeal. However, the said remedy has not been availed in the present case. As such, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has not had an opportunity to review the decision of the PIO and pass a well-reasoned, speaking order as envisaged under the Act.
- 5. If the complainant remains dissatisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he shall be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0683 OF 2023

6. In light of the above, the present **complaint is remanded** to the concerned **First Appellate Authority** along with a copy of the **original RTI application** for ready reference. The **First Appellate Authority** is directed to treat this **RTI application as a First Appeal** and to decide the same within the **timeframe prescribed** under the RTI Act, 2005. The **FAA** shall call the complainant, **Ms. Neha Bhagat**, for a hearing within **15 days** from the receipt of this order, and thereafter provide a **reasoned decision** after hearing both parties.

7. A **compliance report** shall be submitted before the **Commission** by the **Respondent** within **45 days** from the date of issue of this order.

With these directions, the case is Disposed Of and Closed.

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh **09.04.2025**

First Appellant Auhthority, (encl. RTIs) O/o Principal, Govt. Girls Sr. Sec. School, Phillaur, District Jalandhar

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Kulwinder Kumar Sharma, (9872627700)

S/o Shri Dharam Pal Sharma, R/o # 152, Saini Mohalla,

V.P.O. Balongi, District S.A.S Nagar.

...Complainant

Public Information Officer.

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, S.A.S Nagar (Mohali).

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0686 OF 2023

Versus

	<u>•</u>	
Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission
12.04.2023	-	03.11.2023

Present:

Complainant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Maninder Singh (Head Constable)

ORDER:

- 1. The **complainant** filed the above-mentioned **complaint case** before the **Commission**. Accordingly, the case was **fixed for hearing** today through **Cisco Webex**.
- 2. The complainant is presented today and satted that no information has been provided to him so far by the PIO in this case. The respondent-PIO is represented by Sh. Maninder Singh, Head Constable, who submitted that the information/reply pertaining to the RTI application has not been provided to the complainant on the ground that the challan in the matter is still pending.
- 3. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of **Section 18** of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be issued by the Commission. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in its judgment dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP(C) Nos. 32768-32769/2010), has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18, the Commission does not have the jurisdiction to direct disclosure of information.
- 4. The complainant has an alternative and efficacious remedy under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, by way of a First Appeal. However, the said remedy has not been availed in the present case. As such, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has not had an opportunity to review the decision of the PIO and pass a well-reasoned, speaking order as envisaged under the Act.
- 5. If the complainant remains dissatisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he shall be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0686 OF 2023

6. In light of the above, the present **complaint is remanded** to the concerned **First Appellate Authority** along with a copy of the **original RTI application** for ready reference. The **First Appellate Authority** is directed to treat this **RTI application as a First Appeal** and to decide the same within the **timeframe prescribed** under the RTI Act, 2005. The **FAA** shall call the complainant, **Shri Kulwinder Kumar Sharma**, for a hearing within **15 days** from the receipt of this order, and thereafter provide a **reasoned decision** after hearing both parties.

7. A **compliance report** shall be submitted before the **Commission** by the **Respondent** within **45 days** from the date of issue of this order.

With these directions, the case is Disposed Of and Closed.

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh **09.04.2025**

First Appellant Auhthority, (encl. RTIs)
O/o Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Rupnagar Range,Police Range Office
Near District Courts Complex
Rupnagar (Ropar) – 140001
Punjab, India

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Ms. Raj Kaur, (8725050719) W/o Shri Tota Singh, (9056853576) R/o Village Bachhiwind, Tehsil Lopoke, District Amritsar.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar Rural.

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0687 OF 2023

<u>. </u>			
Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission	
14.07.2023	-	06.11.2023	

Present:

Complainant: Absent Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

- 1. The **complainant** filed the above-mentioned **complaint case** before the **Commission**. Accordingly, the case was **fixed for hearing** today through **Cisco Webex**.
- 2. Both the parties are absent.
- 3. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of **Section 18** of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be issued by the Commission. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in its judgment dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP(C) Nos. 32768-32769/2010), has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18, the Commission does not have the jurisdiction to direct disclosure of information.
- 4. The complainant has an alternative and efficacious remedy under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, by way of a First Appeal. However, the said remedy has not been availed in the present case. As such, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has not had an opportunity to review the decision of the PIO and pass a well-reasoned, speaking order as envisaged under the Act.
- 5. If the complainant remains dissatisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he shall be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0687 OF 2023

6. In light of the above, the present **complaint is remanded** to the concerned **First Appellate Authority** along with a copy of the **original RTI application** for ready reference. The **First Appellate Authority** is directed to treat this **RTI application as a First Appeal** and to decide the same within the **timeframe prescribed** under the RTI Act, 2005. The **FAA** shall call the complainant, Ms. Raj Kaur, for a hearing within **15 days** from the receipt of this order, and thereafter provide a **reasoned decision** after hearing both parties.

7. A **compliance report** shall be submitted before the **Commission** by the **Respondent** within **45 days** from the date of issue of this order.

With these directions, the case is Disposed Of and Closed.

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh **09.04.2025**

First Appellant Auhthority, (encl. RTIs)
O/o Deputy Inspector General of Police
Border Range
Police Lines, Near Circuit House
Amritsar – 143001
Punjab, India

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Gurbilas Singh, (9815356072) S/o Shri Gurmukh Singh, R/o Village Chanarthal Khurd, Tehsil & District Fatehgarh Sahib.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Block Sirhind, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

....Respondent

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0688 OF 2023

<u>.</u>			
Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of Appeal/ Complaint Filed in Commission	
		Theu in Commission	
07.08.2023	-	07.11.2023/08.08.2024	

Present:

Complainant: Absent Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

- 1. The **complainant** filed the above-mentioned **complaint case** before the **Commission**. Accordingly, the case was **fixed for hearing** today through **Cisco Webex**.
- 2. Both the parties are absent.
- 3. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of **Section 18** of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be issued by the Commission. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in its judgment dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP(C) Nos. 32768-32769/2010), has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18, the Commission does not have the jurisdiction to direct disclosure of information.
- 4. The complainant has an alternative and efficacious remedy under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, by way of a First Appeal. However, the said remedy has not been availed in the present case. As such, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has not had an opportunity to review the decision of the PIO and pass a well-reasoned, speaking order as envisaged under the Act.
- 5. If the complainant remains dissatisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he shall be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0688 OF 2023

6. In light of the above, the present **complaint is remanded** to the concerned **First Appellate Authority** along with a copy of the **original RTI application** for ready reference. The **First Appellate Authority** is directed to treat this **RTI application as a First Appeal** and to decide the same within the **timeframe prescribed** under the RTI Act, 2005. The **FAA** shall call the complainant, **Shri Gurbilas Singh**, for a hearing within **15 days** from the receipt of this order, and thereafter provide a **reasoned decision** after hearing both parties.

7. A **compliance report** shall be submitted before the **Commission** by the **Respondent** within **45 days** from the date of issue of this order.

With these directions, the case is Disposed Of and Closed.

(Sandeep Singh Dhaliwal)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh **09.04.2025**

First Appellant Auhthority, (encl. RTIs)

O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer

Mini Secretariat, District Administrative Complex

Fatehgarh Sahib – 140406

Punjab, India