Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Parveen Kumar, S/o

Sh. Satnam Dass, Ward No. 12,

Gali No. 24/3, Tehsil Talwandi Sabo.

Distt. Bathinda - 151301

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o ADC (UD) Bathinda

First Appellate Authority O/o DC, Bathinda

Dated: 08.10.2025

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4718 OF 2023

Present:- None for the parties.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 24.04.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 24.07.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 08.10.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. A letter has been received from the respondent that the information has been sent to the appellant. He has also sent the acknowledgment given by the Appellant in token of having received the information.
- 4. Since the appellant has received the information, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Parveen Kumar, S/o

Sh. Satnam Dass, Ward No. 12,

Gali No. 24/3, Tehsil Talwandi Sabo.

Distt. Bathinda - 151301

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Mc, Rama Mandi, Bathinda

First Appellate Authority
O/o Bathinda Authority Development,
Bathinda

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4721 OF 2023

Present:- None for the parties.

ORDER

Dated: 08.10.2025

The RTI application is dated 24.04.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 24.07.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 08.10.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. A letter has been received from the respondent that the information has been sent to the appellant. He has also sent the acknowledgment given by the Appellant in token of having received the information.
- 4. Since the appellant has received the information, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Naresh Kumar, Section Officer # 2190, Sector 44C, Chandigarh - 160047

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director, Technical Education and
Industrial Training, Sector 36, Punjab Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director, Technical Education and
Industrial Training, Sector 36, Punjab Chandigarh

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4726 OF 2023

Present:- (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent: Dr. Jasdeep Kaur, PIO (7700008105)

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 06.09.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 24.07.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 08.10.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is absent today. An email has been received from him that no information has been given to him so far.
- 4. Dr. Jasdeep Kaur, PIO is appearing in today's hearing, states that a similar RTI request was filed by the appellant in another case i. e. AC:1306 of 2024 which was disposed of before the bench of Madam Pooja Gupta, SIC on 16.09.2025.
- 5. After hearing the respondent, and going through both the files i.e. AC: 1306 of 2024 and AC: 4726 of 2023, the Commission is of the view that the subject matter in these two

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Dated:08.10.2025



APPEAL CASE NO. 4726 OF 2023

cases is substantially the same. Since the information has already been supplied in AC: 1306/2024, therefore no cognizance is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the present case is announced as **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, S/o Late Sh Kuldip Raj Mahajan, President of Anti Corruption Council, Opp. Water Tank, Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer. O/o PIO O/o XEN, Provincial Division, PWD B&R, athinda

First Appellate Authority O/o SE, PWD B&R Construction Circle, Bathinda.

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 3432 OF 2024

Present:-None for the parties

ORDER

Dated: 08.10.2025

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.08.2025 passed by the Commission

- 2. Today the appellant Sh. Yogesh Mahajan has informed on phone that he has received the information and is satisfied.
- 3. Since, the appellant has received the information no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



.....Respondent

Sh Rajinder Singh, (M-98151-86419)	
S/o Late Sh Sohan Singh,	
H.No 108, Satnam Nagar,	
Neelpur, Tehsil Rajpura,	
Distt Patiala-140401	Appellant
.Vs	
Public Information Officer,	
O/o Director, Deptt of Industry and Commerce, Pb	
Room No. 205, 2 nd Floor,	
17Bays Building, Sector-17, Chandigarh	
First Appellate Authority	
O/o Director, Deptt of Industry and Commerce, Pb	
Room No. 205, 2 nd Floor,	

AC No.7067 of 2024

Present :- (i) Sh Rajinder Singh, appellant and Sh Pavitar Singh

17Bays Building, Sector-17, Chandigarh

(ii) For the respondent- Sh Jaswinder Singh, Sr Asstt. (98772-38752)

ORDER

Dated: 08.10.2025

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 13.08.2025 vide which an opportunity was given to the respondent to provide the information to the appellant.

- 2. The respondent states that he has brought the information today to be provided to the appellant. Copy of the same is handed over to the appellant.
- 3. The appellant has gone through the information provided and has expressed his satisfaction over the same.
- Since the appellant is satisfied with the information provided therefore, no further 4. cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is disposed of and **closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties. Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



ShRajinder Singh, (M-98151-86419) S/o Late ShSohan Singh, H.No 108, Satnam Nagar, Neelpur, Tehsil Rajpura,	
Distt Patiala-140401	Appellant
.Vs	
Public Information Officer,	
O/o Addl. Chief Administrator, PUDA,	
PUDA Bhawan, Sector-62, Mohali-160062	
First Appellate Authority	
O/o Addl. Chief Administrator, PUDA,	
PUDA Bhawan, Sector-62, Mohali-160062	Respondent

AC No.7066of 2024 AC No. 7065 of 2024 AC No. 7063 of 2024 <u>and</u> AC No. 7061 of 2024

Present :- (i) Sh Rajinder Singh, appellant and ShPavitar Singh (ii) For the respondent- Sh Daljeet Singh, Sr. Asstt. (8437314799)

<u>ORDER</u>

Dated: 08.10.2025

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 14.08.2025 vide which the appellant was directed to point out deficiencies to the respondent and the respondent was directed to remove the same.

- 2. The Respondent states that the information has been provided to the appellant.
- The appellant states that he has received the same and is satisfied with it. 3.
- Since the appellant is satisfied with the information provided therefore, no further 4. cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is disposed of and **closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties. Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. PuranSingh, S/o Ajmer Singh, (M:9463552237) Village Sanghreri, Tehsil-Budhlada Distt. Mansa-151501

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer. O/o Deputy Director, Animal Husbandry, Mansa.

First Appellate Authority O/o Director, Animal Husbandry, Mohali.

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 5335 OF 2024

Present:-(i)Sh. Puran Singh, appellant

(ii) For the respondent: PIO-Dr Ganpath (Sr. Veterinary officer) (93567-

83183) and Sh Suresh, SrAsstt. (9779793145)

ORDER

Dated: 08.10.2025

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 13.08.2025.

- 2. The respondent states that the information has been provided to the appellant. The respondent has also filed his reply.
- 3. The appellant states that details of his salary for the month of February, 2024 has not been provided to him. However, rest of the information stands provided.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and perusing the documents placed on record, it is ascertained that the complete information has been provided to the appellant except details of his salary for the month of February, 2024. Hence, the respondent is directed to provide the details of the salary for the month of February, 2024 to the appellant within 15 days of receiving these orders, under intimation to the Commission office. The respondent has assured the Bench that the same will be provided positively within 15 days. The appellant has also expressed his satisfaction over the same. Hence, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. However, However, liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within one month, in case of non-compliance of the abovesaid orders. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties. Sd/-

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Baljeet Aujla,S/o ShSatpal, #2 Village Bhangala,TehsilPhillaur, Distt. Jalandhar-144033 M:8699179195

RTI Application No 129276

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Nurmahal, Distt. Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority O/o DDPO Jalandhar.

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 5392 OF 2024

Present :- (i) Sh Baljeet Aujla, Appellant

(ii) For the respondent- Sh. Amandeep, Panchayat Secy (9646357816)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 12.08.2025 vide which an opportunity was given to the respondent to appear before the Commission and state his case.

- 2. The respondent states that information has been sent to the appellant through registered post. He has also filed copy of the same today which is handed over to the appellant.
- 3. The appellant states that he is not satisfied with the same. He demands that action be taken on his RTI application
- 4. After hearing both the parties and perusing the documents placed on record, it is ascertained that the respondent has adequately addressed the RTI of the appellant. Further, it has also been observed that the appellant through his RTI application, has raised many question/ queries. The appellant may take note that it is settled legal position that information that can be provided under the RTI Act is that which is already on record of the

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Dated: 08.10.2025



APPEAL CASE NO. 5392 OF 2024

Public Authority. The PIO cannot be asked to create any record for answering the RTI questions. As per the Supreme Court decision in **Khanapuram Gandaiahvs Administrative Officer &Ors on 4 January, 2010 in** SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO.34868 OF 2009 the definition of information as given under Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005, shows that an applicant under Section 6 of the RTI Act can get any information which is already in existence and accessible to the public authority under law. Of course, under the RTI Act an applicant is entitled to get copy of the opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc., but he cannot ask for any information as to why such opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc. have been passed.

5. Further, the appellant demands that action be taken on his RTI application. Further, it has also been ascertained that this is a case of grievance of the appellant who has attempted to find redressal thereof by filling second appeal in the Commission. The perception of the appellant that use of RTI Act can be employed as a mechanism for redressal of his grievance is beyond the paradigm of the Act. The Commission advises the appellant to approach the competent forum or Court for redressal of his grievance as it is unable to go outside the jurisdiction stipulated by the RTI Act. In wake of aforementioned, this Appeal Case is hereby devoid of merit and hence **closed and disposed of.**Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Harjinder Singh, S/o Sh. Sudagar Singh MIG 1059, Model Town, Phase 1 Tehsil and Distt.Bathinda- 151001

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP,Bathinda

First Appellate Authority O/o ADGP Bathinda Range Bathinda

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4765 OF 2023

Present :- (i) None for the appellant

(ii) For the respondent- Sh Resham Singh, ASI (70098-80345)

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 19.06.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 25.07.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 08.10.2025 in the Commission.
- 3. The appellant is not present today.
- 4. The respondent states that the appellant had visited their office and obtained the complete information. He has also filed the copy of the information provided along with his reply.
- 5. The perusal of the case file shows that the appellant is not present today. The respondent states that the information has been provided to the appellant. He has also filed his reply. After going through the same, it has been ascertained that the respondent has

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 4765 OF 2023

adequately addressed the RTI of the appellant. Hence, no further cause of action is left. However, the copy of information, as filed by the respondent is sent to the appellant, along with these orders. With this, the appeal case filed by the appellant is disposed of and **closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

> Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) **State Information Commissioner Punjab**

Dated: 08.10.2025

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Ph: 0172-2864120





ShRajinder Singh, (M-98151-86419) S/o Late ShSohan Singh, H.No 108, Satnam Nagar, Neelpur, Tehsil Rajpura, Distt Patiala-140401	Appellant
Public Information Officer,	
O/o SDM, Rajpura, Distt Patiala	
Disti I atlala	
First Appellate Authority	
O/o DC,Patiala	Respondent

AC No.7017 of 2024

Present :- (i)Sh Rajinder Singh, appellant

(ii) None for the respondent

ORDER

Dated: 08.10.2025

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 14.08.2025.

- 2. The respondent is not present today. However, the respondent has sent his reply, mentioning that the information sought by the appellant is not available in their official record and that they have already sent their reply regarding the same to the appellant. Copy of the same is handed over to the appellant.
- 3. The appellant has expressed his satisfaction over the same.
- In view of the above, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case 4. filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) **State Information Commissioner** Dated: 08.10.2025 **Punjab**

Note: PIO-SmtHarvinderKaur, Supdt. Appeared after the hearing was over. She was read out the abovesaid order. She has also filed her reply today. The same is sent to the appellant along with these orders. Hence, this appeal case stands disposed of and closed.

Sd/-