

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Shri Avneet Singh (9465550618),**  
S/o Shri Balbir Singh,  
# 53, Golden City, Sector - 4,  
Mundi Kharar - 140301  
RTI Application No. 104175

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Water Supply and Sanitation Department,  
Division No. 2, Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o DC, Ludhiana.

....Respondents

## APPEAL CASE NO. 2388 OF 2024

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent  
Respondent: Absent

### **ORDER:**

The RTI application is dated **27.12.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **01.02.2024** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **20.03.2024** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today, i.e. **04.03.2025**.

2. The respondent is absent, but submitted a reply sent to the appellant vide letter no. 2818 dated 03.12.2024, which was received in the Commission vide diary no. 15242 dated 09.12.2024, which is taken on record.

3. The appellant, Shri Avneet Singh, was contacted on telephone during the hearing, wherein he confirmed that he had received the sought information from the respondent and was satisfied with it.

4. Keeping in view of the above and the statement given by the appellant, the Commission is of the view that no further cause of action is required. Hence, this case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Date: 04.03.2025**

**Sd/-**  
**(Virinderjit Singh Billing)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Shri Balwinder Singh (9877159172),**  
S/o Shri Surat Singh,  
House No. L 5/829, Street No. 3,  
Shaheed Udham Singh Nagar,  
Amritsar - 143001

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
**O/o Director,**  
Health & Family Welfare, Punjab,  
Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
**O/o Director, Health & Family Welfare, Punjab,**  
Chandigarh.

....Respondents

## APPEAL CASE NO. 2934 OF 2024

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent  
Respondent: Shri Deepak Kumar, JA (8728982434)

### **ORDER:**

The RTI application is dated **03.01.2024** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **08.02.2024** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **01.07.2024** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today, i.e. **04.03.2025**.

2. The appellant is absent, but a letter has been received from him in the Commission vide diary no. 1828 dated 03.02.2025, wherein he requested to hear the case through online mode, which is taken on record.

3. The respondent states that a reply has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter no. 946 dated 30.05.2024 and further, he states that the RTI application is in the form of questions and therefore not admissible as per the RTI Act, 2005.

4. However, on examination of the said RTI application, the Commission finds that information requested in this RTI application is in the form of questions and queries and does not fall within the scope of "information" as defined by section 2 of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. The Commission has examined the reply alongside the RTI application and upholds the PIO's decision. This appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**.

**Date: 04.03.2025**

**Sd/-**  
**(Virinderjit Singh Billing)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Smt. Harjinder Kaur (8295615631),**  
W/o Shri Tarlok Singh,  
House No. 171/2, Ward No. 12,  
Chika City, P.O Chila,  
Distt Kaithal, Haryana – 130034

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o DC, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o DC, Patiala.

....Respondents

## APPEAL CASE NO. 4938 OF 2024

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Absent  
Respondent: Shri Jaspal Singh, Kanungo (9041193100)

### **ORDER:**

The RTI application is dated **28.03.2024** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in her RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **24.05.2024** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **31.07.2024** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today, i.e. **04.03.2025**.

2. The respondent states that a reply has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter no. 1836 dated 15.04.2024, wherein she was informed that the information sought in her RTI application is not related to the office of, DC, Patiala. The respondent further states that the information lies with the office of, DC, Mohali.

3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that **notice of hearing / order** was sent to her through registered post even then she is absent, which seems her absence is intentional and willful.

4. After having detailed deliberations with the respondent and examining the case file, the Commission concurs with the respondent that the aforesaid information would either lie with the office of, DC, Mohali. The appellant is advised to address her RTI application to the concerned PIO.

5. There is no further cause for action and the appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**.

**Date: 04.03.2025**

**Sd/-**  
**(Virinderjit Singh Billing)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Shri Gulzari Lal (9855638982),**  
S/o Shri Ram,  
Village Doburi Sham Singh,  
P.O Dinanagar, Distt Gurdaspur.

....Appellant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o District Labour and Conciliation Officer,  
Gurdaspur.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o District Labour and Conciliation Officer,  
Gurdaspur.

....Respondents

## APPEAL CASE NO. 4959 OF 2024

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Shri Gulzari Lal (9855638982)  
Respondent: Absent

### **ORDER:**

The RTI application is dated **29.07.2022** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **10.10.2022** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **22.07.2024** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today, i.e. **04.03.2025**.

2. The appellant states that he has not received any reply / information from the respondent till date and further he states that directions may be issued to the respondent to supply the sought information pertaining to his RTI application.

3. The respondent is absent without intimation, which shows his carelessness and casual attitude towards the notices / orders of the Commission. It is also a fact that notice of hearing / order was sent to the PIO through registered post in spite of that, he is absent.

4. The perusal of the case file shows that the respondent has supplied a reply to the appellant vide letter no. 126 dated 17.08.2022, wherein he deny the information under section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. After having detailed deliberations with the appellant and examined the RTI application, the Commission concurs with the respondent PIO that the information sought therein qualifies for exemption under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005. The PIO's decision is herewith upheld. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**.

**Date: 04.03.2025**

**Sd/-**  
**(Virinderjit Singh Billing)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Shri Surinder Singh (9646171722),**

S/o Shri Gurmail Singh,  
C/o Chamber No. 104, District Court Complex - 43,  
Chandigarh.

....Appellant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o General Manager,  
Punjab Roadways, Ropar.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o General Manager,  
Punjab Roadways, Ropar.

....Respondents

## APPEAL CASE NO. 5204 OF 2024

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Shri Surinder Singh (9646171722)  
Respondent: Shri Dilbag Singh, SA (7837741173)

### **ORDER:**

The RTI application is dated **09.02.2024** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **23.04.2024** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **05.08.2024** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today, i.e. **04.03.2025**.

2. The respondent states that information has already been supplied to the appellant and further, he states that reply has submitted to the Commission vide diary no. 2869 dated 24.02.2025, which is taken on record.

3. The appellant is present in person and states that he is satisfied with the supplied information. Further, he requested to close this present appeal case, which is taken on record.

4. Keeping in view of the above and the statement given by the appellant, the Commission is of view that no further cause of action is required. Hence, this case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Date: 04.03.2025**

**Sd/-**  
**(Virinderjit Singh Billing)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Shri Avijeet Singh Dhaliwal (8566849834),**

S/o Shri Kewal Singh Dhaliwal,  
# 292, Kendriya Vihar, Sector - 48 B,  
Chandigarh.  
RTI Application No. 140651

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Director,  
School Education, Punjab,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Director,  
School Education, Punjab,  
Mohali.

....Respondents

## APPEAL CASE NO. 6304 OF 2024

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Shri Avijeet Singh Dhaliwal (8566849834)

Respondent: Shri Amarjit Singh, Superintendent (9872873212)

**ORDER:**

The RTI application is dated **27.08.2024** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **12.09.2024** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **21.10.2024** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for today, i.e. **04.03.2025**.

2. The appellant states that he is not satisfied with the reply supplied by the respondent.
3. The respondent states that the reply pertaining to the RTI application as available in the records has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 03.03.2025 and a copy of the same also submitted to the Commission.
4. After having detailed deliberations with both the parties and examined the RTI application along with the reply sent to the appellant, wherein the respondent PIO has stated that there is no such information available in his record. This constitutes a valid reply as the respondent is only obliged to provide information that exists in the official record. This has been explained to the appellant who now concurs.
5. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**.

**Date: 04.03.2025**

**Sd/-**  
**(Virinderjit Singh Billing)**  
**State Information Commissioner**  
**Punjab**