Sh. Tejinder Singh Advocate, Civil Court, Tehsil Complex, Backside SanjhKender , Phillaur-144410. M : 9041004313

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Sub Registrar, Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Gurdaspur.

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4523 OF 2023

Present :- None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 07.01.2025 vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was present .

2. Today again neither the appellant nor the respondent is present. They have even not informed the Commission about their absence for today's hearing. It is also a fact that notice of hearing and the last orders dated 07.01.2025 was sent to them through registered post but even though they are absent.

3. Keeping in view the above prevailing circumstances, the Commission is of the view that that no useful purpose would be served by prolonging this matter any further. As such, the present case is **disposed of & closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties. *However, the liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within one month, if he so desires. Sd/-*

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated :02.04.2025

PSIC unjab State Information

Appellant

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864120 Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Sh. Arneet Sood, S/o Sh. Krishan , Arneet Niwas New Dana Mandi, Salem Tabri, Ludhiana -141008. M : 9814844018



Appellant

Respondents

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Batala, Distt Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority O/o Deputy Commissioner, Gurdaspur.

APPEAL CASE NO. 4540 OF 2023

Versus

Present :- None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 07.01.2025 vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was present .

2. The appellant is again not present today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.

3. Respondent is also absent today. He has sent his submissions via mail which is taken on record. The same is as under:-



APPEAL CASE NO. 4540 OF 2023

1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਨਗਰ ਨਿਗਮ	ਬਟਾਲਾ
	ਵੱਲੋਂ		
		ਸਹਾਇਕ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ, ਨਗਰ ਨਿਗਮ ਬਟਾਲਾ।	
	ਵੱਲ		
		ਸ੍ਰੀ ਅਰਨਪ੍ਰੀਤ ਸੂਦ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਲੇਟ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਕਿ ਵਾਸੀ ਆਰ 132 ਨਵੀਂ ਦਾਣਾ ਮੰਡੀ, ਸਲੇਮ ਟਾਬਰੀ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ।141008, ਮੋਬਾਇਲ ਨੰ:98148-44018	ਕ੍ਰੋਸ਼ਨ ਸੂਦ,
	Ъ́с	ਐਮਸੀਬੀ//ਟੈਕਸ/25	ਮਿਤੀ
	ਵਿਸ਼ਾ:-	APPEAL CASE NO.4540 OF 2023.	
	ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਅਧੀਨ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਪਹਿਲਾਂ ਵੀ ਇਸ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰ:2667/ਸਕੱਤਰ ਮਿਤੀ 11-08-2023 ਰਾਹੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਰਟੀ ਦਾ ਯੂ.ਆਈ.ਡੀ. ਨੰਬਰ/ਮੋਬਾਇਲ ਨੰਬਰ ਦੇਣ ਲਈ ਕਿਹਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ, ਪਰ ਆਪ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਅਜੇ ਤੱਕ ਇਹ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੋਂਹਈਆ ਨਹੀ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਗਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਪੱਤਰ ਰਾਂਹੀ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਮੁੜ ਤੇ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਰਟੀ ਦਾ ਯੂ.ਆਈ.ਡੀ. ਨੰਬਰ/ਮੋਬਾਇਲ ਨੰਬਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ ਤਾਂ ਜੋ ਆਪ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾਂ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾ ਸਕੇ। ਸਹਾਇਕ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ, ਨਗਰ ਨਿਗਮ ਬਟਾਲਾ		
	ਪਿੱਠਅੰਕਣ	ਨੂੰ ਐਮਸੀਬੀ/	ਜਿਤੀ. 29.3.).25.
1	ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਦਾ ਉਤਾਰਾ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਸਟੇਟ ਇਨਫਰਮੇਸ਼ਨ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ, ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਅਪੀਲ ਕੇਸ <u>APPEAL CASE NO.4540 OF 2023</u> ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਸੂਚਨਾਂ ਹਿੱਤ ਭੇਜਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਬੋਨਤੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ ਨਗਰ ਨਿਗਮ ਬਟਾਲਾ ਦੀ ਬਜ਼ਟ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਮੀਟਿੰਗ ਮਿਤੀ 02–04–2025 ਰੱਖੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ, ਇਸ ਲਈ ਅਗਲੀ ਮਿਤੀ ਦੇਣ ਦੀ ਖੇਚਲ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ।		
			ਸਹਾਇਕ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ, ਨਗਰ ਨਿਗਸ ਬ੍ਰਿਸਿਲਾ

4. The appellant is absent continuously second time without any intimation, which appears appellant is not serious and does not intend to pursue the case.

3. Keeping in view the above prevailing circumstances, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. As such, the present case is **disposed of & closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties. *However, the liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within one month, if he so desires. Sd/-*

Dated :02.04.2025

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Beant Singh Bajwa, S/o Sh. Budh Singh, SCO 22, 1st Floor, SD Sabha Complex, Barnala.-141001. M : 9478147507

Appellant

Respondents

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o XEN, PWD B&R, Civil Lines, Police Lines Ludhiana-141001

First Appellate Authority, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

APPEAL CASE NO. 3252 OF 2023

Present :- None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.01.2025 vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was present and the appellant has sent an email vide which he wanted video conference. Hence on the request of the appellant the above said appeal case was adjourned for today through CISCO Webex,

2. Today neither the appellant nor the respondent is present. The perusal of the file shows that the last orders dated 28.01.2025 sent to the respondent was returned back from the postal authorities as "undelivered". However, the appellant is absent continuously second time without intimation, which appears appellant is not serious and does not intend to pursue the case.

3. Keeping in view the above prevailing circumstances, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. As such, the present case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated :02.04.2025

RTI Helpline - 01722864100



Sh. Rajesh Kumar, S/o Sh. Kashmiri Lal, Street I, Daan Raja Cinema Road, Fazilka.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Assistant Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Joint Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Ferozepur.

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4576 OF 2023

Present :- (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant (ii) For the respondent: Smt. Kanta Devi, Inspector (9876062568)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 07.01.2025 vide which the appellant was not present and the respondent was directed to file point-wise reply.

2. Today again the appellant is not present. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.

3. Respondent Smt. Kanta Devi states that as directed during the last hearing, she has brought *point-wise* reply alongwith information today in the Commission. She states that the information alongwith point-wise reply has already been sent to the appellant.

4. *Copy of the reply alongwith information, as submitted, by the respondent be sent to the appellant alongwith these orders.* After hearing the respondent and going through the reply/information filed by the respondent, the Commission is of the



APPEAL CASE NO. 4576 OF 2023

considered opinion that the Respondent has provided appropriate and permissible reply/information to the Appellant

5. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is required, the appeal is therefore **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated :02.04.2025

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Dr. Arvind Preet Kaur, # 198, PUDA Enclave-1, NabhaRoad(Animal Husbandry Site), Patiala-147001 M: 9417355095

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o Director Principal, Govt. Medical College, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority O/o Director Principal, Govt. Medical College, Patiala.

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4608 OF 2023

Present :- None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 07.01.2025 vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was present.

2. Today again neither the appellant nor the respondent is present. An email has been received from the appellant Dr. Arvind Preet Kaur at Commission diary no. 673 dated 02.04.202 stating that she has received the information.

3. Since, the appellant has received the information, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Ms Kamla Devi Rattan, W/o Sh. Ayodhya Prasad, House No 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi, Dhuri, Distt Sangrur.M : 85095-80002

Vs Public Information Officer, O/o District Treasury Officer, Collectorate Campus, Multi Office Complex (Ground Floor), Jayankondam Road,Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority O/o District Treasury Officer, Collectorate Campus, Multi Office Complex (Ground Floor)

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4842 OF 2023

Present :- None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 07.01.2025 vide which the appellant was not present.

2. Today neither the appellant nor the respondent is present. An email has been received from the appellant Ms. Kamla Devi at Commission diary no. 649 dated 26.03.2025 stating that she has received the information.

3. Since the appellant has received the information, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated :02.04.2025

Punjab State Information

Appellant



Sh. Pawan Kumar, S/o Sh. Shiv Lal, Village Rupana, Tehsil &Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib – 152032 M:-7508780269

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o XEN, Construction Circle No. 2, B&R, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Superintending Engineer, Construction Circle, B&R, Amritsar.

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 153 OF 2023

Present :- None for the parties. **ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the earlier orders passed by the former SIC Sh. Maninder Singh Patti and the last order dated 13.09.2023. The RTI application is dated 17.07.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.01.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.04.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.

3. Today neither the appellant nor the respondent is present. An email has been received from the appellant at Commission diary no. 675 dated 02.04.2025 stating that he has received the information and is satisfied.

4. Since the appellant has received the information and is satisfied, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties. Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Iqbal Singh Rasulpur, C/o Sh. Satinder Pal Singh Dhaliwal Advocate, Office- Street No. 4, Guru Nanak Nagar, KachaMalk Road, Jagraon, Distt Ludhiana – 142026 M:-8872200515

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana (Rural).

First Appellate Authority, O/o Inspector General of Police, Ludhiana.

APPEAL CASE NO. 114 OF 2023

Respondents

Appellant

Present :- None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the earlier orders passed by the former SIC Sh. Maninder Singh Patti and the last order dated 13.09.2023. The RTI application is dated 30.05.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 23.12.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.04.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.

3. Today neither the appellant nor the respondent is present. An email has been received from the respondent that the information has been sent to the appellant. He has also sent a photocopy of the letter showing acknowledgment by the Appellant , the same has been taken on record.



APPEAL CASE NO. 114 OF 2023

4. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is required, the appeal is therefore **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab

PSIC using the provide the providet the

Sh. Arneet Sood, S/o Sh. Krishan,

ArneetNiwas New Dana Mandi, Salem Tabri, Ludhiana -141008. M : 9814844018

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Batala, Distt Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority O/o Deputy Commissioner, Gurdaspur. Appellant

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4539 OF 2023

Present :- None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 07.01.2025 vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was present .

2. The appellant is again not present today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.

3. Respondent is also absent today. He has sent an email stating that the information has already been sent to the appellant.

4. The perusal of the case file shows that the appellant is absent continuously second time without any intimation, which appears appellant is not serious and does not intend to pursue the case.

5. Keeping in view the above prevailing circumstances, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required to be taken in this case. As such, the present case is **disposed of &**



APPEAL CASE NO. 4539 OF 2023

closed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties. *However, the liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within one month, if he so desires.*

Sd/-(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Shingara Singh, S/o Sh. Dalip Singh, Village Raowal, PO Kadarwala, Moga.(M: 9815719750)

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Department, Moga.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Department, Moga.

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4571 OF 2023

Present :- (i) Sh Shingara Singh, appellant (ii) For the respondent :Smt Soma Rani, Inspector (78141-66011) and Sh Sahib Singh, Secretary (94173-38948)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 07.01.2025 vide

which the appellant was not present.

2. Today Sh. Shingara Singh -the appellant states that complete information has not been provided to him till date. He adds that he has already pointed out deficiencies in the information provided to him.

3. The respondent states that he has brought reply today regarding the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant as under:-



APPEAL CASE NO. 4571 OF 2023

ਓਪਰੇਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਦੇ ਸੰਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਮਿਤੀ 07.01.2025 ਨੂੰ ਅਪੀਲ ਕੇਸ 4571 ਆਫ 2023 ਦੀ ਸੁਣਵਾਈ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ । ਕੇਸਵਾਰ ਸ਼ਿੰਗਾਰਾ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਦਲੀਪ ਸਿੰਘ ਪਿੰਡ ਰਾਊਵਾਲ ਦੁਆਰਾ ਅਪੀਲ ਕੇਸ 4571 ਤਹਿਤ ਸਾਲ 2017 ਵਿੱਚ ਹੋਈ ਕਰਜ਼ਾ ਮਾਫੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਸਭਾ ਦੇ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਦੁਆਰਾ ਛੋਟੇ ਕਿਸਾਨ ,ਸੀਮਾਤ ਕਿਸਾਨ ,ਵੱਡੇ ਕਿਸਾਨ ਹੋਣ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਸਵੈ- ਘੋਸ਼ਣਾ ਪੱਤਰ ਦਿੱਤੇ ਗਏ ਸਨ, ਉਸ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਦੇ ਸੰਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਮਿਤੀ 03.03.2025 ਏਜੰਡਾ ਤਹਿਤ ਮਿਤੀ 18-03-2025 ਨੂੰ ਸਮੂਹ ਮੈਂਬਰ ਦੀ ਰਾਉਵਾਲ ਬਹੁਮੰਤਵੀ ਖੇਤੀਬਾੜੀ ਸੇਵਾ ਸਭਾ ਲਿਮਿਟਡ ਰਾਊਵਾਲ ਨੂੰ ਆਮ ਇਜਲਾਸ ਤਹਿਤ ਇਕੱਠਾ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਜੋ ਇਹ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਪ੍ਰਦਾਨ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾ ਸਕੇ। ਪ੍ਰੰਤੂ ਮਿਤੀ 18.03.2025 ਨੂੰ ਸਮੂਹ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਦੁਆਰਾ ਇਹ ਵਿਚਾਰਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਸ਼ਿੰਗਾਰਾ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਦਲੀਪ ਸਿੰਘ ਪਿੰਡ ਰਾਊਵਾਲ ਦੁਆਰਾ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਦੇ ਸਮੂਹ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਨਿੱਜਜਤਾ ਦੇ ਅਧਿਕਾਰ (Right to Privacy) ਨੂੰ ਖਤਮ ਕਰਦੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਕਰਕੇ ਅਸੀਂ ਆਪਣੇ ਸਵੈ -ਘੋਸ਼ਣਾ ਪੱਤਰ ਸ਼ਿੰਗਾਰਾ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਦਲੀਪ ਸਿੰਘ ਪਿੰਡ ਰਾਊਵਾਲ ਨੂੰ ਨਹੀਂ ਦੇ ਸਕਦੇ । ਭਾਰਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਸੁਪਰੀਮ ਕੋਰਟ ਦੇ ਕੇਸ K.S PUTTASWAMY V/S UNION OF INDIA 2017 ਨਿੱਜਜਤਾ ਦੇ ਅਧਿਕਾਰ ਨੂੰ ਮਾਨਤਾ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਭਾਰਤ ਦੇ ਸੰਵਿਧਾਨ ਦੇ ਮੈਲਿਕ ਅਧਿਕਾਰ ਦੇ ARTICLE 21(iii) ਦੇ ਵਿੱਚ ਵੀ ਨਿੱਜਜਤਾ ਦੇ ਅਧਿਕਾਰ ਦਾ ਵਰਣਨ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਕਰਕੇ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਮੈਂਬਰ ਦੇ ਨਿੱਜੀ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਗਤ ਸਵੈ ਘੋਸ਼ਣਾ ਨੂੰ ਦੇਣਾ ਜਾਂ ਨਾ ਦੇਣਾ ਉਸ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ ਦੇ ਉੱਪਰ ਨਿਰਭਰ ਕਰਦਾ ਹੈ ਇਸ ਕਰਕੇ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਰਾਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਧਿਕਾਰ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ ਨੂੰ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਦੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਸੀਂ ਇਹ ਸੁਚਨਾ ਸ਼ਿੰਗਾਰਾ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਦਲੀਪ ਸਿੰਘ ਪਿੰਡ ਰਾਊਵਾਲ ਨੂੰ ਨਹੀਂ ਦੇ ਸਕਦੇ । Created with cam scan

4. After hearing both the parties and going through the reply filed by the respondent, it is ascertained that the respondent has adequately and satisfactorily addressed the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant. Hence, the contention of the respondent is upheld. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Harjinder Singh, R/o Saido Majra, NaviAbadi, Block Khera, P.O Saidpura, DisttFatehgarh Sahib. M: - 9888809833

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority O/o A.D.C (Development), Fatehgarh Sahib. Appellant

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 86 OF 2023

Present :- (i) None for the appellant (ii) For the respondent :PIO-Sh Ravindar Singh (97816-30032)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the earlier orders passed by the former SIC Sh.Maninder Singh Patti and the last order dated 13.09.2023. The RTI application is dated 20.08.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 22.12.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.04.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.

3. The appellant is not present today.

4. POI-Sh Ravindar Singh is appearing on behalf of the respondent today. He states that the complete information has been provided to the appellant and he is satisfied with it. Further, he has also filed a copy of the receiving given by the appellant as acknowledgement in lieu of receiving the information. Copy of the same is taken on record.



APPEAL CASE NO. 86 OF 2023

5. In view of the foregoing, it is ascertained that the information stands provided to the appellant. Hence, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Naib Singh, S/o Sh. Hari Singh, VPO KotlaAjner, Tehsil Khamanon, DisttFatehgarh Sahib – 141411 M:-7347012146

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Khamanoo,Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority, O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer, Fatehgarh Sahib.

APPEAL CASE NO. 98 OF 2023

Respondents

Present :- (i) None for the appellant (ii) For the respondent :ShMangat Singh, PanchayatSecy (88724-00430)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the earlier orders passed by the former SIC Sh.Maninder Singh Patti and the last order dated 13.09.2023. The RTI application is dated 05.04.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 19.12.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.04.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.

3. The appellant is not present today.

4. The respondent states that the information has already been provided to the appellant but as directed, he has not pointed out any deficiencies.

5. After hearing the respondent and perusing the case file, it is ascertained that the appellant is not present today. He has not even informed the Commission about his absence. Further, as directed in the previous hearing dated 13.09.2023, the appellant has failed to point-out any deficiencies in the information provided. Hence, it appears that the Appellant is not serious and does not intend to pursue the case. Keeping in view the above



APPEAL CASE NO. 98 OF 2023

prevailing circumstances, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required. As such the present case is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties. *However, liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within one month in case he has not received the same.*

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Appellant

Sh. Manjit Singh, S/o Sh. Santa Singh, Narang Colony, Street No 3, House No 10330, Near GurmukhDholi, Sri Muktsar Sahib-152026. M : 9914200995

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Chief Engineer, PWD B&R, Head Office, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority O/o Principal Secretary, PWD B&R, Chandigarh.

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 3645 OF 2023

Present :- (i) None for the appellant

(ii) For the respondent :Sh Sandeep Kamboj, Clerk (98656-09275)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.01.2025.

2. The appellant is not present today.

3. The respondent states that the information sought by the appellant is third-party information. Hence, the same cannot be provided.

4. The perusal of the case file shows that the appellant is not present today. As directed in the previous hearing, the appellant has not even filed any reply/rejoinder in response to the reply of the respondent. The appellant is absent continuously second time without intimation, which appears appellant is not serious and does not intend to pursue the case.

5. Further, the respondent-PIO has already filed his reply mentioning that the information demanded is third-party information. It has been seen and observed that the nature of information sought is mainly the information which has the relevance and governance of the relationship of the employer and the employee. Accordingly from the



APPEAL CASE NO. 3645 OF 2023

perusal of this RTI application it has become abundantly clear that the purpose of the appellant in seeking this kind of information does not involve any larger public interest for asking the information as per the details mentioned in his application and was not supplied as per the stance taken by the respondents and it should not be in the public domain as has been upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and other Hon'ble Courts to avoid the humiliation and harassment of the employees. Allowing this kind of information will certainly strengthen the abuse of the process of law.

6. As discussed above appellant for seeking the personal information in the instant case has not been made with due bona fides and in larger public interest. The furnishing of the information asked for , if provided, would cause unwarranted invasion of privacy of the individual under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act and may also lead to intimidation of such officials.

Hence, the contention of the respondent is upheld. Keeping in view the above prevailing circumstances, the Commission is of the view that no further action is required. As such the present case is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Balbir Singh, S/o Sh. Mahinder Singh , VPO Jodhewal, Tehsil Samral, Distt Ludhiana. M : 8146309330

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Joint Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Patiala.

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 3904 OF 2023

Present :- (i)Sh Balbir Singh, Appellant (ii) For the respondent :Sh Jasvir Singh, Supdt (94178-76464) ShNavdeep Singh, Sr Asstt. (98558-40012) Sh Bhupinder kumar, Secy (99140-96200) and Sh Vijay, Inspector (99140-90280)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.01.2025.

2. The appellant states that he has not received the complete information so far.

3. The respondent states that whatever information was available in their official record, has been provided to the appellant. Further, he adds that, as directed in the previous hearing, he has brought the complete original record pertaining to the RTI of the appellant.

4. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it is ascertained the respondent has provided the appropriate and permissible information to the appellant. He has also brought the original record today but the appellant is not satisfied.



APPEAL CASE NO. 3904 OF 2023

The appellant may take note that Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana High Court vide judgment dated 09.01.2025 in CM-19892-CWP-2024 in CWP-13580-2015 dated 09.01.2025 in the matter of The Punjab State Federation of Cooperative House Building Societies Limited vs The State Information Commission, Punjab and another and in CM-50-CWP-2025 in CWP-20904-2015 in the matter of The Punjab State Cooperative Bank Limited vs State Information Commission, Punjab and others held that :-

"keeping in view the judgment in General Manager, The KisanSahkariChini Mills (supra), qua the petitioner- HOUSEFED, the State has only 21% share and qua petitioner-Cooperative Bank, state has not more than 1% of share, which is not good enough to treat the petitioners as Public Authority so as to be amenable to the RTI Act 2005. Once, the Cooperative Societies have been kept apart from the definition of Public Authority and law has already been settled on the said issue by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, ignorance of said settled principle of law by the State Information Commission, Punjab in the impugned orders cannot be upheld.

Once , the writ petition is not maintainable against the Cooperative Societies, making the petitioners amenable to the right to Information Act, 2005 will be contrary to the settled principle of law by treating it as a Public Authority.

The State has a power to pass appropriate amendment so as to include the Cooperative Societies under the jurisdiction of RTI Act.

At this stage, learned counsel for the State has submitted that to her knowledge, no such amendment has been undertaken so far by the State so as to bring the petitioners under the jurisdiction of Right to Information Act, 2005.

Till the date any such amendment is made by the State, keeping in view the settled principle of law and the facts qua the petitioners notice hereinbefore, orders dated 20.05.2015 and 27.08.2015 passed by the State Information Commission, Punjab are contrary to settled principle of law and cannot be sustained and are set aside".



APPEAL CASE NO. 3904 OF 2023

Copy of the judgment is also handed over to the appellant today in the Commission.

5. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Punjab