Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

PSIC SSU

Sh. Nitin Kumar Garg, C/o Police Public Dairy, 15 A, Shastari Nagar Model Town, Ludhiana.

M: 7814322100 Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Medical Superintendent, Guru Nanak Medical College & Hospital, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Deputy Director, Health & Family Welfare Punjab, Sector 34, Chandigarh.

Respondents

Appellant

APPEAL CASE NO. 4324 OF 2023

Present :- None for the parties.

ORDER

Date: 02.01.2025

The above said appeal case was earlier allocated to Sh. Maninder Singh Patti, SIC. After his retirement, the said appeal case was reallocated to the undersigned. The RTI application is dated 03.03.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 08.04.2023 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 06.07.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.01.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.
- 3. The appellant is absent today. He has sent a letter that he has received the information and is satisfied.
- 4. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Nitin Kumar Garg, C/o Police Public Dairy, 15 A, Shastari Nagar Model Town, Ludhiana.

M: 7814322100 **Appellant**

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Executive Engineer, Construction Division No.1, PWD B&R, Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Superintending Engineer, Construction Circle, PWD, B &R, Hoshiarpur.

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4326 OF 2023

Present :-(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Pawan Kumar, JE

ORDER

The above said appeal case was earlier allocated to Sh. Maninder Singh Patti, SIC. After his retirement, the said appeal case was reallocated to the undersigned. The RTI application is dated 01.04.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 08.05.2023 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 06.07.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.01.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.
- 3. The appellant is absent today.

Date: 02.01.2025

- 4. Respondent states that the information has been sent to the appellant. He has also submitted a letter showing acknowledgment given by the Appellant.
- 5. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties. Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner Puniab

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Suresh Kumar Sharma, R/o New Sharma Furniture House, Pratap Bazaar, Chheharta, Distt Amritsar-143105.

M: 9855890233

Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o Executive Engineer, Central Works Division No 2, PWD,B&R, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Superintending Engineer, Construction Circle, PWD B&R, Amritsar.

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4399 OF 2023

Versus

Present :-

- (i) Sh. Suresh Kumar Sharma the appellant
- (ii) For the respondent: None is present on behalf of the respondent

ORDER

The above said appeal case was earlier allocated to Sh. Maninder Singh Patti, SIC. After his retirement, the said appeal case was reallocated to the undersigned. The RTI application is dated 03.04.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellant Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 09.05.2023 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 07.07.2023 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.01.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.
- 3. Respondent is absent today.

Date: 02.01.2025

- 4. The appellant Sh. Suresh Kumar Sharma has given in writing that he has received the information and is satisfied.
- 5. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

RTI Helpline - 01722864100

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PSIC DESIGNATION OF THE PRICE O

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. Ankit Sehgal,

C/o Bombay Studio Near Main Post Office Chowk,

Pathankot. Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Officer,

Construction Sub Division No 1,

Public Works Department, B&R, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority -cum- Superintending Engineer,

Construction Circle No 1, Public Works Department,

B&R, Jalandhar. Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4852 OF 2023

Present:- (i) Sh. Ankit Sehgal the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Nabhdeep Bansal, SDE-cum-PIO

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 25.09.2024 vide which the appellant Sh. Ankit Sehgal was asked to point out deficiencies.

2. Today the respondent states that the information regarding deficiencies has been provided to the appellant. He has also filed his reply which is as under:

APPEAL CASE NO. 4852 OF 2023



Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

ਸੇਵ	हा हिथे	ਲ ਇੰਜੀਨੀਅਰ,ਉਸਾਰੀ ਉਪ ਮੰਡਲ ਨੰ.		THE BUTTON
		Ankit Sehgal, C/o Bombay Studio Near main Post Office Chowl Pathankot.	k	
		ਨੰਬਰ: ਮਿਤੀ:		
	ਵਿਸ਼ਾ:-	Appeal Case No. 4852/ 2023		
	ਹਵਾਲਾ:- ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਦਾ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰ. 14 ਮਿਤੀ 05.06.2023			
	ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਹਵਾਲੇ ਅਧੀਨ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਪਹਿਲਾਂ			
	ਹੀ ਭੇਜੀ ਜਾ ਦ	ਰੱਕੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੇ ਗਏ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਦੀ ਸੂ ਕੇ '0 3 ਕੇ ਇਨ'ਈ ਇਕ 2232	ਚਨਾ ਹੇਠ।	ਲਿਖੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਭੇਜੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ:- ਕੁੱਡੀ ਹੈ ਹੈ ਸ਼ੁਲਾ ਰਿਯਤਵਾਧ ਤ
	ਲੜੀ ਨੰ.	ਸੂਚਨਾ		ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ ਕਥਨ
•	1.	Attested Copies of Hand Receipt for the D.F 26- Hand Receipt (See Rule 7.20 of D.F Received from Division office from 01.01.2020 to 26.04.2023	.R) fund	 ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਪਹਿਲਾਂ ਭੇਜਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਜਵਾਬ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਹੈ। ਹੈੱਡ ਰਸੀਦਾਂ ਪੰਨਾ ਨੰ. 1 ਤੋਂ ਪੰਨਾ ਨੰ. 122 ਤੱਕ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਹੈ।
	ਨੱਥੀ/ਨਿੱਲ -			ਨੂੰ ਇੰਜੀਨੀਅਰ ਨੂੰ ਪੰਜੀਨੀਅਰ ਨੂੰ ਮੰਡਲ ਨੂੰ:1, ਲੋ:ਨਿ:ਵਿ: ਭਤੇਮ ਸ਼ਾਖਾ, ਫ਼ਾਉਈ।
		นพ์ส. 335	ਮਿਤੀ.	31/12/2024
		ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਦਾ ਉਤਾਰਾ ਸਟੇਟ ਇੰਨਫਰ ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਦਫਤਰ ਦਾ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰ. 12 ਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਤੇ ਅਗਲੇਰੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਹਿੱਤ ਪੇਸ਼	ਮੇਸ਼ਨ ਕਮਿ 568 ਮਿਤੰ	ਸਨ, ਰੈੱਡ ਕਰਾਸ ਬਿਲਡਿੰਗ ਨੇੜੇ ਰੋਜ਼ ਗਾਰਡਨ,
	ਨੱਥੀ/ਨਿੱਲ		क्रिय शिवस	ੁਨ੍ਹੀ ਨ ਇੰਜੀਨੀਅਰ ਪ ਮੰਡਲ ੁੰ :1-ਲੋ:ਨਿ:ਵਿ: ਭਤੇਮ ਸ਼ਾਖਾ, ਫਾਉਈ।
	ਜਲੰਧਰ ਛਾਉ	ਏਈ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਦਫਤਰ ਦਾ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰ. 214 ਮਿਤੀ		r, ਉਸਾਰੀ ਮੰਡਲ ਨੰ.1, ਲੋ.ਨਿ.ਵਿ. ਭਤੇਮ ਸ਼ਾਖਾ, 023 ਅਤੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰ. 863 ਮਿਤੀ 08.10.2024
	ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱ	ਰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਤੇ ਅਗਲੇਰੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਹਿੱਤ ਪੇਸ਼ ਹੈ ਜੀ।	- (1
	ठंघी/ठिँछ			ਨ ਇੰਜੀਨੀਅਰ ਪੇਪ ਮੰਡਲ ਨੈ:1, ਲੋ:ਨਿ:ਵਿ: ਭਤੇਮ ਸ਼ਾਖਾ,

3. Copy of the same is handed over to the appellant. The appellant has gone through the same and states that he is satisfied with the same. Since, the appellant is satisfied, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Date: 02.01.2025

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Shabdesh Kumar, # 22A/593, Basant Nagar, Majitha Road, Amritsar.

M : 9872295695 Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Tehsildar Office Amritsar-2

Respondents

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 25 OF 2024

Present:- (i) Dr. Narinder Pal Sharma on behalf of the complainant

(ii) For the respondent: None is present on behalf of the respondent

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 11.12.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Second appeal was filed in the Commission on 21.12.2023 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.01.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.
- 3. Dr. Narinder Pal Sharma is appearing on behalf of the complainant with authority letter. He states that no information has been given to him so far.
- 4. Respondent is absent today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.
- 5. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 25 OF 2024

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the

information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of

the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First

Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act

by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant has any grouse, he is advised to

challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section

19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within

the prescribed time limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking

order.

7. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby

directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in

accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard.

He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter.

8. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority,

he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

9. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of**. Copies of this decision be

sent to the parties through registered post.

Sd/-

(Dr.Bhupinder S Batth) Date: 02.01.2025

State Information Commissioner

Punjab

Remanded back to First Appellate Authority O/o SDM, Amritsar-II

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

PSIC S

Sh. Shabdesh Kumar, # 22A/593, Basant Nagar, Majitha Road, Amritsar.

M : 9872295695 Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Tehsildar Office, Amritsar-2

Respondents

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 26 OF 2024

Present:- (i) Dr. Narinder Pal Sharma on behalf of the complainant

(ii) For the respondent: None is present on behalf of the respondent

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 11.12.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Second appeal was filed in the Commission on 21.12.2023 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.01.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.
- 3. Dr. Narinder Pal Sharma is appearing on behalf of the complainant with authority letter. He states that no information has been given to him so far.
- 4. Respondent is absent today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.
- 5. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

PSIC Disable Mormature

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 26 OF 2024

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the

information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of

Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

6. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the

Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant

case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as

envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the

complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the

designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who

will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time

limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

7. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission

hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and

decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties

an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide

the matter.

8. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

9. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this

decision be sent to the parties *through registered post.*

Sd/-

Date:02.01.2025

(Dr.Bhupinder S Batth) State Information Commissioner

Punjab

Remanded back to First Appellate Authority O/o SDM, Amritsar-II

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

PSIC Sales Antomates of

Sh. Harmesh Singh (Retd. S.I./ L.R. no 2921/PAP)

S/o Sh. Darshan Singh,

R/o # 448,VPO Littara, Tehsil Nakodar,

Distt Jalandhar-144043

M: 8872677700; 6283291117

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Inspector General of Police, PAP-1,

Jalandhar.

Respondents

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 27 OF 2024

Present :-

- (i) Sh. Harmesh Singh the complainant
- (ii) For the respondent: Sh. Rakesh, DSP (8699928291)

ORDER

Date: 02.01.2025

The RTI application is dated 03.05.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Second appeal was filed in the Commission on 18.12.2023 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.01.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.
- 4. Complainant Sh. Harmesh Singh states that he has not received any information so far.
- 5. Respondent states that the information has already been sent to the Complainant. He further states that he has brought another copy of information today in the Commission. The same is handed over to the Complainant. Complainant Sh. Harmesh Singh has gone through the same and states that he is satisfied with the same. He has also given in writing in this regard.
- 6. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

(Dr.Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Santokh Singh, S/o Sh. Sajjan Singh Gill, VPO Kot Khahira, Via Tarsika, Tehsil Baba Bakala, Distt Amritsar-143116.

M :9872067194

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Development & Panchayat Officer,
Amritsar.

Respondents

Complainant

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 28 OF 2024

Present:- None for the parties.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 21.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Second appeal was filed in the Commission on 22.12.2023 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.01.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.
- 3. Today neither the complainant nor the respondent is present.
- 4. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
 - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 28 OF 2024

under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the

information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of

Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

5. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the

Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant

case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as

envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the

complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the

designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who

will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time

limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

6. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission

hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and

decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties

an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide

the matter.

7. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

8. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this

decision be sent to the parties *through registered post.*

Sd/-

(Dr.Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner

Punjab

Remanded back to

Date: 02.01.2025

First Appellate Authority O/o DDPO, Amritsar

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

PSIC Sales Antonnas Color

Sh. Sanjeev Kaushal, S/o Sh. Narinder Kumar,

C/o Shop No 4, Mehta Market, Near LIC Office,

G.T. Road, Tehsil & Distt Gurdaspur.

M:- 9814370003

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur.

Respondents

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 30 OF 2024

Present :-

- (i) None is present on behalf of the complainant
- (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Ajay Rajan, ASI (9780800742)

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 04.11.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.12.2023 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.01.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.
- 3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
 - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 30 OF 2024

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of

Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the

Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant

case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as

envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the

complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the

designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who

will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time

limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission

hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and

decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties

an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide

the matter.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of**. Copies of this

decision be sent to the parties *through registered post.*

Sd/-

(Dr.Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner

Punjab

Date :02.01.2025

Remanded back to

First Appellate Authority O/o SSP, Gurdaspur

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Poic Sale Mormates

Sh. Pala Singh, S/o Sh. Munsha Singh, Village Khapiya Wali,

VPO Sri Muktsar Sahib.

M: 9814893014; 9463871541 Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Civil Surgeon, Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority O/o Civil Surgeon, Fazilka.

Respondents

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 32 OF 2024

Present :- (i) Nor

- (i) None is present on behalf of the complainant
- (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Manish Kumar, Computer Operator (9041852144)

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 01.09.2023 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 01.01.2024 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.01.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.
- 3. Complainant is absent today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.
- 4. Respondent states that he has brought reply today in the Commission.

The same is taken on record. Copy of the reply, as submitted by the respondent be sent to the complainant along with these orders.

5. The Commission has examined the reply which adequately addresses the RTI application. After hearing the respondent and going through the reply filed by the respondent, the Commission is of the considered opinion that the Respondent has provided appropriate and permissible reply to the Appellant.



Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Date:02.01.2025

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 32 OF 2024

6. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left, hence the above said complaint case filed by the complainant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Pod Cross Building Near Boss Gordon

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. Vipan Grover (Journalist), Fatehgarh Panitoor, Distt Moga.

M : 9877086735 Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Makhu Ferozepur.

Respondents

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 33 OF 2024

Present :- None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 15.11.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Second appeal was filed in the Commission on 01.01.2024 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.01.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.

3. Today neither the complainant nor the respondent is present. An email has been received from the complainant mentioning therein that no information has been given to him so far. He further request that his case should be remanded back to the First Appellate Authority.

4. Hence, the above complaint case filed by the complainant remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The Commission has also relied upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 33 OF 2024

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint

under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the

information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of

the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant

under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First

Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act

by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant has any grouse, he is advised to

challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section

19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within

the prescribed time limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking

order.

6. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby

directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in

accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard.

He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter.

7. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority,

he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

8. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of**. Copies of this decision be

sent to the parties through registered post.

Sd/-

Date: 02.01.2025

(Dr.Bhupinder S Batth) **State Information Commissioner**

Punjab

Remanded back to

First Appellate Authority o/o DC, Ferozepur

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Vipan Grover (Journalist), Fatehgarh Panitoor, Distt Moga.

M: 9877086735 Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o DC, Ferozepur. Respondents

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 34 OF 2024

Present:- None for the parties.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 15.11.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Second appeal was filed in the Commission on 01.01.2024 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.01.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.
- 3. Today neither the complainant nor the respondent is present. Complainant has sent a letter that no information has been given to him so far. He further request that his case should be remanded back to the First Appellate Authority.
- 4. Hence, the above said complaint case filed by the complainant remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission has also relied upon the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
 - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of

Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

5. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the

Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant

case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as

envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the

complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the

designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who

will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time

limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

6. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission

hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and

decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties

an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide

the matter.

7. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

8. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this

decision be sent to the parties *through registered post*.

Sd/-

(Dr.Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner

Punjab

Remanded back to

Date:02.01.2025

First Appellate Authority

o/o DC, Ferozepur

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Kanwaljit Singh, S/o Sh. Massa Singh, R/o Village Khasa, Tehsil & Distt Amritsar.

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Attari, Distt Amritsar.

Respondents

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 35 OF 2024

Present :-

(i) Sh. Kanwaljit Singh the complainant

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Rubinderpal Singh, Panchayat Secretary-cum-PIO

(8146816288)

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 05.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Second appeal was filed in the Commission on 01.01.2024 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.01.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.
- 3. The complainant states that no information has been given to him so far.
- 4. Respondent states that the reply has already been sent to the complainant.
- 5. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
 - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 35 OF 2024

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of

Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

6. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the

Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant

case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as

envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the

complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the

designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who

will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time

limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

7. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission

hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and

decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties

an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide

the matter.

8. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

9. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this

decision be sent to the parties *through registered post.*

Sd/-

(Dr.Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner

State Information Commissioner

Punjab

Remanded back to

Date: 02.01.2025

First Appellate Authority

DDPO, Amritsar

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Poic 5

Sh. Shri Niwas, S/oSh. Satpal,

Patiala Road, Sangrur.

M : 9094596000 Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Divisional Forest Officer,
Sangrur

Sangrur. Respondents

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 39 OF 2024

Present:- (i) None is present on behalf of the complainant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Sandeep Singh, Clerk

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 13.07.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Second appeal was filed in the Commission on 22.12.2023 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.01.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.
- 3. The complainant is absent today. He has not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing.
- 4. Respondent states that the reply has been sent to the complainant.
- 5. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 39 OF 2024

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint

under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the

information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of

Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

6. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the

Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant

case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as

envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the

complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the

designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who

will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time

limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

7. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission

hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and

decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties

an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide

the matter.

8. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

9. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is disposed of. Copies of this

decision be sent to the parties *through registered post*.

Sd/-

Date: 02.01.2025

(Dr.Bhupinder S Batth) **State Information Commissioner**

Punjab

Remanded back to

First Appellate Authority O/o Consumer of Forests

South Circle Patiala, Near Central Jail, Patiala



Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

PSIC SS

Sh. Prem Singh, S/o Sh. Ajain Singh, R/o Village Gaggar Majra, Tehsil Khanna, Distt Ludhiana-141401.

M : 8146137394

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Khanna, Distt Ludhiana.

Respondents

Complainant

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 41 OF 2024

Present :- (i) Sh. Prem Singh Singh, Complainant alongwith Sh. Sukhdev Singh

(ii) For the respondent : None is present on behalf of the respondent

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 20.10.2023 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Second appeal was filed in the Commission on 04.01.2024 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.01.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.
- 3. Complainant states that no information has been given to him.
- 4. Respondent is absent today.
- 5. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
 - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 41 OF 2024

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of

Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

6. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the

Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant

case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as

envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the

complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the

designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who

will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time

limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

7. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission

hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and

decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties

an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide

the matter.

8. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

6. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this

decision be sent to the parties *through registered post*.

Sd/-

(Dr.Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner

Punjab

Remanded back to

Date: 02.01.2025

First Appellate Authority

O/o DDPO, Ludhiana

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

PSIC S

Sh. Harjit Singh, S/o Sh. Bhagwant Singh, Sh. Kakikker Singh, S/o Sh. Bhajan Singh, R/o Village Kup Khurd, Dilawargarh, Tehsil Ahmedgarh, Distt Malerkotla.

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Ahmedgarh, Distt Malerkotla.

Respondents

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 176 OF 2024

Present:- None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 29.02.2024 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Second appeal was filed in the Commission on 18.04.2024 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.01.2025 in the Commission i.e. today.
- 3. Today neither the complainant nor the respondent is present in the Commission
- 4. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
 - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us:www.infocommpunjab.com

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 176 OF 2024

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of

Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

5. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the

Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant

case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as

envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the

complainant has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the

designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who

will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time

limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

6. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission

hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and

decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties

an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide

the matter.

7. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

8. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this

decision be sent to the parties through registered post.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner

State Information Commissioner

Punjab

Remanded back to:

Date: 02.01.2025

First Appellate Authority O/o DDPO, Malkerkotla