

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,**  
**Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in**



**Satpal Singh,**  
s/o ChhabilDass,  
Ward No.1, Tohana Road,  
Bhuna, Tehsil & District Fatehabad.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
o/o Director General of Police,  
Punjab Police Headquarters,  
Sector-9, Chandigarh.  
**First Appellate Authority,**  
o/o Director General of Police,  
Punjab Police Headquarters,  
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

**Appeal Case No. 3280 of 2019**

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Gurnam Singh, Head Constable (for the Respondent) 94656-57651

Gurnam Singh, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 95921-35850

Maninder Singh, Constable (for the Respondent) 78377-41687

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 7.11.19)*

1. The RTI application is dated **26.11.18** vide which the appellant has sought information **pertaining to Complaint No. 1982/GC/DGP dated 30.8.18**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **2.1.19**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **4.9.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **7.11.19**.

2. The appellant is absent without any intimation. The respondent PIO represented by Head Constable, Gurnam Singh, Senior Assistant in the office of DGP, Punjab, Head Constable Gurnam Singh and Constable, Maninder Singh of the office of SSP Mohali, have submitted a copy of the information which is identical to that already handed over to the appellant in Appeal Case No. 3279/2019 at its hearing on 18.12.19 (ten pages of the inquiry report for Complaint No. 1982/GC/DGP, dated: 30.8.19). A copy of the aforesaid information has been taken on file and can be collected from the Commission if the appellant so desires.

3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh  
8.1.2020

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,**  
**Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in**



**Mohammad Nazir Rattan,**  
Rattan Colony,  
Jamalpura,  
Malerkotla-148023

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
o/o Chief Executive Officer,  
Punjab Waqf Board,  
SCO: 1062-63, Sector-22 B,  
Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
o/o Chairman,  
Punjab Waqf Board,  
SCO: 1062-63, Sector-22 B,  
Chandigarh.

**Appeal Case No. 3283 of 2019**

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Tayyeb Hasan Falahi, PIO-cum-Education & Development Officer(Respondent) 97810-23786

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 7.11.19)*

1. The RTI application is dated **25.10.18** vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **29.4.19**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **4.9.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **7.11.19** wherein the respondent PIO was directed to furnish copies of the postal receipt and dispatch register vide which he claimed to have sent the requested information to the appellant.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO-cum-Education & Development Officer Punjab Waqf Board, Tayyeb Hasan Falahi, is present and as directed at the last hearing on 7.11.19, has submitted copies of the postal receipt and Despatch Register as proof of his contention that the requested information was sent to the appellant on 23.10.19.

3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-

**(ASIT JOLLY)**

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

8.1.2020

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,**  
**Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in**



**Munesh K. Singh,**  
# 2594, Sector-27-C,  
Chandigarh-160019

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,  
S.A.S. Nagar,  
**First Appellate Authority,**  
o/o Inspector General of Police,  
Roopnagar Range, Roopnagar.

**Appeal Case No. 3289 of 2019**

PRESENT:

Munesh K. Singh (Appellant) 80549-69724  
Nathi Ram, ASI (for the Respondent) 94638-44213

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 7.11.19)*

1. The RTI application is dated **24.6.19** vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **28.8.19**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **5.9.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **7.11.19** wherein the appellant was requested to list the deficiencies in the information given to him thus far.
2. Both parties are present. The appellant had, on 12.11.19, made a written submission listing the deficiencies in the information earlier provided to him. A copy of this was also sent to the respondent PIO.
3. As directed at the last hearing, the respondent PIO, represented by ASI Nathi Ram, brought the original file of this RTI application to this hearing. A complete copy of the file with each page attested by ASI Nathi Ram, has been handed over to the appellant.
4. The respondent PIO is now directed to file a pointwise reply to the deficiencies listed by the appellant in his letter of 12.11.19. This reply must be in the form of an **affidavit** signed by the respondent PIO and duly notarized by a notary public.
5. **Next hearing on 20.2.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**

State Information Commissioner  
Chandigarh,  
8.1.2020

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,**  
**Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in**



**Rajwinder Singh,**  
s/o Kuldeep Singh,  
# 2636, Street No. 1,  
Azad Nagar, Near Shimla Market,  
Putlighar, Amritsar.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
District Amritsar.  
**First Appellate Authority,**  
o/o Police Commissioner,  
Police Commissionerate,  
District Amritsar.

**Appeal Case No. 3295 of 2019**

PRESENT:

Rajwinder Singh (Appellant) 98728-21947  
Gulwinder Singh, SI(for the Respondent)78891-51646  
Surinder Singh, Head Constable (for the Respondent) 97805-75800

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 7.11.19)*

1. The RTI application is dated **1.7.19**vide which the appellant has sought information regarding Application No. 2475-COP dated 11.5.18, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA)on **2.8.19**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **5.9.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **7.11.19**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented at this hearing by Sub Inspector Gulwinder Singh and Head Constable Surinder Singh, have, as directed in this Commission at the last hearing on 7.11.19, submitted an affidavit clearly stating that no other information pertaining to this RTI application exists in the official record. The original Affidavit was handed over to the appellant, who has gone through its contents and has expressed satisfaction.

3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh  
8.1.2020



**Harvinder Pal Singh,**  
# 2749-A, MIG Super,  
Sector-70, Mohali.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
o/o Municipal Corporation,  
S.A.S. Nagar,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
o/o Municipal Corporation,  
S.A.S. Nagar,  
Mohali.

**Appeal Case No. 3306 of 2019**

PRESENT:

Harvinder Pal Singh (Appellant) 98767-81133

Ranjiv Kumar, PIO, Secretary Municipal Corporation (Respondent) 98143-77099

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 7.11.19)*

1. The RTI application is dated **6.11.18** vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **22.1.19**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **5.9.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **7.11.19**.
2. Both parties are present. The appellant had, in a letter dated 31.12.19, pointed out certain deficiencies in the information provided to him by the respondent PIO at the last hearing.
3. The respondent PIO-Cum-Secretary Corporation, Ranjiv Kumar, has submitted that the information sought at point no. 2 of the appellant's letter is not available in the Municipal Corporation and is infact with GMADA. He has also submitted that information pertaining to the other deficiencies pointed out by the appellant is not available in the official record.
4. The respondent PIO is directed to officially transfer this RTI application with respect to point No. 2 (action taken against violations etc.) to the office of the PIO, GMADA under Section 6 (3) of the RTI Act. He is also directed to submit a Notarized Affidavit stating his verbal contention that the other deficiencies pointed out by the appellant, do not exist in the official record.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),**  
**Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)**



5. Next hearing on 20.2.2020 at 11.00 am.

Sd/-  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh  
8.1.2020



**Tilak Raj,**  
s/o Chhaju Ram,  
# 128/B/33, Anand Vihar Colony, Front of Ram Talai Temple,  
Batala, District Gurdaspur – 143505

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,  
Rural, District Amritsar.

**Complaint Case No. 788 of 2019**

PRESENT:

Tilak Raj (Complainant) 98782-99743  
Harpal Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 97800-16624

**ORDER:**

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 7.11.19)*

1. The complainant, **Tilak Raj**, filed this RTI application dated **15.6.19** and sought information from the PIO o/o **Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **30.8.19**. The case was last heard on **7.11.19**.
2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented at this hearing by ASI Harpal Singh, has submitted a written reply citing a report received from DSP Jandiala. A copy of this was handed over to the complainant who expressed satisfaction with the information furnished. He however pointed out that the information which ought to have been given to him within 30 days of his RTI application, has been delayed for more than 6 months. He sought that a penalty be imposed on respondent PIO.
3. This Commission, while admonishing the respondent PIO for the inordinate delay in addressing this RTI application, is inclined to treat this as first misdemeanor. The respondent PIO is advised to be more proactive in dealing with information requests under the RTI Act in future.
4. There is no further cause for action and this complaint case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh  
8.1.2020



**Puneet Mehta,**  
# 36-B, Sarabha Nagar,  
Bhadson Road,Patiala.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,  
District Administrative Complex,  
Sector-76, S.A.S. Nagar – 160071

**Complaint Case No. 789 of 2019**

PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent

Amandeep Kaur, Sub Inspector(for the Respondent)83607-46664

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 7.11.19)*

1. The complainant, **Puneet Mehta**, filed this RTI application dated **6.7.19** and sought information from the PIO o/o **Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **30.8.19**. The case was last heard on **7.11.19**.

2. The complainant is absent without intimation for the second time. The respondent PIO represented by Sub Inspector Amandeep Kaur, submitted that the requested information was given to the complainant by hand on 27.11.19. She also submitted a copy of the information along with a signed statement wherein the complainant has confirmed that he has received the information.

3. There is no further cause for action and this complaint case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh  
8.1.2020





**Kulwant Kaur,**  
# 172, N.A.C., Mani- Majra.  
U.T., Chandigarh.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,  
Fazilka.

**Complaint Case No. 794 of 2019**

PRESENT:

Kulwant Kaur (Complainant) 94643-13688  
Joginder Kumar, Clerk(for the Respondent) 85588-00875

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 7.11.19)*

1. The complainant, **Kulwant Kaur**, filed this RTI application dated **15.6.19** and sought information from the PIO o/o **Director, Bureau of Investigation, Pb., Chandigarh**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **2.9.19**. The case was last heard on **7.11.19**.
2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO represented at this hearing by Joginder Kumar, clerk, submitted that a total of 11 plus 12 pages of information have been furnished to the complainant at the last hearing on 7.11.19 and subsequently by post on 20.12.19. The complainant was however, not satisfied and pointed out number of deficiencies in the information supplied to her.
3. The respondent PIO is directed to examine the deficiencies listed by the complainant and furnish whatever information she has requested if this exists in the record. In the event, that the information is not available in the official record, the respondent PIO is directed to submit a duly notarized Affidavit stating this.
4. **Next hearing on 20.2.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh  
8.1.2020



**REGISTERED**

**Trilochan Singh,**  
s/o Gurbachan Singh,  
# 848-A, MIG, Punjab Housing Board Colony,  
Jamalpur, Ludhiana – 141010.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
o/o Station House Officer,  
Police Station Moti Nagar,  
Ludhiana.

**Complaint Case No. 799 of 2019**

PRESENT:

Trilochan Singh (Complainant) 94636-58200  
(Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 7.11.19)*

1. The complainant, **Trilochan Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **23.7.19** and sought **information regarding complaint UID 1608869 dated 13.7.19**, as enumerated in his RTI application. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **3.9.19**. The case was last heard on **7.11.19**.

2. The complainant Trilochan Singh is present. The respondent PIO is absent without any intimation for the second successive hearing of this complaint case. This Commission takes a very serious view of the PIO's questionable conduct in first ignoring the RTI application filed on 23.7.19, and then subsequently failing to respond to the Commission's Notice of 9.10.19 and Order of 7.11.19.

3. The respondent PIO is herewith ordered to **SHOW CAUSE** as to why a penalty should not be imposed upon him under Section 20 (1) of RTI Act, 2005, for causing willful delay/denial of the information requested by the appellant as far back as on **23.7.19**.

“In addition to the written reply, the respondent PIO is also given an opportunity under Section 20 (1) proviso there to, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file his written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),**  
**Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)**



date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him *ex parte*.”

4. **Next hearing on 5.2.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh  
8.1.2020



**Satnam Singh**

s/o Late Sh. Mohan Singh,  
Village Tera Tajputan,  
Gorala,  
Tehsil Ajnala,  
District Amritsar.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,  
Amritsar (Rural),  
Amritsar.

**Complaint Case No. 810 of 2019**

PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent  
Harpal Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 97800-16624

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 15.11.19)*

1. The complainant, **Satnam Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **7.5.19** and sought **information by way of documents, including a copy of the Scheduled Caste certificate, submitted by Head Constable Sukhwant Singh during his appointment on 17.10.97.** When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **9.9.19**. The case was last heard on **15.11.19**.

2. The complainant is absent without any intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Harpal Singh, submitted that the information has been supplied to the complainant by hand. He also submitted copy of a statement signed by the complainant that he has received the requested information.

3. There is no further cause for action and this complaint case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh  
8.1.2020



**Ram Murti**(senior citizen),  
s/o Jaswant Rai,  
Sadhu Colony,Jalandhar Road,  
Mehta Chowk – 143114,  
Tehsil Baba Bakala, District Amritsar.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,  
Amritsar (Rural),  
Amritsar.

**Complaint Case No. 813 of 2019**

PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent  
Harpal Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 97800-16624

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 15.11.19)*

1. The complainant, **Ram Murti**, filed this RTI application dated **21.5.19** and sought **information pertaining to the action taken on his application of 13.4.19**, from the PIO o/o **Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **10.09.19**. The case was last heard on **15.11.19**.

2. The complainant is absent without intimation for the second time. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Harpal Singh, has again requested an adjournment but he has assured this Commission that the requested information will be supplied to the complainant within the next ten days.

3. **Next hearing on 20.2.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh  
8.1.2020