

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in), RTI Helpline - 0172-2864100



## FINAL ORDER

**Sh. Charan Kanwal Sandhu,**  
S/o Sh. Jaswinder Singh,  
190, Punjabi Bagh, Patiala.

### **Versus**

**Public Information Officer-cum-SHO,**  
Police Station Samana, District Patiala.  
**First Appellate Authority,**  
Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala.

### **Appeal Case No. 3896 of 2023**

#### **PRESENT:**

Sub Inspector Ashok Kumar (for the Respondent) 98784-85096

#### **ORDER:**

*(To be read in continuity with orders dated 31.8.2023, 31.10.2023, and 23.2.2024)*

1. The RTI application is dated **1.4.2023** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding:

*“1.Copy of FIR No. 127 Dated 28.4.2000 PS JULKA 2-copy of FIR No. 267 Dated 10.8.2000 PS JULKA 3-Copy of FIR 334 Dated 9.10.2000 PS JULKA 4-Copy of FIR No. 147 Dated 22.11.2012 PS JULKA 5-Copy of FIR No. 160 Dated 23.06.2001 PS SAMANA”*

as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **2.5.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **16.6.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **23.2.2024**.

2. This case was reserved on 23.2.2024. The PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station City Samana, represented by Sub Inspector Ashok Kumar, appeared before this Bench on 4.3.2024 and has submitted a reply to the Show Cause Notice issued to the PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station, Samana vide its Order of 31.8.2023. As per said reply in the year 2001 Police Station City Samana, was a Police Post under the jurisdiction of Police Station Samana, because of which, successive Orders by this Commission had been marked to the PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station Samana. The Police Post City Samana, is now an independent Police Station i.e. Police Station City Samana. As per the PIO, the delay has occurred only because of the confusion between Police Station Samana and Police Station City Samana.

3. The PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station City, Samana, Inspector Guriqbal Singh, states that the information sought by the appellant has not been intentionally declined or delayed. He has also informed the Commission that a copy of FIR No. 160 Dated 26.3.2001 u/s 406, 498-A IPC, was sent to the appellant by registered post on 19.2.2024. A copy of said FIR has also been submitted to the Commission.

4. In view of the PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station City Samana's, reply, there is no further cause for action and the Appeal is accordingly **Disposed of**.

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.  
Chandigarh  
4.3.2024