

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Iqbal Singh Rasulpur,
Village Rasulpur,
Tehsil Jagraon,
District Ludhiana-142035

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Ludhiana Rural,
Ludhiana.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 264 of 2020

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

(Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **1.9.19** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding action taken on his complaint to DIG Ludhiana Range dated 11.7.2019 along with 33 appended pages,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **11.11.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **10.1.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **4.3.2020**.

2. Both parties are absent. However, the appellant has emailed the Commission on 3.3.2020 to say that he has received the requested information.

3. There is no further cause of action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh
4.3.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Sukhdev Singh Manuke,

Village Manuke,

Tehsil Jagraon, District Ludhiana – 142 034

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Additional Chief Secretary, Home Affairs and Justice Department,

Punjab, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

o/o Additional Chief Secretary, **Home-1**, Home Affairs and Justice Department,

Punjab, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

o/o Additional Chief Secretary, **Home-3**, Home Affairs and Justice Department,

Punjab, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Home Department,

Punjab, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 277 of 2020

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Parshotam Kumar, ASI (for the Respondent) 94171-31510

Mahesh Pal, APIO-Cum-Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 98880-44478

Shamsheer Singh, APIO-Cum-Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 84370-31391

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **21.9.19** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding the action taken on Complaint No. 814/1 dated 14.8.19 and related documents including Memo No 1/10/2009-3H(1)/435 dated 12.2.09 etc.,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **29.10.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **10.1.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **4.3.2020**.

2. The appellant is absent but has emailed the Commission requesting an adjournment contending that the respondent PIO has furnished information which is not attested. However, the respondent PIO represented by ASI Parshotam Kumar, Mahesh Pal, APIO-cum-Senior Assistant from Home-1, and Shamsheer Singh, APIO-cum-Senior Assistant from Home-3, have submitted that a duly attested copy of the requested information was sent to the appellant vide letter No. 6/28/2019-3G1/397 dated 7.2.2020. The appellant is requested to confirm receipt before the next hearing on 30.4.2020.

3. **Next hearing on 30.4.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

4.3.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Ajitpal Singh Multani,
#408/409, Shakti Sadan,
Communication T & C Cell, PSTCL,
Jalandhar.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Deputy CE/DS,
Punjab State Power Corporation Limited,
DS Circle, Kapurthala.
First Appellate Authority,
Chief Engineer North Zone,
Punjab State Power Corporation Limited,
Jalandhar.

Appeal Case No. 2772 of 2019

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

SP Sondhi, APIO-Cum-Additional Superintendent Engineer (for the Respondent) 96461-16021

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 15.1.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **22.5.18** vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **12.7.18** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **31.7.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **15.1.2020**.
2. The appellant is absent without any intimation. The respondent PIO represented by S.P. Sondhi, APIO-cum-Additional Superintendent Engineer, has, as directed by this Commission in its orders of 15.1.2020, submitted a duly Notarised Affidavit which has been taken on file. The appellant Ajitpal Singh Multani can collect the original Affidavit from this Commission at his convenience.
3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh
4.3.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Dr. Sohan Lal Jain,

136-G, Gobind Nagar, Model Town,
Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Director,

Health & Family Welfare Department, Punjab, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Director, Health & Family Welfare Department, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 2811 of 2019

PRESENT:

Dr. Sohan Lal Jain (Appellant) 94179-39487

Rajinder Kumar, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 79739-65905

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 12.9.19, 16.10.19, 4.12.19, and 15.1.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **22.2.19** vide which the appellant has sought **information pertaining to personal file, ACRs and other documents**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **24.4.19**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **2.8.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **15.1.2020**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, as directed by this Commission at the last hearing on 15.1.2020, submitted a copy of the information requested at points 1 to 3 and 5 of appellant's RTI application. The complainant raised objection that the information so furnished, contending that it is misleading. However, the respondent PIO represented by Rajinder Kumar, Senior Assistant, stated that there is no other information in the record other than that supplied to the appellant.

3. The respondent PIO Jatinder Dhawan, Superintendent Grade-1, is directed to submit a duly Notarised Affidavit stating that all the information pertaining to the appellant's RTI application has furnished and there is no other information available in the official record. The Affidavit must be submitted before the next hearing on 30.4.2020.

4. Meanwhile, this RTI application has been pending for more than a year during which the appellant who has sought information pertaining to his own personal file ACR's etc. has been put to considerable harassment.

Contd. ...2

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 2811 of 2019

5. In view of the above, this Commission, exercising its powers under Section 19 of the RTI Act, awards a **Compensation of Rs. 5,000.00 (Rupees Five Thousand only) to the appellant, Dr. Sohan Lal Jain**. This is to be paid by the Public Authority, in this instance the Health & Family Welfare Department, Punjab, Chandigarh before the next hearing.

6. **Next hearing on 30.4.2020 at 11.00 am for COMPLAINT**

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh
4.3.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Jatinder Singh,
s/o Late Amrik Singh,
Village Jhande, PO Threeke,
Tehsil & District Ludhiana – 142 021

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

Complaint Case No. 43 of 2020

PRESENT:

Jatinder Singh (Complainant) 99152-56509

Avtar Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 94179-58706

ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Jatinder Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **8.11.19** and sought Information **regarding Order No. 2010-14/PA dated 22.3.19 for a departmental inquiry against ASI Jasbir Singh**, as enumerated in his RTI application. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **6.1.2020**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **4.3.2020**.
2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Avtar Singh from the office of Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana has handed over the requested information to the appelland. However, the appelland pointed out that although the furnished information is attested, there is no date alongside the signatures.
3. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI, Avtar Singh was asked to affix the date on each page where the documents have been attested. The complainant pointed out that judicial courts refuse to accept documents procured through the RTI owing to improper attestation or certification.
4. In view of this, the respondent PIO in this instance, is directed to personally sign the covering letter accompanying the information furnished today. This must then be dispatched to the complainant's address by Speed Post or Registered Post. A copy of the postal receipt be sent to this Commission before the next hearing.
5. **Next hearing on 30.4.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner
Chandigarh
4.3.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Jatinder Singh,

s/o Late Amrik Singh,

Village Jhande, PO Threeke,

Tehsil & District Ludhiana – 142 021

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana.

Complaint Case No. 44 of 2020

PRESENT:

Jatinder Singh (Complainant) 99152-56509

Avtar Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 94179-58706

ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Jatinder Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **8.11.19** and sought Information **regarding department enquiry against ASI Paramjit Singh and Head Constable Surinder Kumar in regard to order no. 2005-09/PA dated: 22.3.2019** from the PIO o/o **Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **6.1.2020**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **4.3.2020**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Avtar Singh from the office of Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana has handed over the requested information to the appellant. However, the appellant pointed out that although the furnished information is attested, there is no date alongside the signatures.

3. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI, Avtar Singh was asked to affix the date on each page where the documents have been attested. The complainant pointed out that judicial courts refuse to accept documents procured through the RTI owing to improper attestation or certification.

4. In view of this, the respondent PIO in this instance, is directed to personally sign the covering letter accompanying the information furnished today. This must then be dispatched to the complainant's address by Speed Post or Registered Post. A copy of the postal receipt be sent to this Commission before the next hearing.

5. **Next hearing on 30.4.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

4.3.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Jatinder Singh,
s/o Late Amrik Singh,
Village Jhande, PO Threeke,
Tehsil & District Ludhiana – 142 021

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

Complaint Case No. 45 of 2020

PRESENT:

Jatinder Singh (Complainant) 99152-56509

Avtar Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 94179-58706

ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Jatinder Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **8.11.19** and sought Information **regarding department enquiry against ASI Jasbir Singh, ASI Paramjit Singh etc. in regard to order no. 2015-18/PA dated: 22.3.2019** from the PIO o/o **Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **6.1.2020**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **4.3.2020**.
2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Avtar Singh from the office of Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana has handed over the requested information to the appelland. However, the appelland pointed out that although the furnished information is attested, there is no date alongside the signatures.
3. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI, Avtar Singh was asked to affix the date on each page where the documents have been attested. The complainant pointed out that judicial courts refuse to accept documents procured through the RTI owing to improper attestation or certification.
4. In view of this, the respondent PIO in this instance, is directed to personally sign the covering letter accompanying the information furnished today. This must then be dispatched to the complainant's address by Speed Post or Registered Post. A copy of the postal receipt be sent to this Commission before the next hearing.
5. **Next hearing on 30.4.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner
Chandigarh
4.3.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Gaurav Gupta,

377, Aggar Nagar, Block A,
Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Police Commissioner,
Mini Secretariat, Ludhiana.

Complaint Case No. 56 of 2020

PRESENT:

Gaurav Gupta (Complainant) 98149-18377

Avtar Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 94179-58706

ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Gaurav Gupta**, filed this RTI application dated **26.11.19** and sought information **regarding complaint No. 1678439 against Dev Builders and colonizers private limited and it's director**, from the PIO o/o **Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **10.1.2020**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **4.3.2020**.
2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Avtar Singh, has submitted a reply wherein it has been stated that the complaint filed by the complainant is still under investigation with the ACP South. The respondents have meanwhile, handed over an attested copy of the original complaint that was requested by the complainant.
3. This Commission directs the respondent PIO to submit latest status report pertaining to the complaint filed by the complainant and explain how disclosure of the requested information will hamper the investigation. If required, the respondent PIO can bring the original file pertaining to this information, to the next hearing and apprise the Commission of the exact status.
4. **Next hearing on 30.4.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh
4.3.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Tarlochan Singh,
s/o Mohinder Singh,
c/o Lamba Building Material Store,
Chowk Baba Than Singh-ji,
Samrala Road,
Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Assistant Town Planner, Zone-A,
Municipal Corporation,
Ludhiana.

Complaint Case No. 673 of 2019

PRESENT:

Tarlochan Singh (Complainant) 99881-21515

Harvinder Singh, PIO-Cum-ATP (Respondent) 98148-47495

Kuljeet Singh, Clerk (for the Respondent) 94176-16911

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier order on 4.10.19, 22.11.19, and 15.1.2020)

1. The complainant, **Tarlochan Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **5.9.17**, and sought **information by way of a map of Property No. 2083 B, XI Ambedkar Nagar, Ludhiana**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **1.8.19**. The case was last heard on **15.1.2020**.
2. Both parties are present. At the last hearing on 15.1.2020, this Commission had imposed a penalty of Rs. 5,000.00 on PIO-Cum-Assistant Town Planner, Zone-A, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana Harvinder Singh Honey. Harvinder Singh Honey has appeared at this hearing and contended that he is the PIO in-charge of the Building Branch and the present complaint case pertains to the PIO in-charge of the Drawing Branch of the Municipal Corporation Ludhiana. He has shown the relevant orders, whereby Head Draftsman Satinder Kumar was designated as the PIO of the Drawing Branch.
3. This Commission directs that compliance of its Order imposing penalty be held in abeyance. In the meantime, the PIO, Building Branch Harvinder Singh Honey is directed to submit duly attested copies of his own designation as PIO, Building Branch as well as that of Satinder Kumar to designate him PIO of the Drawing Branch.
4. Harvinder Singh Honey has also assured this Commission that he will personally make efforts to furnish the information requested by the complainant within the next 10 days. He is directed to do so and apprise this Commission before the next hearing.

Contd. ...2

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Complaint Case No. 673 of 2019

5. This commission will take a final decision on the question of penalty and disposal of this case at the next hearing.

6. Next hearing on 30.4.2020 at 11.00 am.

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh
4.3.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Baljeet Kaur,

212, Sector 124, Sunny Enclave,

Kharar, District Moahli.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate Khamano,

District Fatehgarh Sahib.

Complaint Case No. 828 of 2019

PRESENT:

Baljeet Kaur (Complainant) 99882-10063

Jarnail Singh, Ahlmad (for the Respondent) 94630-51983

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier order on 13.11.19 and 15.1.2020)

1. The complainant, Baljeet Kaur, filed this RTI application dated **6.7.19** and sought **information pertaining to details of land acquired by the government and the compensation paid thereon**, from the PIO o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Fatehgarh Sahib. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **16.9.19**. The case was last heard on **15.1.2020**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO-cum-SDM Khamano, Paramjit Singh Gill was issued a Show Cause Notice and offered an opportunity for a personal hearing today. He however, failed to avail that opportunity and has instead deputed Jarnail Singh, *Ahlmad* who is carrying a set of unattested documents, which do not address the information requested by the complainant in her RTI application of 6.7.19.

3. This Commission directs the respondent PIO-cum-SDM Khamano to furnish the information specifically requested in the RTI application dated 6.7.2019 in the following 3 points:

- i. How much of the complainant's 400 square yards plot has been acquired by the NHAI?*
- ii. How much area is left over with her?*
- iii. What is the status of the complainant, Baljeet Kaur's share of the Compensation from the NHAI.*

4. The respondent PIO-cum-SDM, Khamano, Paramjit Singh Gill is directed to supply the aforesaid information supported by duly attested documents, failing which, this Commission will be compelled to initiate strictest penal provisions as per the RTI Act 2005.

5. This Commission takes a serious view of the respondent PIO's apparent bid to deny the complainant information she has sought under the RTI Act.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Complaint Case No. 828 of 2019

6. A copy of this order be marked to Deputy Commissioner, Fatehgarh Sahib for information and necessary action.

7. Next hearing on 24.4.2020 at 11.00 am.

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh
4.3.2020

CC: Deputy Commissioner, Fatehgarh Sahib, for information and necessary action.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Vinod Gupta,

88, Chandigarh Road,

Samrala Chowk, District Ludhiana – 141 008

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Complaint Case No. 832 of 2019

PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent

(Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier order on 13.11.19 and 15.1.2020)

1. The complainant, Vinod Gupta, filed this RTI application dated **22.2.19** and sought **Information pertaining to Complaint No. 1445810 dated 16.10.18 to the Commissioner of Police at Ludhiana**, from the PIO o/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana . When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **17.9.19**. The case was last heard on **15.1.2020**.
2. Both parties are absent without intimation. The complainant had, in a letter dated 17.2.2020, informed this Commission that he has not been able to search out a copy of his original RTI application which was missing from the complaint filled with this Commission on 17.9.19.
3. Despite efforts, the complainant has no evidence to show that he ever submitted an RTI application to the PIO, office of Commissioner Police Ludhiana. Under the circumstances, this Commission is left no option but to **REJECT** this complaint case.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

4.3.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Gurjit Kaur,

w/o Daljit Singh,

Ward No. 2, Bugga Road,

Amloh, Tehsil Amloh,

District Fatehgarh Sahib

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council Amloh,

District Fatehgarh Sahib.

Complaint Case No. 836 of 2019

PRESENT:

Gurjit Kaur (Complainant) 78889-74034

Amandeep Singh, PIO-Cum-E.O (Respondent) 94636-69066

Amarjeet Singh, Junior Assistant (for the Respondent) 96462-89966

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier order on 13.11.19 and 15.1.2020)

1. The complainant, Gurjit Kaur, filed this RTI application dated **1.8.19** and sought information from the PIO o/o Executive Officer, M.C., Fatehgarh Sahib **pertaining to her own property by way of property tax receipts etc..** When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **19.9.19**. The case was last heard on **15.1.2020**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO-cum-EO Amloh, Amandeep Singh, has brought the requested information, but it is partial information and not to the satisfaction of the complainant.

3. The respondent PIO, is directed to furnish duly attested copies of all the record regarding Property No. B0060078100 pertaining to Gurjit Kaur, Ajmer Kaur, and any other individual who have deposited property tax till date. The respondent PIO is also directed to examine his record as to whether any of the beneficiaries mentioned in the Late Ajmer Kaur's Will, has applied for an NOC to sell the aforesaid property.

4. **Next hearing on 24.4.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

4.3.2020