



Sh. Jaswinder Singh, (9814673902)

S/o Sh. Raghuvir Singh,
R/o Kothi No 315, Phase-1, Mohali.
.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

.....Respondent
O/o Director of Public Instruction (SE), Punjab
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director of Public Instruction (SE), Punjab
Mohali.

Appeal Case No.978 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 05-08-2020
PIO replied on : -
First appeal filed on : 14-09-2020
First Appellate Authority order : 04-11-2020

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jaswinder Singh
Respondent: Sh. Gurpreet Singh (Sr. Asst.) 9592557500

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 16.02.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. Appellant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh stated that no information has been supplied so far.
3. The respondents Sh. Gurpreet Singh have cogently and persuasively argued that the information as requested by the appellant, for the reasons stated by the respondents, comes within the ambit of the exemptions under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act. The RTI Act has very thoughtfully restricted access to information in such matters.

Section 8(1)(j) of RTI Act, 2005 states as

“Notwithstanding anything contained in this act , there shall be no obligation to give any citizen information which relates to personal information the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest , or which would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual unless the Central Public Information officer or the State Public Information Officer or the appellate authority , as the case may be , is satisfied that the larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information.”

Appeal Case No.978 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

4. The PIO in his reply had claimed exemption u/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act . The Commission agrees with the contention of the PIO that such information is purely in the nature of personal information and no larger public interest has been shown. As regards to the point no. 3 of RTI application the respondent PIO is directed to supply the information duly attested within 10 days of receipt of this order with a copy to the Commission.

The appeal case is disposed of with above observations and directions.

Chandigarh
Dated: 31.05.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Jaswinder Singh, (9814673902)

S/o Sh. Raghuvir Singh,
R/o Kothi No 315, Phase-1, Mohali.
.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

.....Respondent
O/o Director of Public Instruction (SE), Punjab
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director of Public Instruction (SE), Punjab
Mohali.

Appeal Case No.979 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	06-08-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	14-09-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	04-11-2020

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jaswinder Singh
Respondent: Sh. Gurpreet Singh (Sr. Asst.) 9592557500

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 16.02.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. Appellant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh stated that no information has been supplied so far.
3. The respondents Sh. Gurpreet Singh have cogently and persuasively argued that the information as requested by the appellant, for the reasons stated by the respondents, comes within the ambit of the exemptions under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act. The RTI Act has very thoughtfully restricted access to information in such matters.

Section 8(1)(j) of RTI Act, 2005 states as

“Notwithstanding anything contained in this act , there shall be no obligation to give any citizen information which relates to personal information the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest , or which would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual unless the Central Public Information officer or the State Public Information Officer or the appellate authority , as the case may be , is satisfied that the larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information.”

Appeal Case No.979 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

4. The disclosure of such information is not in the public interest as the appellant has asked for the information for promotion of his personal interest. Therefore, the PIO is justified in denying the information sought, u/s 8(1)(j) of the Act.

The appeal case is disposed of with above observations.

Chandigarh
Dated: 31.05.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.