

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh. Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh.HarbasLal,  
H No-B-3/287, Romana Street,  
Jaito, Distt.Faridkot.

... Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o EO, MC,  
Jaito, District Faridkot..

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 634 of 2020**

**PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Complainant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through RTI application dated 03.06.2020 has sought information regarding action taken against Ramesh Kumar, Prem Kumar, Davinder Kumar & others after receipt of vigilance enquiry report in vigilance case No.29/18 relating to misplacement of record and enquiry report on DDLG letter No.3714 dated 01.08.2019 – copies of enquiry report on the complaints received by the officers against clerk Davinder Kumar in Jan.,Feb, March, May & June – copies of receipt books No.1082(55 to 60), 142(20-30), 144(50-60) etc. and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of EO-MC Jaito, District Faridkot. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 09.09.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The appellant claims that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent is absent nor has communicated any legitimate reasons for the absence.

The RTI application of the complainant is not legible. The appellant is directed to send a legible typed copy of RTI application for me to pursue this case further

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **21.09.2021 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated :31.05.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh.HarbasLal,  
H No-B-3/287, Romana Street,  
Jaito, Distt.Faridkot.

... Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o EO, MC,  
Jaito, District Faridkot

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 635 of 2020**

**PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Complainant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through RTI application dated 03.06.2020 has sought information on 14 points regarding Ajay Singh, clerk-resolution No.383-13.02.2018- Davinder Kumar, Ramesh Kumar relating to wrong statement - and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of EO-MC Jaito, District Faridkot. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 09.09.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The complainant claims that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent is absent nor has communicated any legitimate reasons for the absence.

The RTI application of the complainant is not legible. The appellant is directed to send a legible typed copy of RTI application for me to pursue this case further.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **21.09.2021 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot.

Chandigarh  
Dated :31.05.2021

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. LajpatRai, S/o ShHarbasLal,  
H No-B-3/287, Romana Street,  
Jaito, DisttFaridkot.

... Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o EO, MC,  
Jaito, District Faridkot

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 636 of 2020**

**PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Complainant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through RTI application dated 27.07.2020 has sought information on 10 points regarding Ramesh Kumar, Prem Kumar, Kaushal – copy of letter No.7/20 – letter No.26/95 dated 26.10.2018 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of EO-MC Jaito, District Faridkot. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 09.09.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The complainant claims that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent is absent nor has communicated any legitimate reasons for the absence.

The RTI application of the complainant is not legible. The appellant is directed to send a legible typed copy of RTI application for me to pursue this case further.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **21.09.2021 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot.

Chandigarh  
Dated :31.05.2021

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh.HarbasLal,  
H No-B-3/287, Romana Street,  
Jaito, Distt.Faridkot.

... Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o EO, MC,  
Jaito, District Faridkot

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 637 of 2020**

**PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Complainant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through RTI application dated 27.07.2020 has sought information on 16 points regarding transfer of property in register 1979-80, 1985 wherein the property transferred on 21.03.2002 in the name of Surinder Kumar, Sunderpal, Mahinderpal and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of EO-MC Jaito, District Faridkot. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 09.09.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The complainant claims that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent is absent nor has communicated any legitimate reasons for the absence.

The RTI application of the complainant is not legible. The appellant is directed to send a legible typed copy of RTI application for me to pursue this case further.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **21.09.2021 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot.

Chandigarh  
Dated :31.05.2021

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh.HarbasLal,  
H No-B-3/287, Romana Street,  
Jaito, Distt.Faridkot.

... Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o EO, MC,  
Jaito, District Faridkot.

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 638 of 2020**

**PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Complainant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through RTI application dated 27.07.2020 has sought information on 7 points regarding letter No.550 dated 16.04.2004 alongwith map, reply, quotations, EO approval and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of EO-MC Jaito, District Faridkot. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 09.09.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The complainant claims that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent is absent nor has communicated any legitimate reasons for the absence.

The RTI application of the complainant is not legible. The appellant is directed to send a legible typed copy of RTI application for me to pursue this case further.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **21.09.2021 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot. .

Chandigarh  
Dated :31.05.2021

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh.Harbas Lal,  
H No-B-3/287, Romana Street,  
Jaito, Distt.Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SSP,  
Faridkot.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o SSP,  
Faridkot.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2563 of 2020**

**PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Complainant**  
**Sh.Ramesh Kumar, ASI-Incharge RTI Branch O/o SSP Faridkot for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 14.12.2019 has sought information on 05 points regarding date of joining of ASI Daljit Singh and place of different postings along with service book – action taken report on the complaints filed before IG Ferozepur against ASI Daljit Singh and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of SSP Faridkot. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 14.02.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The respondent present pleaded that the information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 19.03.2020 and 12.02.2021.

As per appellant, the information is incomplete. Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the following is concluded:

Point-1	As per respondent, the information has been provided	As per appellant, the posting/duty record of the ASI not provided	PIO to provide posting/duty record of the ASI. Service record not to be provided
Point-2	As per respondent, available information has been provided	As per appellant, enquiry report received. Copies of application not provided	PIO to provide copies of applications
Point-3 & 4	As per respondent enquiry is pending and information cannot be provided	-	Merely stating that the enquiry is pending is not the correct way to deny the information. The PIO is directed to justify the usage of exemptions in section 8 and give it in writing that why disclosure of information will hamper the investigation. process and pass a speaking order.

**Appeal Case No. 2563 of 2020**

Point-5	As per respondent, the information has been provided	As per appellant, the information is incomplete	PIO to sort out the discrepancies as per the RTI application.
---------	------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------

To come up for further hearing on **21.09.2021 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated :31.05.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh.Harbans Lal,  
H No-B-3/287, Romana Street,  
Jaito, Distt.Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SSP,  
Faridkot.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o SSP,  
Faridkot.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2564 of 2020**

**PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Complainant**  
**Sh.Ramesh Kumar, ASI-Incharge RTI Branch O/o SSP Faridkot for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 26.05.2020 has sought information regarding case No.80 dated 30.05.2019 police station Jaito relating to cross case – enquiry report, statement of witnesses –CDs and other documents – status report on the action taken tillk 27.05.2020 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of SSP Faridkot. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 30.05.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The respondent present pleaded that the information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 08.07.2020 and 12.02.2021.

As per appellant, the information is incomplete. Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the following is concluded:

Point-1 & 2	As per respondent enquiry is pending and information cannot be provided	-	Merely stating that the enquiry is pending is not the correct way to deny the information. The PIO is directed to justify the usage of exemptions in section 8 and give it in writing that why disclosure of information will hamper the investigation. process and pass a speaking order.
Point-3	As per respondent, the information has been provided	The appellant has not received the information	PIO to provide information
Point-4	As per the respondent, the information has been provided	As per respondent the information is incomplete	PIO to sort out the discrepancies as per the RTI application and provide complete information.

To come up for further hearing on **21.09.2021 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated :31.05.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**





Sh. Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh.HarbasLal,  
H No-B-3/287, Romana Street,  
Jaito, Distt.Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SSP,  
Faridkot.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o SSP,  
Faridkot.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2565 of 2020**

**PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Complainant**  
**Sh.Ramesh Kumar, ASI-Incharge RTI Branch O/o SSP Faridkot for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 25.05.2018 has sought information regarding complaint No.301-PC-9/18 dated 04/18 – complaint No.1796/1797-PC-9/17 dt.22.12.2017 – case no.29/2018 PS Jaito as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of SSP Faridkot.. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 31.07.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The respondent present pleaded that the information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 12.02.2021.

As per appellant, the information is incomplete. Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the following is concluded:

Point-1	As per respondent the record is misplaced and not traceable	-	The PIO is directed to trace out the record and provide the information to the appellant. If the record is not traced, to conduct an enquiry by constituting a Committee and submit complete enquiry report which establishes that the record is misplaced/destroyed and responsibility has been fixed for the person under whose custody the record found missing.
Point-2	As per respondent, the information has been provided	The appellant has received the information	Information provided

Point-3	As per respondent enquiry is pending and information cannot be provided	-	Merely stating that the enquiry is pending is not the correct way to deny the information. The PIO is directed to justify the usage of exemptions in section 8 and give it in writing that why disclosure of information will hamper the investigation. process and pass a speaking order.
---------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------	---	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

To come up for further hearing on **21.09.2021 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated :31.05.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh.Harbas Lal,  
H No-B-3/287, Romana Street,  
Jaito, Distt.Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SSP,  
Faridkot.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o SSP,  
Faridkot.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2567 of 2020**

**PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Complainant**  
**Sh.Ramesh Kumar, ASI-Incharge RTI Branch O/o SSP Faridkot for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 27.09.2019 has sought information regarding action taken report on the complaints of RTI Activists Association filed against employees of MC Jaito on 04.06.2019 – enquiry report in case No.80/2019 –Sunita Devi – witness statement dated 20.06.2019 as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of SSP Faridkot. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 31.10.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The respondent present pleaded that the information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 12.02.2021.

As per appellant, the information is incomplete. Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the following is concluded:

Point-1	As per respondent, the information has been provided	As per appellant, the information is incomplete since the PIO has not provided the copies of applications	The PIO is directed to sort out the discrepancies
Point-2	As per respondent enquiry is pending and information cannot be provided	As per appellant, the enquiry has been completed but the PIO is not providing the information	Merely stating that the enquiry is pending is not the correct way to deny the information. The PIO is directed to justify the usage of exemptions in section 8 and give it in writing that why disclosure of information will hamper the investigation process and pass a speaking order.

Point-3	As per respondent, the information has been provided	As per appellant, the information is incomplete	The PIO is directed to sort out the discrepancies
Point-4 & 5	As per respondent, the information(55 pages) has been provided		Provided

To come up for further hearing on **21.09.2021 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated :31.05.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**