



Sh. Rajinder Kumar, S/o. Sh. Mehar Chand,
Ward NO-2, Supreme Enclave,
Near Vishvkarma Bhawan,
Link Road, Mansa.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Joint Deputy Director,
Local Govt, Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Regional Deputy Director,
Local Govt, Bathinda.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3218 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Rajinder Kumar as the Appellant
Sh.Ranjit O/o Joint Dy Director Local Govt. Bathinda for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 03.07.2020 has sought information regarding copy of letter dated 25.02.2020 written by Joint Dy Director Local Govt to NC Mansa relating to M.A Colonizer Sh.Surinder Kumar for filing FIR – enquiry report of Jt Dy Director along with related file and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Joint Deputy Director Local Govt. Bathinda.. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 30.07.2020 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First appellate Authority on 04.08.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mansa. As per appellant, the PIO has not provided the information on point-2.

The respondent has brought the information on point-2 and handed over to the appellant. The appellant has received the information.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated:31.03.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bholapur Jhabewal,
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC,
Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Moga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3259 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Pardeep Kumar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 20.07.2020 has sought information regarding details of cases received under Food Safety Act from Jan.2019 to Dec.2019 – penalty imposed along with amount of penalty – cases filed by Food Safety or Health department from Dec.2019 till date and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of DC Moga. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First appellate Authority on 22.08.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Moga. As per respondent, the information has been provided.

The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that he has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:31.03.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Kaushal Kumar S/o Late Sh.Gurdeep Singh,
R/o House No.1030, Ward No.16,
Mohalla Khehra, Moga.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
Drug Branch, O/o Civil Surgeon,
Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon.
Moga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3884 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Kaushal Kumar as the Appellant
Ms.Sonia Gupta, Drug Inspector for Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 17.08.2020 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint filed on 14.07.2020 against Inderpal Singh and Harmandeep Singh of Gill Medical Hall and CCTV recording of that medical hall and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Drug Branch, Civil Surgeon, Moga. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 17.09.2020 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First appellate Authority on 23.09.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Moga. The respondent present pleaded that an inspection was conducted on 28.07.2020 based on the complaint received from Sh.Kaushal Kumar and since some contraventions under the provisions of Drugs & Cosmetics Act was detected during inspection, the enquiry report was sent to Zonal Licensing Authority Ferozepur for further action and the reply was sent to the appellant on 17.09.2020.

The appellant claims that the PIO has not provided the CCTV footage of the camera installed at the above mentioned medical store.

As per the respondent, the CCTV footage is in the private space of the medical store, and is not in the public premises, hence it cannot be provided.

I agree with the PIO's plea that the CCTV footage of a private enterprise does not qualify as information.

As for the other information, it stands provided.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated:31.03.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner