Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Gagandeep Singh, H NO-208, Arian Enclave, Main Sua Road, Threeke, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,O/o Block Primary Education Officer,
Ludhiana-2.

First Appellate Authority,O/o District Primary Education Officer,
Elementary, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

AppealCase No. 3247 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant

Smt.Bhupinder Kaur, BPEO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 24.07.2020 has sought information regarding copy of minutes register of SMC Committee of Govt. Primary School Harike Ludhiana from 25.05.2018 – details of bank account alongwith pass books from 01.03.2018 – copy of cheque books – details of staff – details of students class-wise and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Block Primary Education Officer, Ludhiana-2. The appellant was not provided the information since the appellant did not deposit requisite fee(Dak charges) asked by the PIO vide letter dated 26.08.2020 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First appellate Authority on 28.08.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per respondent, the appellant was asked to deposit requisite dak charges of Rs.44/- which the appellant did not deposit.

The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that the PIO has not provided the information.

Having gone through the record, the Commission has observed that since the PIO has not raised the requisite fee for providing information but has only raised postal charges after a period of 30 days, the PIO is directed to provide point-wise information to the appellant free of cost. The information will be provided within 15 days with a copy to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**. However, the Commission makes it clear that if the information is not provided, the appellant is free to come to commission again.

Chandigarh Dated:30.03.2021

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Amarjit Singh, Whistle Blower, NCAG, H NO-60-35-P-330, Street No-8, Maha Singh Nagar, P.O Dhandari Kalan, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Addl, Chief Secretary,-Local Bodies, Sector-35-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Addl, Chief Secretary, Local Bodies, Sector-35-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal case No.3277 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant

Sh.Rajiv Saggar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 25.07.2020 has sought information regarding details of draftsman, head draftsman, ATP, Building inspector appointed after 1998 till date along with their names, assets and pay scale and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Additional Chief Secretary, Local Bodies, Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First appellate Authority on 25.08.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the information relates to the Municipal Service Cell Branch of Local Govt.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that in appeal cases No.217 to 220 of 2017, which were decided by the bench of SIC Sh.Yashvir Mahajan on 05.04.2017, the following had been observed -

'That the appellant's act running not with filing repeated applications seeking inane and voluminous information has become counterproductive of public interest, and it has been held that the appellant is misusing the RTI Act with unsavory motives, accordingly, public authorities i.e. O/o MC Ludhiana has been allowed to ignore his applications in future', hence the appellant is violating the RTI Act, and as per order of the Commission, no information can be provided to the appellant.'

In view of the above, I am referring this case to the Chief Information Commissioner for taking an appropriate decision.

Chandigarh Dated:30.03.2021

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Amarjit Singh, Whistle Blower, NCAG, H NO-60-35-P-330, Street No-8, Maha Singh Nagar, P.O Dhandari Kalan, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Addl, Chief Secretary, Local Bodies, Sector-35-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Addl, Chief Secretary, Local Bodies, Sector-35-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal case No.3278 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant

Sh.Rajiv Saggar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 25.07.2020 has sought information regarding details of complaints received for illegal building and illegal colonies relating to MC Ludhiana building branch from 10.01.2019 till date and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Additional Chief Secretary, Local Bodies, Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First appellate Authority on 25.08.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the information relates to MC Office Ludhiana.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that in appeal cases No.217 to 220 of 2017, which were decided by the bench of SIC Sh.Yashvir Mahajan on 05.04.2017, the following had been observed -

'That the appellant's act running not with filing repeated applications seeking inane and voluminous information has become counterproductive of public interest, and it has been held that the appellant is misusing the RTI Act with unsavory motives, accordingly, public authorities i.e. O/o MC Ludhiana has been allowed to ignore his applications in future', hence the appellant is violating the RTI Act, and as per order of the Commission, no information can be provided to the appellant.'

In view of the above, I am referring this case to the Chief Information Commissioner for taking an appropriate decision.

Sd/(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh Dated:30.03.2021

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, S/o Sh Kuldeep Raj Mahajan, Opposite Water Tank, Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

... Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o XEN, Water Supply and Sanitation Division, Khanna, Distt Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o SE, Water Supply and Sanitation Circle, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal case No.3472 of 2020

Versus

PRESENT: None for the Appellant

Sh.Darshan Singh, SDO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 01.08.2020 has sought information regarding grants received/utilized from 01.08.2019 to 01.08.2020 in the division – comparative statements approved by competent authority for works by E-tendering – comparative statements for works by tender and sanction letter issued for works of CSR to all SDE and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Xen Water Supply and Sanitation Division, Khanna. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First appellate Authority on 10.09.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that he has not received the information.

The respondent present pleaded that the information (101 pages) has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 24.03.2021 and a copy of the same is being sent to the Commission through email. The commission has received a copy of the information through email.

Having gone through the RTI application and the information that has been sent to the appellant, I see that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied and the information has been supplied to the best possible extent.

No further course of action is required. The case is disposed off and closed.

Chandigarh Dated:30.03.2021

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, S/o Sh Kuldeep Raj Mahajan, Opposite Water Tank, Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o XEN.

Water Supply and Sanitation Division, Khanna, Distt Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o SE.

Water Supply and Sanitation Circle, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal case No.3487 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant

Sh.Darshan Singh, SDO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 01.08.2020 has sought information regarding grants received/utilised from 01.08.2019 to 01.08.2020 in the Sub Division Samrala. Sub Divission No.1,2&3 Khanna – work order books in all division and comparative statements approved by competent authority for works by E-tendering and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Xen Water Supply and Sanitation Division, Khanna. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First appellate Authority on 10.09.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that he has not received the information.

The respondent present pleaded that the information (75 pages) has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 24.03.2021 and a copy of the same is being sent to the Commission through email. The commission has received a copy of the information through email.

Having gone through the RTI application and the information that has been sent to the appellant, I see that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied and the information has been supplied to the best possible extent.

No further course of action is required. The case is disposed off and closed.

Chandigarh Dated:30.03.2021