



Sh. Ravi Kant, (9646500396)

S/o Sh. Sansari Lal, R/o Krishan Bazaar,
Ward No 4, Dhariwal,
District Gurdaspur.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o EO, Nagar Council, Dhariwal,
District Gurdaspur.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Director,
Local Govt, Amritsar.

Appeal Case No. 131 of 2021

Present: Appellant: Sh. Ravi Kant
Respondent: Sh. Baljit Singh (Supt.), 6283185500

ORDER:

RTI application filed on	:	14/08/2020
PIO replied on	:	12/11/2018
First appeal filed on	:	02/09/2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	11/09/2020
Second Appeal dated	:	24/12/2020

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.02.2021.
2. From a perusal of the relevant case records, it is noted that the appellant Sh. Ravi Kant is aggrieved with the fact that the desired information has not been supplied to him so far. On other hand the Commission observed that despite of giving another opportunity to Respondent authority to furnish the sought information to the appellant, Sh. Baljit Singh **appeared clueless in respect of the nuances of the RTI Act and again appeared without proper explanation for non compliance of the orders of the Commission.**
3. In view of the above observations, the PIO is directed to provide information/reply to the appellant on all of the points raised in the RTI application before the next date of hearing without fail.
4. To come up on **12.05.2021** through personal hearing at PSIC Chandigarh, **11:30 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 30.03.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Harinder Singh Sidhu, (9815355655)

S/o Late Sh. Jagdev Singh,
R/o 127, Sector 23 A, Chandigarh.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Registrar, Cooperative Societies, PB,
Sector-17, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Registrar, Cooperative Societies, PB,
Sector-17, Chandigarh

Appeal Case No. 3719 and 3720 of 2020

Present: Appellant: Sh. Bhageshwar Sharma (Clerk) on behalf of Appellant
Respondent: Ms. Sandhya Sharma (APIO), 9855376282

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 01.03.2021.
2. Respondent PIO, Ms. Sandhya Sharma pleaded that the sought information has been supplied to the appellant (Comprising 34 pages). A copy of the same is received in the Commission dated 26.03.2021 vide diary no. 7142.
3. Appellant's representative Sh. Bhageshwar Sharma made a written submission on behalf of appellant's counsel appearing in this case, vide which **he has informed the commission of his inability to attend this hearing and has requested for an adjournment . In addition to this it is written that sought information has not been supplied to him.**
4. With regard to the prayer of the appellant for adjournment, the Commission grants another opportunity to both the parties to represent this case on next date of hearing. Moreover, the appellant is advised/ordered to point out to **point out discrepancies** (in written form) in the information so supplied by the respondent, within 10 days from the issue of this order under intimation to the commission. The respondent shall make good the deficiencies, if the same be pointed out by the appellant.
5. To come up on **12.05.2021** through personal hearing at PSIC Chandigarh, **11:30 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 30.03.2021

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Sanjeev Thakur, (Press Reporter), (8968084100)

VPO Madhopur Cant-145024.

Dist. Pathankot.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Centre Works Division No 2,

PWD B&R, Amritsar.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o SE, Construction Circle,

PWD B&R, Amritsar.

Appeal Case No. 3864 of 2020

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Inderjit Singh (On Telephone Call), 9876176858

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 01.03.2021.

2. Telephonically, Respondent Sh. Inderjit Singh stated that he had never received any correspondence from the Commission (i.e. notice & order copy). He **made a fervent plea** for adjournment.

3. In the view of above the Commission accepts the plea taken by the respondent and an opportunity to provide the requisite information to the appellant. The Respondent PIO is directed to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the concerned respondent PIO.

1. **The argument is however, not acceptable, since the Commission"s Notice/ Order was sent to all parties by post.**

2. To come up on **12.05.2021** through personal hearing at PSIC Chandigarh, **11:30 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 30.03.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Jatinder Kumar, (9872324111)

C/o Sachkhand Mishthan Bhandhar,
Nehru Gate, Nawanshahr.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o EO, Nagar Council,
Nawanshahr.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o cum Regional Deputy Director,
Local Govt, Jalandhar.

Appeal Case No. 276, 277, 278, 279, and 280 of 2021

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jatinder Kumar
Respondent: Sh. Ramparkash (EO), 9417479460

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 02.03.2021.
2. Appellant, Sh. Jatinder Kumar stated that with the Compliance of the previous order he pointed out the deficiencies in the information so supplied by the respondent authority and visited the respondent's office for record inspection (dated 09.03.2021, 12.03.2021 and 15.03.2021) but no deficiencies has been removed so far.
3. Respondent Sh. Ramparkash pleaded that the sought information is not vague and he asked the appellant to ask specifically.
4. **The Commission is of the view that the PIO is legally obliged to give the appellant the sought for information after collecting the same from the concerned department, instead of merely forwarding it to the concerned officer.** The respondent is directed to collect information from all concerned department and furnish a complete reply to the appellant, within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order. On other hand the appellant may inspect the documents at a mutually decided date and time.

In case the partial information is not available in the office record then an undertaking/affidavit may be filled by the respondent PIO in this regard to the appellant with a copy to the Commission without fail.

5. To come up on **12.05.2021** through personal hearing at PSIC Chandigarh, **11:30 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 30.03.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner