**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Tele No. 0172-2864115, FAX No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Tejinder Singh (90410-04313),**

Journalist Daily Punjab Times,

R/o Village Bholapur, PO Ramgarh,

Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana. Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Tehsildar East,

Ludhiana. Respondent

**Complaint Case 1689 of 2016**

**Date of Institution: 30.09.2016**

**Date of Decision: 30.10.2017**

**Present:** Sh. Tejinder Singh on the behalf of the complainant.

For the respondent: Sh. Jaspreet Singh, Clerk.

**ORDER**

1. Complainant, Sh. Tejinder Singh states that he has visited the respondent’s office and inspected the official record. He also mentioned that he has received the requisite information and requested to close this case.
2. Respondent, Sh. Jaspreet Singh is present for today’s hearing.
3. As the information stands supplied and on the request of the applicant, no cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 30.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma,**

Kothi No. 585, Phase 2,

SAS Nagar (94639-50619) Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO**

O/o Chief Flying Instructor,

Patiala Aviation Club

Civil Aerodrome, Patiala. Respondent

**COMPLAINT CASE NO. 636 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh Pawan Kumar Sharma the complainant in person.

For the respondent: Advocate Lakhbir Singh.

**ORDER**

1. Representative of the respondent, Advocate Lakhbir Singh states that respondent PIO Sh. J.S. Maan is unable to attend today’s hearing due to personal problem. He submits:
* Copy of letter no. Ref. No. PAC 51/13 dated 27.04.2013 signed by Sh. APS Virk, IAS Manager stating that he is nominating Capt. Malkeet Singh, Chief Flying Instructor Patiala Aviation Club, Patiala as Accountable Manager of the Organisation.
* Copy of letter no. Ref. No. DDG/NR/MF/08/537 dated 13.05.2013 signed by Sh. S. Ganguly, Dy. Director of Airworthiness.

Both letters are taken on record.

1. On this, complainant, Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma shows his dissatisfaction and demanded requisite information as per his RTI application.
2. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to clear the facts of this case on the next date of hearing positively.
3. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **29.11.2017 at 11:30 AM.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 30.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Tejinder Singh,**

R/o 836, MIG, P.H.B. Colony,

Jamalpur, Ludhiana. Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO**

O/o Principal Secretary,

Local Bodies, Govt. of Punjab,

Chandigarh. Respondent

**COMPLAINT CASE NO. 650 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Tejinder Singh on the behalf of the complainant.

For the respondent: Sh. Manjeet Singh, Superintendent.

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Manjeet Singh states that RTI application has not been received in their office.
2. Complainant, Sh. Tejinder Singh is present for today’s hearing.
3. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file, it is found that respondent PIO was absent on the previous hearings held on 18.09.2017 and 04.10.2017 without any intimation to the Commission which shows no regard to the Notice and Order of the Commission. It is also found that copy of RTI application has already been sent to the respondent PIO with the Notice of the Commission through registered post.
4. Due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the complainant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting requisite information. I am of the view that compensation may be awarded to the complainant, Sh. Tejinder Singh.
5. Hence I award a compensation of Rs. 3,000/- (Three Thousand) to the complainant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in the favour of Tejinder Singh from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual’s account.
6. Respondent PIO is also directed to produce a copy of above said cheque or demand draft on the next date of hearing.
7. RTI application was handed over to the respondent by the applicant during the hearing.
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**COMPLAINT CASE NO. 650 of 2017**

1. Last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO, O/o Principal Secretary, Local Bodies, Govt. of Punjab, Chandigarh for compliance of the order of the Commission with the directions to supply the requisite information as per RTI application to the applicant by the next date of hearing. He may note that in case he/she does not file his submission on the next date of hearing, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceeding against him ex-parte.
2. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **29.11.2017 at 11:30 AM.**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 30.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Gagandeep Singh Threeke**

SCO 26, Shant Park, Main Sua Road,

Threeke (97804-71680 & 9780400680)

Ludhiana -142028. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO**

O/o BDPO, Ludhiana - 1.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o BDPO, Ludhiana - 1. Respondent

 **APPEAL CASE NO. 104 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 30.12.2016**

**Date of Decision: 30.10.2017**

**Present:** Nobody Present.

**ORDER**

1. Both the parties are consecutively absent third time without any intimation to the Commission. Both the parties were also absent on the previous hearings held on 18.09.2017 and 04.10.2017.
2. A letter no. 4304 dated 23.10.2017 has been received from the respondent BDPO, Ludhiana-I stating that the requisite information has already been supplied to the applicant and applicant is satisfied with the supplied information along with a copy of letter no. 3045 dated 11.09.2017 with the remarks by the applicant stating that information received and satisfied. The applicant further added that does not want to continue this case. It is taken on record.
3. As the information stands supplied and on the request of the applicant, no cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 30.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Jasbir Singh**

Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,

PO - Ramgarh, District Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary,

Local Government, Punjab Government,

Chandigarh.

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Engineer-in-Chief cum Chief Security Officer,

Local Government Department,

Punjab, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Principal Secretary,

Local Government, Punjab Government,

Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1593 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 16.06.2017**

**Date of Decision: 30.10.2017**

**Present:** Sh. Jasbir Singh, the appellant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Sanjay Tondon, Senior Vigilance Officer

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Sanjay Tondon states that he has brought the original official record relates with RTI application and applicant can inspect.
2. Applicant, Sh. Jasbir Singh inspected the official record and pointed out deficiencies to the respondent during the hearing.
3. On this, respondent Sh. Sanjay Tondon assures that he will provide the information after removing the deficiencies to the applicant within one week and requested to close this case.
4. After hearing both the parties and on the assurance of the respondent, no cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 30.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Parveen Kohli S/o Sh. Savdesh Kolhi**

College Road, Jagraon,

District Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Deputy Director,

Urban Local Bodies, Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Ludhiana. Respondents

 **APPEAL CASE NO. 1736 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh.Vijay Kumar, Accountant.

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Vijay Kumar is present for today’s hearing states that no deficiency has been pointed out by the applicant.
2. An email has been received form the applicant in the Commission vide diary no. 24462 dated 30.10.2017 stating that incomplete information has been received from the respondent and requested for an adjournment. It is taken on record.
3. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, last opportunity is given to the applicant, Sh. Parveen Kohli to point out the deficiency in written to the respondent under the intimation to the Commission. Applicant is also advised to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing, failing to which the case would be considered for non-pursuance by the applicant.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **20.12.2017 at 11:30 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 30.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma**

Kothi No. 585, Phase 2,

Sector 54, SAS Nagar (94639-50619) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o XEN, Water Supply and Sanitation,

Division No. 2 SAS Nagar.

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SE, Water Supply and Sanitation,

Zone - Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o CE, Department of

Water Supply and Sanitation, Patiala. Respondent

 **APPEAL CASE NO. 1780 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma, the applicant in person.

Nobody on the behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma is present for today’s hearing states deficiencies has not been removed in the supplied information by the respondent till date.
2. Respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today’s hearing. He was also absent on the previous hearing held on 04.10.2017.
3. After hearing the applicant and going through the case file, last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to remove the deficiencies in the supplied information and supply to the applicant by the next date of hearing positively, failing to which action under Section 20 (1) will be initiated against him.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **29.11.2017 at 11:30 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 30.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Yogesh Mahajan S/o L. Sh. Kuldip Mahajan**

President Anti Corruption Council.

Opposite Municipal Market,

Mission Road Pathankot (98143-54649) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o SDO, Water Supply and Sanitation

Sub Division no. 1,

Sri Muktsar Sahib

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Superintending Engineer

Water Supply and Sanitation

Circle, Sri Muktsar Sahib. Respondent

 **APPEAL CASE NO. 1805 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody present.

**ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today’s hearing.
2. Neither the Respondent PIO is present for today’s hearing nor did he file any written reply in this regard. He was also absent on the previous hearings held on 18.09.2017 and 04.10.2017.
3. After going through the case file, it is found that on the last hearing held on 04.10.2017 last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to provide the requisite information to the applicant by the next date of hearing along with directions to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively but the respondent failed to do so.
4. One more opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case. He may note that in case he/she does not file his submission on the next date of hearing, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceeding against him ex-parte.
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 1805 of 2017**

1. Applicant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan is also advised to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be considered for non-pursuance by the applicant.
2. A copy of this order to be sent to respondent PIO and to First Appellate Authority through registered post for necessary action.
3. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.11.2017 at 11:30 AM.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 30.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Yogesh Mahajan S/o L. Sh. Kuldip Mahajan**

President Anti Corruption Council.

Opposite Municipal Market,

Mission Road Pathankot (98143-54649) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO**

O/o XEN, Water Supply and Sanitation

Division no. 2, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Superintending Engineer

Water Supply and Sanitation

Circle, Sri Muktsar Sahib. Respondent

 **APPEAL CASE NO. 1807 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 06.07.2017**

**Date of Decision: 30.10.2017**

**Present:** Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.

 For the respondent: Sh. Umesh Kumar, Clerk

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Umesh Kumar states that requisite information has been supplied to the applicant through registered post dated 10.10.2017. He submits a copy of letter no. 3940 dated 10.10.2017 along with postal receipt, which is taken on record.
2. Applicant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today’s hearing.
3. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that no deficiency has been pointed out by the applicant. It is presumed that the applicant is satisfied with the supplied information and does not want to pursue this case further. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 30.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Yogesh Mahajan S/o L. Sh. Kuldip Mahajan**

President Anti Corruption Council.

Opposite Municipal Market,

Mission Road Pathankot (98143-54649) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o SDO, Water Supply and Sanitation,

Sub Division no. 3, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Superintending Engineer

Water Supply and Sanitation

Circle, Sri Muktsar Sahib. Respondent

 **APPEAL CASE NO. 1809 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody present.

**ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today’s hearing.
2. Neither the Respondent PIO is present for today’s hearing nor did he file any written reply in this regard. He was also absent on the previous hearings held on 18.09.2017 and 04.10.2017.
3. After going through the case file, it is found that on the last hearing held on 04.10.2017 last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to provide the requisite information to the applicant by the next date of hearing along with directions to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively but the respondent failed to do so.
4. One more opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case. He may note that in case he/she does not file his submission on the next date of hearing, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceeding against him ex-parte.
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 1809 of 2017**

1. Applicant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan is also advised to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be considered for non-pursuance by the applicant.
2. A copy of this order to be sent to respondent PIO and to First Appellate Authority through registered post for necessary action.
3. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.11.2017 at 11:30 AM.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 30.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**
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**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Contact No.** 0172-2864115, **Fax No.** 0172-2864125

**Sh. Prem Singh, S/o Sh. Jaspal Singh**

VPO Amarpura, Tehsil Abohar,

District Fazilka. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal,

MIMIT, Muktsar.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Principal,

MIMIT, Muktsar.

**Public Information Officer**

O/o I.K. Gujral,

Punjab Technical University, Jalandhar.  Respondent

 **APPEAL CASE NO. 1674 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent:

Sh. Mukesh Saini, Assistant Registrar

Sh. Piyush Khanna, Advocate.

Sh. Gagandeep Singh, Senior Assistant.

**ORDER**

1. Advocate, Sh. Piyush Khanna submits a copy of judgment of High Court of Delhi passed by Hon’ble Justice V.P. Vaish dated 17.03.2015 W.P. (C) 7431/2011, which is taken on record.

He also states that as per the above submitted judgment requisite information could not be provided to the applicant.

1. On this, applicant, Sh. Prem Singh states that he has not demanded the addresses or any personal details in his RTI application.
2. After discussing with both the parties and going through the case file, it is found that requisite information is not a third party information. Respondent PIO is directed to provide point wise information to the applicant except designation and addresses of the examiners/evaluatorsto the applicant by the next date of hearing along with a copy to the Commission.
3. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.11.2017 at 11:30 AM.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 30.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16-B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Tele No. 0172-2864115, FAX No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Jasbir Singh,**

Village Bholapur Jhabewal,

PO Ramgarh, (98882-96107)

Ludhiana -123455. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Sub Registrar,

Sahnewal, District Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority**,

O/o SDM (East),

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

District Ludhiana. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2883 of 2016**

**Present:** Sh. Jasbir Singh, the appellant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Amit Kumar, Clerk (9780667944).

 **ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Jasbir Singh states that he had visited the respondent’s office but no record was supplied to him for inspection as per his RTI application.
2. On this, respondent, Sh. Amit Kumar states that applicant inspected the official record.
3. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, respondent PIO is directed to bring the official record as per the RTI application along with proper written reply as per RTI application on the next date of hearing positively.
4. Respondent, Sh. Amit Kumar assures that he will bring registers relate with RTI application on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case.
5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **29.11.2017 at 11:30 AM.**
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 30.10.2017 State Information Commissioner**