

Sh. Madan Lal, S/o Shri Thakur Dass, R/o Village Nangal, Tehsil Balachaur, SBS Nagar

.....Complainant

 Public Information Officer,

 O/o Director Scheduled Castes and Backward Class, Punjab

 Sector 17, Chandigarh

 Registered post:

 Public Information Officer,

Public Information Officer, o/o District Social Justice Empowerment and Minorities Officer, SBS Nagar

.....Respondent

# CC No. 92 of 2020 Through CISCO-WEBEX

..Vs

Present (i) None is present on behalf of the complainant (ii) Sh. Rajinder chopra, Supdt., (7696974495) Sh. Rajinder Singh Sr. Assistant (8437333777)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 04.03.2020 vide which, 30.03.2020 was given next date of hearing. But on 30.03.2020 the aforementioned Complaint case was postponed and fixed for hearing on 29.06.2020, i.e. today.

2. The respondent states that the information has already been sent to the complainant. He has also sent an email stating the same.

3. The complainant has also informed on telephone that he has received the information and satisfied.

4. Since, the complainant has received the information, no cause of action is left, the complaint filed by the complainant is therefore disposed of and closed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**(Preety Chawla)** State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated: 29.06.2020

F	PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. 72-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com
	o Singh, S/o Shri Kuljit Singh, 2001, Phase -7, Mohali
110030 110. 2	
	Vs
O/o Director SAS Nagar First Appel O/o Director SAS Nagar 2. P M 3. P o	rmation Officer, <sup>•</sup> Vigilance Bureau, Punjab late Authority-cum- <sup>•</sup> Vigilance Bureau, Punjab ublic Information Officer IO, o/o Secretary, Vigilance Department, Punjab ini Sectt., Sector 9, Chandigarh ublic Information Officer <sup>/</sup> o AIG, Vigilance department, igilance Bhawan, SAS Nagar 
	AC.No. 530 of 2020 AC No. 529 of 2020 and AC: No. 527 of 2020 <u>Through Video conference</u>
Present:	(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant
FIESEIII.	(ii) For the Respondent: Sh Jaswinder Singh PIO (9463397060) Sh Avtar
	THE FOLLOP RESDONDENT: SOL LASWINDER SINPL. PTU 194033970001, SOL AVIAL

espondent: Sn. Jaswinder Singh, PIO (9463397060), Singh. Sr. Assistant (9888533048) and Rajesh Kumar, Sub Inspector (988805958)

#### ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.03.2020 vide which PIO, o/o Secretary, vigilance Department were impleaded as respondent no. 2 and he/she was directed to come present. On that day, the respondent no. 1 has filed his reply therein that the information was not provided as an enquiry is pending. He had also filed his reply which is as under:-

ליבאד אלמש לי אלע ליבט לצוטיה הוא א לע ליבאילים ביווב ב בילבין ונוזה ליאירי לר ביובי שיוד ונוזה ליאירי לר ביובי שיוד ונוזה ליאירי לר ביובי ונוזה ליאירי ליאירי ליאירי ליאירי ונוזה ליאירי ונוזה ליאירי CS



AC.No. 530 of 2020 AC No. 529 of 2020 and AC: No. 527 of 2020

2. Today, it is observed that the respondent has filed same reply dated 16.03.2020. This shows that the respondent-PIOs have no regard for the orders issued by the Commission. However, taking a lenient view, they are directed to file their replies on oath paper stating that that the information was not provided as an enquiry is pending. Last opportunity is given to both the PIOs to file their replies.

3. To come up on **18.08.2020 at 10.00 AM** through video conference facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 29.06.2020



Sh. Jasdeep Singh, S/o Shri Kuljit Singh House No. 2001, Phase 7, Mohali

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Director Vigilance Bureau, Vigilance Bhawan, Sector 68, SAS Nagar, Mohali First Appellate Authority-cum-O/o Director Vigilance Bureau, Vigilance Bhawan, Sector 68, SAS Nagar, Mohali Public Information Officer PIO, o/o Secretary, Vigilance Department, Punjab Mini Sectt., Sector 9, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

AC.No. 531 of 2020 AC.No. 532 of 2020 AC.No. 533 of 2020 AC.No. 534 of 2020 and AC.No. 535 of 2020

Present (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant (ii) For the Respondent: Sh. Jaswinder Singh, PIO (9463397060), Sh. Avtar Singh. Sr. Assistant (9888533048) and Rajesh Kumar, Sub Inspector (988805958)

#### Through Video conference

#### **ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.03.2020 vide which PIO, o/o Secretary, vigilance Department were impleaded as respondent no. 2 and he/she was directed to come present. On that day, the respondent no. 1 has filed his reply therein that the information was not provided as an enquiry is pending. He had also filed his reply which is as under:-

Append car, No. 531/2020, 532/2020, 534/2020, 53 איכבי א-שלאיי ליראב נעוד איז איזאייי איז איז איי איליניבו אווה ואנואי איילא גענב בצבתאיצו אובר tops Gues Fre dos 3 who piels . Elpt רוציה איצע היצו הצע בנצידם עבר העצוב איברו בנואר נבעה בישר משבע בני ומופרן ויישר מכשר הישליין מה משון וייעבע ב ומוצר ל הייד וייער ו אי אר הישליין מה משון וייעדיו בעיר א ייצר וייער ו אי אר ו אי אר געשין מה משון וייעדיו שבין איניר איידער וייעראב איי איידע מיי אייניר מסיפר אינדי מיידער וייעראב איידער מיי איידער איידער איידער איידער איידער היידער איידער איידער מיידער איידער Sacrabary Giloans baban work, Pe Parsas Rafer Verme 11.3. The T-1 - Q-T1 (000. CS Scanned with CamScanner Ungilanic Voursau.



AC.No. 531 of 2020 AC.No. 532 of 2020 AC.No. 533 of 2020 AC.No. 534 of 2020 and AC.No. 535 of 2020

Today, it is observed that the respondent has filed same reply dated 16.03.2020. This shows that the respondent-PIOs have no regard for the orders issued by the Commission. However, taking a lenient view, they are directed to file their replies on oath paper stating that that the information was not provided as an enquiry is pending. Last opportunity is given to both the PIOs to file their replies.

2. To come up on **18.08.2020 at 10.00 AM** through video conference facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 29.06.2020





Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma, Advocate S/o Late Sh. Bhagwant Kishore, House No. 585 , Phase 2, Mohali

Public Information Officer,

..... Complainant

Vs

O/o Sr. Medical Officer Civil Hospital, Phase-6, Mohali

.....Respondent

### CC.No. 03 of 2020

# Through CISCO-WEBEX

Present : None for the parties.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.03.2020 vide which 15.04.2020 was given next date to the parties. But on 15.04.2020 due to Lockdown, the aforementioned complaint case was postponed and fixed for hearing on 29.06.2020, through CISCO Video conference i.e, today.

2. Today, neither the complainant nor the respondent is present. One last opportunity is given to both the parties to appear before the Commission and state their case.

3. To come up on **18.08.2020 at 10.00 AM** through video conference facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 29.06.2020

Sh . Pawan Kumar Sharma, Advocate Kothi No. 585, Phase-2, Mohali



.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o General Manager, District Industrial Centre Indl. Area Phase VII, SAS Nagar, Mohali - 160055 First Appellate Authority O/o General Manager, District Industrial Centre Indl. Area Phase VII, SAS Nagar, Mohali – 160055

# Registered post: Public Information Officer

o/o Director of Industries, Udyog Bhawan, Sector 17, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

# AC No. 924 of 2020

..Vs

# Through Video conference

Present : (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant (ii) for the respondent : Sh. Gurinder Singh, Functional Manager(9888352892)

# <u>ORDER</u>

1. The RTI application is dated 28.08.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 25.11.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 27.02.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 08.04.2020 in the Commission. But on 15.04.2020 due to Lockdown, the aforementioned appeal case was postponed and fixed for hearing on 29.06.2020, through CISCO Video conference i.e, today.

3. The appellant is absent today. He has sent an email.

4. The respondent states that the information is lying with the Director of Industries. He further states that he has also sent letter to the concerned department.





# AC No. 924 of 2020

5. Since, the information is to be provided by the PIO, O/o Director of Industries. I, therefore, order that PIO, O/o Director of Industries be impleaded as Respondent No.2. I further direct that PIO, O/o Director of Industries should supply the information to the Appellant before the next date of hearing

6. To come up on **18.08.2020 at 10.00 AM** through video conference facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 29.06.2020



Dr Sat Paul Sharma, R/o Flat No.203/1, Block-2, Housefed Complex, Banur, Distt SAS Nagar

..Vs

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o MC Patiala First Appellate Authority O/o MC Patiala

.....Respondent

### AC No. 296 of 2020

### Through Video Conference

Present :

(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant (ii) for the respondent : Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, chief Sanitary Inspector (9463690904) Sh. Rishabh Gupta, APIO (8146055511)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.03.2020 vide which 16.04.2020 was given next date to the parties. But on 16.04.2020 due to Lockdown, the aforementioned complaint case was postponed and fixed for hearing on 29.06.2020, through CISCO Video conference i.e, today.

2. The Respondent states that the required information has already been given to the appellant and has shown the acknowledgment given by the appellant in token of having received the information through V.C..

3. Since, the information has been provided to the appellant, no cause of action is left, the appeal filed by the appellant is therefore **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 29.06.2020



Sh Sukhwinder Singh Kamboj, Advocate S/o Sh Mangat Singh, House No 3330, Sector 71, Mohali

.....Appellant

..Vs

**Public Information Officer,** O/o Registrar, Punjabi University, Patiala

First Appellate Authority O/o Dean Academic Affairs, Punjabi University,Patiala AC No. 1020 of 2020

.....Respondent

# (Through CISCO-WEBEX)

Present: (i)Sh Sukhwinder Singh Kamboj, Appellant.

(ii)For the Respondent: Sh Angel Sharma, Counsel for the Appellant

# <u>ORDER</u>

1 The RTI application is dated 19.10.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 08.01.2020 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 05.03.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 15.04.2020 in the Commission. However, on 15.04.2020 the abovementioned Appeal case could not be heard due to lockdown. Hence, the abovementioned case was postponed and fixed for hearing on 29.06.2020, i.e today through CISCO-WEBEX.

3. Sh Angel Sharma-Counsel for the Respondent states that the information as available in their official record has been sent to the Appellant. They have also filed their reply through e-mail which is as under:-



#### AC No. 1020 of 2020

"Regarding the above mentioned case, it is submitted that the information sought by the appellant has been supplied to him vide letter No. 2513/s-5/1172-19 RTI cell dated 12.06.2020 as per the record available with the University which also finds mention in the letter No. 2697/S-5/1172-19 RTI Cell dated 23.06.2020 addressed to the Hon'ble Commission

It is further submitted that the information was sought on points (a) to (1) out of which only information points (g) to (j) could not be provided since there is no such record available with the University. Rest of the information has been supplied."

Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. In view of the above, the Appellant is directed to point-out deficiencies in the information provided, if any, to the Respondent within 10 days of receiving the information. To come up for further proceedings on **21.07.2020 at 10:30AM through CISCO-WEBEX**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated: 29.06.2020