**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Jaspal Singh

s/o Shri Ramesh Arora,

H.No.319/3, Gurdeep Nagar,

Jagraon, Ludhiana. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Governor of Punjab, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Governor of Punjab,

Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer

o/o Principal Secretary Local Government, Punjab,

(LG-3 Branch) Municipal Bhawan,

Sector:35(Near Kissan Bhawan), Chandigarh. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1987 of 2017**

Present:- None for the appellant.

Shri Ramninder Singh, Senior Assistant, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 19.09.2017, when Shri Sanjeev Kumar, Superintendent, LG-3 Branch, appearing on behalf of the respondents, informed that the RTI application of the appellant had been sent to Chief Vigilance Officer, Local Government Department, for conducting an inquiry into the matter and send a report. Consequently, after hearing both the parties and discussing the matter, it was directed that a status report be furnished to the appellant as well as to the Commission within a week. It was also directed that a copy of the Inquiry Report be provided to the appellant on the completion of the inquiry. The respondent assured to comply with the orders of the Commission. On the assurance given by the respondent, the case was disposed of and closed.

Contd……p/2
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2. The appellant vide his letter dated 31.10.2017 informed the Commission that no information had been supplied to him. Consequently, the PIO was directed vide letter dated 13.12.2017 to comply with the orders dated 19.09.2017 of the Commission. On receiving no response from the PIO, the case has been fixed for today for further hearing .

3. Today, the appellant is not present. However, a letter dated 27.02.2018 has been received from him informing that he is not able to attend hearing due to ill health. He has further informed that no information has been supplied to him. He has requested to adjourn the case to some other date.

4. The representative of the respondents submits an affidavit from Shri Sanjeev Kumar, Superintendent-cum-PIO, Local Government(Local Government-3 Branch) vide which it has been informed that Chief Vigilance Officer, Local Government has been asked vide letters dated 22.12.2017 and 22.02.2018 to supply the requisite information to the appellant after completing the inquiry.

5. Accordingly, the PIO is directed to send a copy of the affidavit to the appellant. Besides, Shri Sudeep Singh Manak, Chief Vigilance Officer, Local Government Department is directed to file a status report in the matter before the next date of hearing.

6. To come up on **10.04.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 28.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**CC: Shri Sudeep Singh Manak, REGISTERED**

**Chief Vigilance Officer,**

**Local Government Department, Punjab,**

**Municipal Bhawan, Sector:35,**

**(Near Kissan Bhawan), Chandigarh.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Ashok Kumar Watts,

H.No.B-V-1534, St. No.1,

Lajpat Nagar, Abohar (Fazilika)-152116. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,

Department of Local Govt.

(Vigilance- 1 Branch), Municipal Bhawan,

Sector: 35-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Chief Vigilance Officer,

Department of Local Government, Punjab,

Room No.19, Ground Floor, Municipal Bhawan,

Plot No.3, Sector 35-A, Chandigarh. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1131 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Ashok Kumar Watts, appellant, in person.

Shri Sanjay Tandon, SVO-cum-PIO, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 18.07.2017, when Ld. Counsel for the appellant informed that the appellant was not satisfied with the provided information. Shri Sanjay Tandon, SVO-cum-PIO, informed that charges-sheets had been issued to the erring officials in this case. Accordingly, the PIO was directed to send a written submission to this effect to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission. The PIO assured to comply with the orders of the Commission. On the assurance given by the PIO, the case was disposed of and closed.

Contd……p/2
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2. The appellant vide his letter dated 05.09.2017 informed the Commission that no information had been supplied to him. Consequently, the PIO was directed vide letter dated 13.12.2017 to comply with the orders dated 18.07.2017 of the Commission. On receiving no response from the PIO, the case has been fixed for today for further hearing .

3. Today, Shri Sanjay Tandon, S.V.O.-cum-PIO submits a letter No. C.V.O.-2018/1112, dated 27.02.2018 vide which it has been informed the requisite information has been supplied to the appellant by Local Government-3 Branch vide letter dated 21.08.2017. It has been further informed that inquiry has been conducted in the matter and the case file has been sent to Local Government -3 Branch to take disciplinary action against the erring officials on the basis of Inquiry Report.

4. Since requisite information stands supplied to the appellant, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 28.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri H. S. Hundal, Advocate,

Chamber No. 82, District Court Complex,

Sector: 76, S. A. S. Nagar. ……….. Appellant

**Versus**

Public Information Officer,

O/o Punjab Right to Service Commission,

MGSIPA Complex, Sector: 26, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Punjab Right to Service Commission,

MGSIPA Complex, Sector: 26, Chandigarh. ….....Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1981 of 2016**

Present: None for the appellant.

Shri Bharat Bhushan Sehgal, Under Secretary and Shri Surinder Kumar, Superintendent, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 17.01.2018, when the representative of the respondents, informed that reply had been sent to the appellant vide letter No. PRSC/2016-8(9)/RTI/2103, dated 14.07.2016 but no observations had been received from him till date. Accordingly, one last opportunity was afforded to the appellant to furnish his observations, if any, on the reply, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission, failing which case would be decided on merit on the basis of documents placed on record. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today again, the appellant is not present. The representatives of the respondents inform that no observations have been received from the appellant on the

Contd…….p/2
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reply sent to him. They further inform that the respondents were directed by the Commission vide its orders dated 31.05.2017 to allow inspection of the record to the appellant to identify the documents required by him and provide him certified copies of the identified documents upto 150 pages, free of cost but he did not turn up for inspecting the record.

3. After hearing the respondents and going through the documents placed on record, it emerges that umpteen opportunities have been afforded to the appellant to inspect the record and procure the documents required by him and send his observations on the reply sent to him by the PIO, but he has not bothered to avail any of the opportunities.

4. In these circumstances, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/- Sd/-**  **Sd/-**

**(Pawan Kumar Singla) (Yashvir Mahajan) (S.S. Channy)**

**S.I.C. S.I.C. C.I.C.**

**Dated : 28.02.2018**

CC:- PS/CIC for kind information of Hon'ble CIC

PS/Hon'ble SIC(Y) for the kind information of Hon'ble SIC (Y)

PS/Hon'ble (PKS) for the kind information of Hon'ble SIC (PKS)

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri H. S. Hundal, Advocate,

Chamber No. 82, District Court Complex,

Sector: 76, S. A. S. Nagar. ……….. Appellant

**Versus**

Public Information Officer,

O/o Punjab Right to Service Commission,

MGSIPA Complex, Sector: 26, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Punjab Right to Service Commission,

MGSIPA Complex, Sector: 26, Chandigarh. ….....Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2004 of 2016**

Present: None for the appellant.

Shri Bharat Bhushan Sehgal, Under Secretary and Shri Surinder Kumar, Superintendent, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 17.01.2018, when the representative of the respondents, informed that reply had been sent to the appellant vide letter No. PRSC/2016-8(9)/RTI/2103, dated 14.07.2016 but no observations had been received from him till date. Accordingly, one last opportunity was afforded to the appellant to furnish his observations, if any, on the reply, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission, failing which case would be decided on merit on the basis of documents placed on record. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today again, the appellant is not present. The representatives of the respondents inform that no observations have been received from the appellant on the
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reply sent to him. They further inform that the respondents were directed by the Commission vide its orders dated 31.05.2017 to allow the inspection of the record to the appellant to identify the documents required by him and provide him certified copies of the identified documents upto 150 pages, free of cost but he did not turn up for inspecting the record.

3. After hearing the respondents and going through the documents placed on record, it emerges that umpteen opportunities have been afforded to the appellant to inspect the record and procure the documents required by him and send his observations on the reply sent to him by the PIO, but he has not bothered to avail any of the opportunities.

4. In these circumstances, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/- Sd/-**  **Sd/-**

**(Pawan Kumar Singla) (Yashvir Mahajan) (S.S. Channy)**

**S.I.C. S.I.C. C.I.C.**

**Dated : 28.02.2018**

CC:- PS/CIC for kind information of Hon'ble CIC

PS/Hon'ble SIC(Y) for the kind information of Hon'ble SIC (Y)

PS/Hon'ble (PKS) for the kind information of Hon'ble SIC (PKS)

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri H. S. Hundal, Advocate,

Chamber No. 82, District Court Complex,

Sector: 76, S. A. S. Nagar. ……….. Appellant

**Versus**

Public Information Officer,

O/o Punjab Right to Service Commission,

MGSIPA Complex, Sector: 26, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Punjab Right to Service Commission,

MGSIPA Complex, Sector: 26, Chandigarh. ….....Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2293 of 2016**

Present: None for the appellant.

Shri Bharat Bhushan Sehgal, Under Secretary and Shri Surinder Kumar, Superintendent, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 17.01.2018, when the representative of the respondents, informed that reply had been sent to the appellant vide letter No. PRSC/2016-8(9)/RTI/2103, dated 14.07.2016 but no observations had been received from him till date. Accordingly, one last opportunity was afforded to the appellant to furnish his observations, if any, on the reply, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission, failing which case would be decided on merit on the basis of documents placed on record. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today again, the appellant is not present. The representatives of the respondents inform that no observations have been received from the appellant on the
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reply sent by him. They further inform that the respondents were directed by the Commission vide its orders dated 31.05.2017 to allow the inspection of the record to the appellant to identify the documents required by him and provide him certified copies of the identified documents upto 150 pages, free of cost but he did not turn up for inspecting the record.

3. After hearing the respondents and going through the documents placed on record, it emerges that umpteen opportunities have been afforded to the appellant to inspect the record and procure the documents required by him and send his observations on the reply sent to him by the PIO, but he has not bothered to avail any of the opportunities.

4. In these circumstances, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/- Sd/- Sd/-**

**(Pawan Kumar Singla) (Yashvir Mahajan) (S.S. Channy)**

**S.I.C. S.I.C. C.I.C.**

**Dated : 28.02.2018**

CC:- PS/CIC for kind information of Hon'ble CIC

PS/Hon'ble SIC(Y) for the kind information of Hon'ble SIC (Y)

PS/Hon'ble (PKS) for the kind information of Hon'ble SIC (PKS)

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri H. S. Hundal, Advocate,

Chamber No. 82, District Court Complex,

Sector: 76, S. A. S. Nagar. ……….. Appellant

**Versus**

Public Information Officer,

O/o District and Sessions Judge,

Judicial Complex, Roop Nagar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o District and Sessions Judge,

Judicial Complex, Roop Nagar.

Public Information Officer,

O/o District and Sessions Judge,

District Court Complex,

Sector: 76, S.A.S. Nagar. ….....Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1417 of 2016**

Present: None for the appellant.

Smt. Gurleen Kaur, Superintendent-cum-PIO, Shri Gagandeep, Senior Assistant, office of District and Sessions Judge, Roop Nagar and Shri Swarn Singh, Superintendent-cum-PIO, office of District and Sessions Judge, S.A.S. Nagar, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 17.01.2018, when the representative of the respondents, informed that the application of the appellant had been transferred to District and Sessions Judge, Mohali as the relevant record had already been transferred to them. Accordingly, the PIO of the office of District and Sessions Judge, Mohali was

Contd…….p/2
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impleaded as a necessary party in this case and he was directed to furnish requisite

information/reply to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission, before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, Shri Swaran Singh, Superintendent-cum-PIO, office of District & Sessions Judge, S.A.S. Nagar informs that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant, which has been duly received by him. He submits a copy of acknowledgement from the appellant having received the information to his satisfaction.

3. The appellant is not present nor any observations/deficiencies in the provided information have been received from him, which shows that he is satisfied with the provided information.

4. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/- Sd/-**  **Sd/-**

**(Pawan Kumar Singla) (Yashvir Mahajan) (S.S. Channy)**

**S.I.C. S.I.C. C.I.C.**

**Dated : 28.02.2018**

CC:- PS/CIC for kind information of Hon'ble CIC

PS/Hon'ble SIC(Y) for the kind information of Hon'ble SIC (Y)

PS/Hon'ble (PKS) for the kind information of Hon'ble SIC (PKS)

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri H. S. Hundal, Advocate,

Chamber No. 82, District Court Complex,

Sector: 76, S. A. S. Nagar. ……….. Appellant

**Versus**

Public Information Officer,

O/o Assistant Excise and Taxation Commissioner,

Mobile Wing, Excise and Taxation Bhawan,

3rd Floor, Sector: 69, S.A.S. Nagar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Excise and Taxation Commissioner,

Roop Nagar. ….....Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1419 of 2016**

Present: None for the appellant.

Miss. Kiran Sharma, ETO-cum-APIO, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 17.01.2018, when the representative of the respondents, informed that reply had been sent to the appellant from the PIO as well as from the First Appellate Authority but no observations had been received from him till date. Accordingly, one last opportunity was afforded to the appellant to furnish his observations, if any, on the reply of the respondents, to them, with a copy to the Commission, failing which case would be decided on merit on the basis of documents placed on record. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the representative of the respondents informs that no observations have been received from the appellant. A perusal of the case file reveals that this case
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was earlier heard by Shri Alwinderpal Singh Pakhoke, former SIC, who during hearing on 21.07.2017 imposed a penalty of Rs. 25,000/- upon Shri Lajpal Jakhar, Assistant Excise and Taxation Commissioner, Mohali for the delay in the supply of information but compliance report has not been submitted to the Commission so far. Accordingly, one last opportunity is afforded to the respondents to submit compliance report for the recovery of penalty amount.

3. To come up on **25.04.2018 at 1.00 P.M. for further proceedings.**

**Sd/- Sd/-**  **Sd/-**

**(Pawan Kumar Singla) (Yashvir Mahajan) (S.S. Channy)**

**S.I.C. S.I.C. C.I.C.**

**Dated : 28.02.2018**

CC:- PS/CIC for kind information of Hon'ble CIC

PS/Hon'ble SIC(Y) for the kind information of Hon'ble SIC (Y)

PS/Hon'ble (PKS) for the kind information of Hon'ble SIC (PKS)

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri H. S. Hundal, Advocate,

Chamber No. 82, District Court Complex,

Sector: 76, S. A. S. Nagar. ……….. Appellant

**Versus**

Public Information Officer,

O/o Assistant Excise and Taxation Commissioner,

Mobile Wing, Excise and Taxation Bhawan,

3rd Floor, Sector: 69, S.A.S. Nagar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Excise and Taxation Commissioner,

Roop Nagar. ….....Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1487 of 2016**

Present: None for the appellant.

Miss. Kiran Sharma, ETO-cum-APIO, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 17.01.2018, when the representative of the respondents, informed that reply had been sent to the appellant from the PIO as well as from the First Appellate Authority but no observations had been received from him till date. Accordingly, one last opportunity was afforded to the appellant to furnish his observations, if any, on the reply of the respondents, to them, with a copy to the Commission, failing which case would be decided on merit on the basis of documents placed on record. The case was adjourned for today.

Contd…….p/2
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2. Today, the representative of the respondents informs that the available information has already been supplied to the appellant by the PIO as well as the First Appellate Authority and no observations have been received from him.

3. The appellant is not present nor any observations, on the provided information, have been received from him, which shows that he is satisfied with the provided information.

4. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/- Sd/-**  **Sd/-**

**(Pawan Kumar Singla) (Yashvir Mahajan) (S.S. Channy)**

**S.I.C. S.I.C. C.I.C.**

**Dated : 28.02.2018**

CC:- PS/CIC for kind information of Hon'ble CIC

PS/Hon'ble SIC(Y) for the kind information of Hon'ble SIC (Y)

PS/Hon'ble (PKS) for the kind information of Hon'ble SIC (PKS)

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri H. S. Hundal, Advocate,

Chamber No. 82, District Court Complex,

Sector: 76, S. A. S. Nagar. ……….. Appellant

**Versus**

Public Information Officer,

O/o Assistant Excise and Taxation Commissioner,

Mobile Wing, Excise and Taxation Bhawan,

3rd Floor, Sector: 69, S.A.S. Nagar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Excise and Taxation Commissioner,

Roop Nagar. ….....Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1489 of 2016**

Present: None for the appellant.

Miss. Kiran Sharma, ETO-cum-APIO, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 17.01.2018, when the representative of the respondents, informed that reply had been sent to the appellant from the PIO as well as from the First Appellate Authority but no observations had been received from him till date. Accordingly, one last opportunity was afforded to the appellant to furnish his observations, if any, on the reply of the respondents, to them, with a copy to the Commission, failing which case would be decided on merit on the basis of documents placed on record. The case was adjourned for today.

Contd…….p/2
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2. Today, the representative of the respondents informs that the available information has already been supplied to the appellant by the PIO as well as the First Appellate Authority and no observations have been received from him.

3. The appellant is not present nor any observations, on the provided information, have been received from him, which shows that he is satisfied with the provided information.

4. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/- Sd/-**  **Sd/-**

**(Pawan Kumar Singla) (Yashvir Mahajan) (S.S. Channy)**

**S.I.C. S.I.C. C.I.C.**

**Dated : 28.02.2018**

CC:- PS/CIC for kind information of Hon'ble CIC

PS/Hon'ble SIC(Y) for the kind information of Hon'ble SIC (Y)

PS/Hon'ble (PKS) for the kind information of Hon'ble SIC (PKS)

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri H. S. Hundal, Advocate,

Chamber No. 82, District Court Complex,

Sector: 76, S. A. S. Nagar. ……….. Appellant

**Versus**

Public Information Officer,

O/o Assistant Excise and Taxation Commissioner,

Mobile Wing, Excise and Taxation Bhawan,

3rd Floor, Sector: 69, S.A.S. Nagar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Excise and Taxation Commissioner,

Roop Nagar. ….....Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1492 of 2016**

Present: None for the appellant.

Miss. Kiran Sharma, ETO-cum-APIO, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 17.01.2018, when the representative of the respondents, informed that reply had been sent to the appellant from the PIO as well as from the First Appellate Authority but no observations had been received from him till date. Accordingly, one last opportunity was afforded to the appellant to furnish his observations, if any, on the reply of the respondents, to them, with a copy to the Commission, failing which case would be decided on merit on the basis of documents placed on record. The case was adjourned for today.

Contd…….p/2
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2. Today, the representative of the respondents informs that the available information has already been supplied to the appellant by the PIO as well as the First Appellate Authority and no observations have been received from him.

3. The appellant is not present nor any observations, on the provided information, have been received from him, which shows that he is satisfied with the provided information.

4. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/- Sd/-**  **Sd/-**

**(Pawan Kumar Singla) (Yashvir Mahajan) (S.S. Channy)**

**S.I.C. S.I.C. C.I.C.**

**Dated : 28.02.2018**

CC:- PS/CIC for kind information of Hon'ble CIC

PS/Hon'ble SIC(Y) for the kind information of Hon'ble SIC (Y)

PS/Hon'ble (PKS) for the kind information of Hon'ble SIC (PKS)