**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Kanwar Rajinder Singh**

VPO Beh Lakhan, 144223,

District Hoshiarpur. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Punjab State Board of Technical

Education & Industrial Training,

Sector 36, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director, Punjab State Board of

Technical Education & Industrial Training,

Sector 36, Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3696 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 11.12.2017**

**Present:** Sh. Kanwar Rajinder Singh, the appellant in person.

Advocate Navjodh Singh (97818-44554) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Navjodh Singh states that requisite information relates with the O/o **Director, Technical Education, Punjab** and not with the O/o Director, Punjab State Board of Technical Education and Industrial Training. He further added that RTI application cannot be transferred as Director**, Technical Education, Punjab** does not relates with their office and this has been intimated to the appellant through a written reply. He also submitted copy of above said written reply to the Commission which is taken on record.
2. Sh. Kanwar Rajinder Singh agreed on this and satisfied with the reply.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is found that the requisite information/reply satisfying the RTI application has been supplied to the appellant and he is satisfied with it. The appellant is advised to file a fresh RTI to the concerned department and he has liberty to approach the Commission follow the proper channel as per RTI act. As requisite information does not relates with the above mention respondent PIO and a satisfactory reply has been provided to the appellant therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
4. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**28.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Madan Lal S/o Sh. Om Parkash,**

Jain Niwas, MCB-Zone-2,

H. No. 10803, St. No. 18,

Parinda Road 18, GTB Nagar,

Bathinda – 151001 (7009709515). Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Director, Local Bodies,

Punjab Municipal Bhawan,

Sector 35 A - Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director Local Bodies,

Punjab Municipal Bhawan,

Sector 35 A - Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3698 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Madan Lal, (98723-67068) the appellant in person.

Sh. Chetan, SA present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Chetan, SA states that requisite information cannot be provided as the appellant has sought for third party information.
2. Sh. Madan Lal, the appellant states that the respondent may deny third party information but cannot deny the general information.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is found that most of the information is of public interest and only partial information is third party which can be denied. The respondent PIO is directed to provide the requisite information of public interest point-wise and/or reply satisfying the RTI application by the next date of hearing failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.04.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**28.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Amar Nath, (97803-62953)**

33159, Street No. 1, Partap Nagar,

Bathinda. Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Tehsildar, Bathinda.

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Assistant Commissioner,

(Complaints), Bathinda.

**Public Information Officer**

O/o DC, Bathinda. Respondent

**Complaint Case no. 1381 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Amar Nath, (98727-59053) the complainant in person.

Sh. Sukhbir Singh Brar, Tehsildar Bathinda present as respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Sukhbir Singh Brar, states and hands over the written reply by the concerned reader as already been provided to the appellant and also during the hearing.
2. Sh. Amar Nath, the complainant states that incomplete and/or irrelevant reply has been provided. He further added that he added sought for report and action taken if any and other related information regarding the application no. 2042/ਸਪਸ/ਸ਼ਕ-2 dated 28.06.2018.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, the respondent PIO is directed to file affidavit regarding the application no. 2042/ਸਪਸ/ਸ਼ਕ-2 dated 28.06.2018 to the complainant satisfying the RTI application by the next date of hearing failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.04.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**28.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma (Advocate),**

Kothi No. 585, Phase 2, Mohali (94639-50619). Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Secretary, Punjab Govt.,

Department of Civil Aviation,

Civil Secretariat, Punjab, Chandigarh. Respondent

**Complaint Case no. 1388 of 2017**

**Present:** Advocate Pawan Kumar Sharma**,** the appellant in person.

Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Advocate Pawan Kumar Sharma states that requisite information has not been provided by the respondent PIO till date.
2. Respondent PIO is not present for today’s hearing and nor did he/she file any reply in this regard with shows no regards to the notice of Commission.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, the respondent PIO is directed to provide the requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the complainant by the next date of hearing along with directions to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The complainant is advised to point out deficiencies if any after receiving the information in written to the respondent and also a copy of it to the Commission, failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the complainant.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.04.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**28.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Note:** After the hearing Sh. Mukesh Gupta, Quality Manager (94171-43043) appeared and read out the above said order. He states that requisite information has already been provided to the complainant in similar case with appeal case no. 2361 of 2017 which was earlier disposed of and closed by bench of undersigned on 11.12.2017. He further added that concerned PIO is Directorate of Civil Aviation SCO 1068-69 Sector 22 B, Chandigarh.

Both the parties are directed to appear in person to clear the facts on the next date of hearing. Copy of this order to be also sent to the **Directorate of Civil Aviation SCO 1068-69 Sector 22 B, Chandigarh** through registered post.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**28.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Secretary, Punjab Govt.,

Department of Civil Aviation,

Civil Secretariat, Punjab, Chandigarh

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Ram Singh S/o Sh. Jagroop,**

R/o Village Chaina, Tehsil Jaito,

District - Faridkot. (94658-05010). Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SDE, W/S & Sanitation,

Block Jaito, District Faridkot.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o XEN, Sub Division,

Faridkot. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3718 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Madan Lal**,** the representative of appellant.

Sh. Jagjit Singh, Clerk (98723-67068) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Jagjit Singh, Clerk states that requisite information/written reply has been already provided to the appellant.
2. Sh. Madan Lal**,** the representative of appellant states that incomplete information has been provided and pointed out deficiencies in the information/reply received to the respondent during the hearing. He further added that partial information of RTI application is concerned with other department of their office and RTI application was supposed to be transferred to the concerned department as per RTI act but the respondent has not been done by the respondent PIO.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, the respondent PIO is directed to retrieve the information concerned department and provide the pending information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the appellant by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is also advised to point out deficiencies if any after receiving the pending information, in written to the respondent PIO and also a copy of it to the Commission, failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.04.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**28.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sukhjeet Pal Singh (98724-86992)**

S/o Sh. Davinder Singh, Ms. Ranbir

Electronics, Sh. Guru Nanak

Dev Ji Market, Behind Singh Studio,

Bhucho Mandi, District Batinda -151101 Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Deputy Registrar,

Adesh University, VPO Bhuchi Kalan,

Tehsil Nathana, District Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Registrar, Adesh University,

VPO Bhuchi Kalan, Tehsil Nathana,

District Bathinda. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3738 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Sukhjeet Pal Singh the appellant in person.

Advocate S.S. Brar, (98144-27660) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Madan Lal**,** the representative of appellant states that requisite information has not been provided till date.
2. Advocate S.S. Brar on the behalf of respondent PIO requested for an adjournment and assured that requisite information/reply will be provided to the appellant by the next date of hearing.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, the respondent PIO is directed to provide the requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the appellant, by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is also advised to point out deficiencies if any after receiving the pending information, in written to the respondent PIO and also a copy of it to the Commission, failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant.
4. On the request of the representative of respondent PIO, the matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.04.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**28.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Gurjit Singh S/o Sh. Yadvinder Singh**

VPO Behbal Kalan, Tehsil Jaitu

District Faridkot (95923-41836) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o XEN, Punjab W/S and

Sanitation, Circle Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o XEN, Punjab W/S and

Sanitation, Circle Patiala. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3741 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Madan Lal**,** (98723-67068) the representative of appellant.

Sh. Jagjit Singh, Clerk (97801-23711) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Madan Lal**,** the representative of appellant states that requisite information has not been provided till date.
2. Sh. Jagjit Singh, Clerk states that requisite information/written reply has been already sent to the appellant and also handed over a copy of it to Sh. Madan Lal, the representative of appellant during the hearing.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, the appellant is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any in the information received in written to the respondent PIO and also a copy of it to the Commission, failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant. The respondent PIO is directed to remove the deficiencies if any pointed out the appellant, as said above, by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.04.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**28.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sanjeev Goyal S/o Sh. Ashok Kumar**

MCB-Zone-2, H.No.00110 (Old H.No. 148),

Model town, Phase I, Near TV Tower,

Bathinda. (98141-97689) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation, Bathinda. Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1419 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Madan Lal**,** (98723-67068) the representative of appellant.

Sh. Jasbir Singh, Sanitary Inspector (97800-39502) present along with Sh. Balraj Singh, JE, 94658-19866 on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Madan Lal**,** the representative of appellant states that status report of complaints received by Swachhata MoUD and record of resolution done on complaints received by Swachhata MoUD application in the requisite information is still pending.
2. Sh. Jasbir Singh, Sanitary Inspector states that no record of resolution done on complaints received by Swachhata MoUD application is kept in their office. He further added that status report of complaints received by Swachhata MoUD will be provided to the appellant by the next date of hearing and requested the Commission for an adjournment.
3. Sh. Madan Lal**,** the representative of appellant agreed on this.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, the respondent PIO is directed to provide the pending requisite to the appellant, by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is also advised to point out deficiencies if any after receiving the pending information, in written to the respondent PIO and also a copy of it to the Commission, failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant.
5. On the request of the representative of respondent PIO, the matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.04.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**28.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sanjeev Goyal S/o Sh. Ashok Kumar**

MCB-Zone-2, H.No.00110 (Old H.No. 148),

Model town, Phase I, Near TV Tower,

Bathinda. (98141-97689) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o DSP City (Traffic), Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o SSP City (Traffic), Bathinda. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3774 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Madan Lal**,** (98723-67068) the representative of appellant.

Sh. Krishan Kumar, ASI (94173-81121) on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Krishan Kumar, ASI states that information of 13 out 16 points has been supplied to the appellant.
2. Sh. Madan Lal**,** the representative of appellant pointed out deficiencies during the hearing stating that information that relates to point no. 8 & 9 has not been received.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is found that the representative of respondent PIO is not aware of the case, therefore O/o DSP City (Traffic), Bathinda is directed to represent this case in person or through any of his representative who is aware about the facts of this case along with the directions to provide the pending information to the appellant or file a written reply satisfying the RTI application, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is also advised to point out deficiencies if any after inspecting the record, in written to the respondent PIO and also a copy of it to the Commission, failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.04.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**28.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sanjeev Goyal S/o Sh. Ashok Kumar**

MCB-Zone-2, H.No.00110 (Old H.No. 148),

Model town, Phase I, Near TV Tower,

Bathinda. (98141-97689) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation,

Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation,

Bathinda. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3775 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Madan Lal**,** (98723-67068) the representative of appellant.

Sh. Jasbir Singh, Sanitary Inspector (97800-39502) present along with Sh. Balraj Singh, JE, 94658-19866 on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Madan Lal**,** the representative of appellant pointed out deficiencies in point no. 5, 6, 7, & 8 of RTI application during the hearing.
2. Sh. Jasbir Singh, Sanitary Inspector states that the appellant has not specified time period for which the information is required. He also requested the Commission that the appellant may visit their office and specify information required to inspect the record so that required information may be provided as vague information is requested in point no. 5, 6. 7 & 8 of RTI application.
3. Sh. Madan Lal**,** the representative of appellant agreed on this.
4. Both the parties exchanged their no. and mutually agreed that they will coordinate and decide the date of inspection before the next date of hearing.
5. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, the appellant or his representative is advised to specify the date for the requisite information regarding point no. 5, 6, 7 & 8 in written to the respondent during his visit to respondent’s office. The respondent PIO is directed to make sure that the appellant or his representative has completely inspected the pending requisite information on his visit to their office after requisite information being specified by him and provide the required information, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
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**Appeal Case No. 3775 of 2017**

1. The appellant is also advised to point out deficiencies if any after inspecting the record, in written to the respondent PIO and also a copy of it to the Commission, failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant.
2. On the request of the representative of respondent PIO, the matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.04.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
3. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**28.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sanjeev Goyal S/o Sh. Ashok Kumar**

MCB-Zone-2, H.No.00110 (Old H.No. 148),

Model town, Phase I, Near TV Tower,

Bathinda. (98141-97689) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation,

Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation,

Bathinda. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3776 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Madan Lal**,** (98723-67068) the representative of appellant.

Sh. Jasbir Singh, Sanitary Inspector (97800-39502) present along with Sh. Balraj Singh, JE, 94658-19866 on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Madan Lal**,** the representative of appellant pointed out deficiencies in information received to the respondent during the hearing.
2. Sh. Jasbir Singh, Sanitary Inspector states that the sweepers were outsourced through a contractor and information like their joining date, working locations, salary paid and other related details requisite in RTI application does not relates to their office only copy of contract is available which may fulfill the requirements of the appellant as requisite in his RTI application.
3. Sh. Madan Lal**,** the representative of appellant agreed on this.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, the respondent PIO is directed to provide the copy of contract be provided to the appellant, by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is also advised to point out deficiencies if any receiving the information, in written to the respondent PIO and also a copy of it to the Commission, failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant.
5. In the view of above, the matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.04.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**28.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sunil Mallan (Advocate)**

Booth No. 14-B, Sector 47 – C,

Chandigarh 160047 (UT)

(98140-96353) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Faridkot.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Faridkot. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3777 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Sunil Mallan**,** the representative of appellant.

Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Sunil Mallan,the appellant pointed states that requisite information has not been provided till date.
2. Respondent PIO is neither present for today’s hearing and nor did he files and reply in this regard which shows no regard to the notice of Commission.
3. After hearing the appellant and examining the case file, the respondent PIO is directed to provide the requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the appellant, by the next date of hearing, along with the directions to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is also advised to point out deficiencies if any receiving the information, in written to the respondent PIO and also a copy of it to the Commission, failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant.
4. In the view of above, the matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.04.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**28.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sunil Mallan (Advocate)**

Booth No. 14-B, Sector 47 – C,

Chandigarh 160047 (UT)

(98140-96353) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Bathinda. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3617 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Sunil Mallan**,** the representative of appellant.

Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Sunil Mallan,the appellant pointed states that requisite information has not been provided till date.
2. Respondent PIO is neither present for today’s hearing and nor did he files and reply in this regard which shows no regard to the notice of Commission.
3. After hearing the appellant and examining the case file, the respondent PIO is directed to provide the requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the appellant, by the next date of hearing, along with the directions to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is also advised to point out deficiencies if any receiving the information, in written to the respondent PIO and also a copy of it to the Commission, failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant.
4. In the view of above, the matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.04.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**28.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sunil Mallan (Advocate)**

Booth No. 14-B, Sector 47 – C,

Chandigarh 160047 (UT)

(98140-96353) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Moga.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Moga. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3626 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Sunil Mallan, the appellant in person.

Sh. Surinder Kumar, ASI Traffic (97800-03039) along with Sh. Neg Singh, ASI (from SSP Moga office) Sh. Manjit Singh, Clerk (from O/o DC Moga) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Surinder Kumar, ASI Traffic states that available requisite has been provided to the appellant and partial information relates with O/o of DC Moga and O/o SSP Moga and it has been transferred to the concerned departments.
2. Sh. Manjit Singh, Clerk handed over the information that relates with the O/o SSP Moga to the appellant during the hearing. He also submitted a copy of it along with covering letter to the Commission, which is taken on record.
3. Sh. Sunil Mallan, the appellant pointed out deficiencies in information received during the hearing. He added that copies of DC Meetings and copies of Transfer letters etc. are still pending.
4. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, the appellant is advised to go through the information received and point out deficiencies in written to the respondent PIO by the next dated of hearing and also a copy of it to the Commission, failing to the case would be considered as for non pursuance by the appellant. The respondent PIO is directed to retrieve the pending information from the concerned departments and provide it to the appellant by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.04.2018 at 11:00 AM**.
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 28.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Ravinder Singh (94175-33942)**

#986, Near H.M. Convent School,

Main Bazar, Moga. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SHO, Police Station,

City South, Moga

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o IGP,

Bathinda. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3119 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.

Sh. HarJinder Singh, Head Constable (97800-07123) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. HarJinder Singh, Head Constable, states that the appellant refused to accept the requisite information.
2. A letter has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 4058 dated 26.02.2018 mentioning therein that respondent was providing irrelevant information. This letter is taken on record.
3. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, the respondent PIO is directed to bring point wise requisite information and/or written reply point wise satisfying the RTI application on next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is given last opportunity with an advice to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) by the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant or ex-parte decision may be taken.
4. In the view of above, the matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.04.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**28.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Jaswant Singh (98157-16554)**

S/o Sh. Ajaib Singh,

R/o Kotakpura Road, Jaitu Tehsil Jaitu,

District Faridkot. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Director, Local Govt. Punjab

Local Bodies-3 Branch,

Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director, Local Govt. Punjab

Local Bodies-3 Branch,

Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3151 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 06.11.2017**

**Present:** Sh. Jaswant Singh the appellant in person.

Sh. Raminder Singh, SA (98889-60070) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Raminder Singh, SA, hands over the requisite information to the appellant during the hearing and also copy of it to the Commission along with the covering letter no. 1175354/2 dated 28.02.2018 signed by superintendent from the O/o Director, Local Govt. Punjab Local Bodies-3 Branch, Chandigarh. The documents are taken on record.
2. Sh. Jaswant Singh the appellant is satisfied with the information received.
3. After hearing the both the parties and examining the case file, it is found that requisite information is supplied to the appellant and he is satisfied with it, therefore no further action is required in this case, hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
4. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**28.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Jaswant Singh (98157-16554)**

S/o Sh. Ajaib Singh,

R/o Kotakpura Road, Jaitu Tehsil Jaitu,

District Faridkot. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Director, Local Govt. Punjab

Local Bodies-3 Branch,

Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director, Local Govt. Punjab

Local Bodies-3 Branch,

Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3474 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Sandeep Sharma, (94176-51130) the representative of appellant.

Sh. Manwinder Pal Singh, SDO (98722-24090)

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Manwinder Singh, SDO states that appellant was intimated to send another valid and clear identity proof so that information could be supplied to the appellant as the appellant has submitted a copy of PAN Card which is not clear. He also mentioned that identity proof was rejected by the respondent PIO as it was not clear but till date appellant has not submitted a valid id proof to their office till date. He further referenced another similar appeal case AC: 4102 of 2016 which was disposed of & closed by the Learned SIC, Ms .Viney Kapoor Mehra in this regard. He also added that due to same above mentioned reasons the first appeal of the appellant was rejected by their First Appellate Authority.
2. Sh. Sandeep Sharma agreed that id proof is not clear and assures that valid id proof would be provided to the respondent PIO.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the present case, it is found that as the case was rejected by First Appellate Authority due to not receiving a clear id proof, the above said case is **remanded to First Appellate Authority**  with directions to consider the case after receiving a clear and valid id proof from the appellant.
4. The appellant also has liberty to approach the Commission if he is not satisfied with First Appellate Authority regarding his RTI application even after applying the valid and clear id proof of First Appellate Authority.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Date: 28.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**