Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Jasbir Singh, Village Bolapur, Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh, Distt.Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

۷s

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority, O/o SSP, Mohali.

.....Respondent

# Appeal Case No. 2115 of 2020

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is absent.

ASI Nathu Ram is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SSP Mohali.

ORDER

Dated: 25.11.2020

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 07.03.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SSP, Mohali.

#### Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent has appeared on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant.


The appellant has sent a mail to the Commission on 11.11.2020, diarized vide no.16042 and submitted that he has received the sought for information and he has no objection if his case would be closed.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 03.11.2020, diarized vide no.15503, which is a copy of letter no.49125/G dated 02.11.2020. I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the SPIO that the sought for information had already been sent to the appellant vide letter no.26105 dated 15.06.2020, but the same fact could not be submitted before the Hon'ble Commission at the time of hearing on 13.10.2020 due to network connection problem and the Commission awarded a compensation of Rs.3,000/- in favour of the appellant. It is further submitted by the SPIO that as directed vide Order dated 13.10.2020, again the sought for information was sent to the appellant vide letter no.44411 dated 13.10.2020 through registered post. The SPIO requested that the Order of compensation be reviewed as the sought for information was sent to the appellant on 15.06.2020 and again on 13.10.2020.

As per the reply of the SPIO that the sought for information was sent to the appellant on 15.06.2020 and again on 13.10.2020, so I withdraw the Order of compensation.

As the sought for information has already been sent to the appellant and the appellant himself admitted in his mail that he has received the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com





..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o DGP, Punjab, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o DGP, Punjab, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

#### Appeal Case No. 2698 of 2020

Vs

Present:- Shri Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, appellant is absent.

Shri ASI Parshotam Kumar is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o DGP, Punjab,

Chandigarh.

ORDER

Dated: 25.11.2020

Shri Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, appellant filed RTI application dated 11.06.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DGP, Punjab, Chandigarh. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 11.07.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 17.09.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today **through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.** 

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent has appeared on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the reply/information was sent to the appellant vide letter no.1030 dated 30.06.2020 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 10.11.2020, diarized vide no.15953, which is a copy of letter no.2459 dated 09.11.2020 along with copy of letter no.1030 dated 30.06.2020 and copy of letter no.1351 dated 06.08.2020.

I have gone through the letters sent by the SPIO. It is submitted by the SPIO that the information sought by the appellant is not related with the office of the DGP, Punjab, rather it is related with different districts of Punjab and they are different public authorities.

As per the reply of the SPIO the information sought by the appellant is not related with the office of the DGP, Punjab, rather it is related with different districts of Punjab and they are different public authorities, so the appellant is advised that he should file RTI application before the concerned public authority for getting the relevant information. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Sajada Ram (Retd. Suptd.) S/o Shri Satnam Dass, VPO Khuian Sarwar, Tehsil Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

..... Appellant

۷s

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP (Rural), Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority, O/o IGP, Border Range, Amritsar.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 2723 of 2020

Present:- Shri Sajada Ram, appellant is present.

ASI Harpal Singh is present on behalf of SPIO, O/o SSP (Rural) Amritsar.

ORDER

Dated: 25.11.2020

Shri Sajada Ram, appellant filed RTI application dated 11.03.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SSP (Rural), Amritsar. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 08.07.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 18.09.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today **through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.** 

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO.

The Respondent stated that the appellant sought information regarding FIR No. 14 dated 31.05.2018 registered at Police Station, Ferozepur not within the jurisdiction of SSP (Rural) Amritsar and he sought information regarding suspension order and re-instatement order of Inspector Kuldeep Singh-851/Majitha, which is personal information and is exempted U/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005.

The appellant sought personal information of Inspector Kuldeep Singh-851/Majitha, which is exempted U/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005. If there is any larger public interest involved then the information can be provided, but the appellant has failed to prove any larger public interest. Moreover, as per the judgement passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in SLP No. 27734 of 2012 titled Girish Ramchandra Deshpande Versus Central Information Commissioner and others, the information related to the service career and promotions and properties is the personal information of the concerned employee and is exempted U/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** as personal information is exempted U/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Birchh Bhan, S/o Shri Swaroop Chand, H.No. 33, Kahangarh Road, Patran, Distt. Patiala.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Director, Health and Family Welfare, Punjab, Sector 34, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Director, Health and Family Welfare, Punjab, Sector 34, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

# **Appeal Case No. 2753 of 2020**

Vs

Present:- Shri Birchh Bhan, appellant is absent.

Dr. Satpal, SPIO-cum-Deputy Director, O/o Health and Family Welfare, Pb.,

Chandigarh.

ORDER

Dated: 25.11.2020

Shri Birchh Bhan, appellant filed RTI application dated 06.06.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Director, Health and Family Welfare, Punjab, Chandigarh. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 30.07.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 21.09.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today **through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.** 

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent SPIO is present and he stated that complete sought for information comprising of 15 pages has been sent to the appellant vide letter no. 1328 dated 11.11.2020 through registered post.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 18.11.2020, diarized vide no. 16571, which is a copy of letter no. 1332 dated 11.11.2020 along with a copy of letter no. 1328 dated 11.11.2020. I have gone through the said letters and it is submitted by the SPIO that 15 pages of sought for information has been sent to the appellant through registered post.

As the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the complete sought for information to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**