রন দুরক Information

Sh. Jonny Singla (94789-85098)

#267, Street No. 4, Green Avenue, Bibi Wala Road, Bathinda-151001.

Public Information Officer

Versus

Complainant

O/o Shaheed Gurinder Singh Govt. Sr. Sec School Bassian, Village Bassian, Tehsil Raikot, Ludhiana-142032.

Ms. Jasbir Kaur, Principal,

(Regd. Post) Shaheed Gurinder Singh Govt. Sr. Sec School Bassian, Village Bassian, Tehsil Raikot, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Education Officer (SE), 2nd Floor, Mini Secretariat, Near Bharat Nagar Chowk, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.: 2957 of 2018

Respondent

Present: Nobody present on the behalf of appellant. Ms. Harinder Kaur, Maths Lecturer is present as respondent PIO.

ORDER

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.05.2019.
- 2. Appellant is absent for today's hearing but an email has been received from email id jonny.singla.rti.activist@gmail.com on 23.06.2019 along with an attachment signed by Ms. Harinder Kaur, Maths Lecturer cum PIO mentioning therein that record that relates with requisite information is in custody of Principal of Shaheed Gurinder Singh Govt. Sr. Sec School i.e. Ms. Jasbir Kaur which she denied to provide it to her (Ms. Harinder Kaur), therefore she is unable to provide requisite information to the appellant. This email is taken on record.
- 3. Ms. Harinder Kaur, Maths Lecturer stated as mentioned above in point no. 2 of this order. A letter in this regard has also been received in the Commission vide diary no. 11777 dated 18.06.2019, which is also taken on record.
- 4. Another letter has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 10525 dated 27.05.2019 signed by Ms. Jasbir Kaur i.e. Principal of Shaheed Gurinder Singh Govt. Sr. Sec School Bassian, Ludhiana mentioning therein that available requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and it is further added that there is no public interest in requisite information and a third party information. It is also added that earlier similar information was requisite which was denied to be provided by other RTI Commissioner (Details not given) and requested the Commission to transfer the case to other bench.



Appeal Case No.: 2957 of 2018

- 5. After hearing the respondent examining the case file, on the request of respondent Ms. Jasbir Kaur i.e. Principal of Shaheed Gurinder Singh Govt. Sr. Sec School Bassian, Ludhiana the case file is sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble CIC to transfer the case to other Bench to decide the issue.
- 6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 24.06.2019 at 11.00 AM Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Copy to: The Deputy Registrar (Along with case file)



Sh. Jonny Singla (94789-85098)

#267, Street No. 4, Green Avenue, Bibi Wala Road, Bathinda-151001.

Versus

Complainant

Respondent

Public Information Officer

O/o Shaheed Gurinder Singh Govt. Sr. Sec School Bassian, Village Bassian, Tehsil Raikot, Ludhiana-142032.

Ms. Jasbir Kaur, Principal,

(Regd. Post) Shaheed Gurinder Singh Govt. Sr. Sec School Bassian, Village Bassian, Tehsil Raikot, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Education Officer (SE), 2nd Floor, Mini Secretariat, Near Bharat Nagar Chowk, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.: 2966 of 2018

Present:Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.
Ms. Harinder Kaur, Maths Lecturer is present as respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.05.2019.
- 2. Appellant is absent for today's hearing but an email has been received from email id jonny.singla.rti.activist@gmail.com on 23.06.2019 along with an attachment signed by Ms. Harinder Kaur, Maths Lecturer cum PIO mentioning therein that record that relates with requisite information is in custody of Principal of Shaheed Gurinder Singh Govt. Sr. Sec School i.e. Ms. Jasbir Kaur which she denied to provide it to her (Ms. Harinder Kaur), therefore she is unable to provide requisite information to the appellant. This email is taken on record.
- Ms. Harinder Kaur, Maths Lecturer stated as mentioned above in point no. 2 of this order. A letter in this regard has also been received in the Commission vide diary no. 11777 dated 18.06.2019, which is also taken on record.
- 4. Another letter has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 10525 dated 27.05.2019 signed by Ms. Jasbir Kaur i.e. Principal of Shaheed Gurinder Singh Govt. Sr. Sec School Bassian, Ludhiana mentioning therein that available requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and it is further added that there is no public interest in requisite information and a third party information. It is also added that earlier similar information was requisite which was denied to be provided by other RTI Commissioner (Details not given) and requested the Commission to transfer the case to other bench.



Appeal Case No.: 2966 of 2018

- 5. After hearing the respondent examining the case file, on the request of respondent Ms. Jasbir Kaur i.e. Principal of Shaheed Gurinder Singh Govt. Sr. Sec School Bassian, Ludhiana the case file is sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble CIC to transfer the case to other Bench to decide the issue.
- 6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 24.06.2019 at 11.00 AM Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Copy to: The Deputy Registrar (Along with case file)

Ms. Harleen Kaur H. No. 79, Near Kalgidhar Market, Roopnagar (Ropar-140001).

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali.

Appeal Case No.: 3596 of 2018

Present: Sh. S.M. Bhanot present on behalf of the appellant.

Sh. Jaswinder Singh, SA (99141-89104) present on behalf of the respondent.

- <u>ORDER</u>
- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 06.05.2019.
- Sh. S.M. Bhanot the representative of appellant states that as pointed on previous hearing dated 06.05.2019; received information was unattested and illegible. He further added that page no. 1 to 11 of the requisite file has also not been provided.
- 3. Sh. Jaswinder Singh, SA requested for an adjournment to provide the complete, legible and attested information.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, the respondent PIO is given last opportunity to provide complete, legible and attested information after removing the deficiencies as pointed out by the appellant (as mentioned in point no. 2 of this order), failing to which action under section 20 (i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
- 5. Both the parties are advised / directed to represent this case on next date of hearing.
- 6. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **22.07.2019 at 11.00 AM**.
- 7. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 24.06.2019 at 11.00 AM Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

THE PSIC USSIL

Respondent



Sh. Deepak Rai Garg (95011-13508)

S/o Sh. Subhash Chander R/o Gordhan Dass Phul Chand, Rama Street, Railway Road, Kotkapura, 151204.

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Matta, District Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority O/o Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Matta, District Faridkot.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3605 of 2018

Present:Sh. Deepak Rai Garg the appellant in person.
Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.OPDEP

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 01.05.2019.
- 2. Sh. Deepak Rai Garg, the appellant, states and submits a letter addressed to respondent PIO mentioning therein that he has pointed out the deficiencies in the information received, and sent it again to respondent PIO through registered post (copy of receipt of said registered post attached) but the respondent has failed to remove deficiencies pointed out till date. This letter along with copy of receipt of said registered post is taken on record.
- Respondent PIO is absent continuously second time for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission, which shows no regard to the notice/order of the Commission. He/she was also absent on previous hearing dated 01.05.2019.
- 4. After hearing the appellant and going through the documents placed on record, the respondent PIO directed to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on next date of hearing and also given one more opportunity to remove the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant by providing information and/or reply satisfying the RTI application, by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20 (i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
- 5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 29.07.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 6. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 24.06.2019 at 11.00 AM



Sh. Kuldip Singh (94788-68756) S/o Sh. Raghunath Dass

Bazar Vakilan, Hoshiarpur - 146001

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate Authority O/o DEO (SE), Hoshiarpur.

Appeal Case No.: 3687 of 2018

Present Sh. Rajinder Kumar, present on the behalf of appellant. Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 06.05.2019.
- 2. Sh. Rajinder Kumar, the representative of appellant, states and submits a letter signed by appellant mentioning therein that requisite information that relates with point no. 1 & 2 is pending and he has received requisite information that relates with point no. 3 & 4 and is satisfied with it. This letter is taken on record.
- Respondent PIO is absent continuously third time for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission, which shows no regard to the notice/order of the Commission. He/she was also absent on previous hearings dated 27.03.2019 & 06.05.2019.
- 4. After hearing the representative of appellant and going through the documents placed on record, the respondent PIO is given one more opportunity to remove the deficiencies that relates with point no. 3 & 4 of RTI application pointed out by the appellant by providing information and/or reply satisfying the RTI application, along with the directions to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20 (i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
- 5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 29.07.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 6. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 24.06.2019 at 11.00 AM Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent

Sh. Sandeep Kumar S/o Sh. Sukhdev Kumar House No. 8, Mohalla-Boran Gate, Nabha-147201, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Principal, DIET, Nabha-147201, District Patiala

First Appellate Authority O/o DPI (S), Education Dept., Vidya Bhawan, 4th Floor, Mohali.

Appeal Case No.: 3875 of 2018 Date of Institution: 13.11.2018 Date of Decision: 24.06.2019

Present: Nobody present on the behalf of the appellant. Sh. Harminder Singh, JA present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 01.05.2019.
- 2. Appellant is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission.
- 3. Sh. Harminder Singh, JA states and submits a letter signed by Principal, DIET Nabha mentioning therein that the appellant failed to visit respondent's office to inspect the record as directed by the Commission on previous hearing dated 01.05.2019. It is further added that that Mr. Ajit Singh, so called representative of appellant is wasting time of Commission and Public Authorities by filling RTI application with fake signatures and requested the Commission to close this case.
- 4. On previous hearing dated 01.05.2019, to ensure the existence and interest of appellant with respect to RTI application, <u>appellant was advised to visit respondent's office in person to inspect the record on 27.05.2019 along with a photo ld proof and/or an advocate may accompany the appellant failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis but he failed to do so.</u>
- In view of above, the instant appeal case is decided on merit basis, to be disposed of and closed.
- 6. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 24.06.2019 at 11.00 AM Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

BIT HUR AND LOSSING PUNJab State Mormation

Respondent



Smt. Promilla Sharma (97797-61292) W/o Sh. Rajinder Kumar, H. No. 166, Street No. 1/B Shalimar Nagar, Hoshiarpur.

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

Public Information Officer O/o DPI (SE), PSEB Complex, Phase 8, SAS Nagar (Mohali).

PIO cum Superintendent O/o Chief Secretary, Punjab Govt., Education Department, Civil Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority O/o DPI (SE), PSEB Complex, Phase 8, SAS Nagar (Mohali).

Appeal Case No.: 4021 of 2018 Date of Institution: 22.11.2018 Date of Decision: 24.06.2019

Present:

Sh. Promilla Sharma, the appellant present in person. Sh. Ravinder Dogra, Superintendent present along with Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, SA (81949-80111) as respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 06.05.2019.

- 2. Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, SA states that requisite information relates with Education Branch 4 and RTI application filed has been received in their office i.e. Education branch 5 but as per directions of the Commission the Commission the requisite information and/or written reply retrieved from Education Branch 4 has been supplied to the appellant.
- 3. Sh. Promilla Sharma, the appellant states that requisite information and/or written reply filed by Education Branch 4 as said above by the respondent has been received by her but it is irrelevant.
- 4. On this, Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, SA requested the Commission that the appellant may file RTI application directly to the concerned department so that appellant may get relevant information, as they can only provide the information which is retrieved and which they have already provided.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, the appellant is advised to file fresh RTI application directly to the concerned branch i.e. Education branch 4 to get the requisite information as the respondent PIO from O/o Education 5 branch has already provided the available does requisite information and/or written reply filed by Education Branch 4, therefore, I am of the view that no further action is required in this case and hence the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- The appellant also has liberty to approach the Commission as per RTI Act, if she does not get information and/or not satisfied with information after filing fresh RTI to concerned department i.e. Education Branch 4.
- 7. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 24.06.2019 at 11.00 AM



Dr. Nakul Kundra (99883-38210) # NC-118, Kot Kishan Chand, Jalandhar.

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Registrar, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority O/o Vice Chancellor, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar.

> Appeal Case No.: 4073 of 2018 Date of Institution: 27.11.2018 Date of Decision: 24.06.2019

Present: Nobody present.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 06.05.2019.
- 2. Respondent PIO is absent for today's hearing but a letter along with copy of requisite information and/or written reply has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 11122 dated 06.06.2019 signed by PIO/Registrar GNDU, Amritsar mentioning therein that after getting clarification from Establishment Branch that no information regarding point no. 7 of RTI application is in their records and also advised to clarify from VC's office. Subsequently, VC office in their reply has clarified that in this regard only information available with their office is the proceedings of selection committee and Performa, except this they have no information. With the facts above proceedings of selection committee is provided to appellant as annexure II & III and Performa is provided to appellant as annexure IV. Further it is added that no other information is available with respondent PIO for point no. 7 of RTI application. This letter along with supporting documents is taken on record. The said documents are as follows:

BEFORE THE HON'BLE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB,	Armenus I demo
CHANDIGARH	C/20
Dr. Nakul Kundra S'o Sh. Naresh Kumar,	Accessited NC ** previously by NAC and Legender Are to a use over (BTI Cell) (BTI Cell) Ref. No. 6.9.6
NC-118, Kot Kishan Chand Jalandhar City. Punjab - 144004	numeration (provide the second
Versus Jr/19	Regd.
Public Information Officer, Office of the Registrar, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar Respondern 4/6/2016	Dr. Nakul Kundra NC-118, Kot Kishan Chand, Julandhar City-144004
Appeal Case No. 4073 of 2018	ਵਿਸ਼ਾਂ ਰਾਈਟ ਟੂ ਇਨਫਰਮੇਸ਼ਨ ਐਕਟ ਅਧੀਨ ਸੂਚਨਾ/ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ ਮੁਹੋਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਉਣ ਭਾਰੇ।
Hearing on 24.06.2019 at 11.00 A.M. before Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla, State Information Commissioner, Punjab at Red Cross Bhawan, Madhya Marg, Sector-16,	ਸ਼੍ਰੀਮਾਨ ਜੀ. ਹਵਾਲਾਂ : ਮਿਤੀ: 20.7.2018 ਨੂੰ ਅਪੀਲ ਦੀ ਸੁਣਵਾਈ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਪਹਿਲੀ ਅਪੀਲੀ ਅਬਾਰਟੀ ਜੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ
Chandigarh.	
Information regarding Point No.7 of the application: How State Information Commission wide its order dated 06.05.2017 directed the respondent PO1 to supply the information related with Point, No. 7 regarding the incidencie record of the appellant Dr. Sakal Kanda. In this regard information was suggitted to provide the respondent branch regarding entransition was suggitted by the schedule state branch state of the appellant. The state State Statement branch regarding the information may kindly be acked from Vice Chancellor's Office. Subsequently, Vice Chancellor's Office, Subsequently, Vice Chancellor's Office in their regard information analysis with the Office is the proceedings of selection committee and proferma, except this they have no information. Free all the faces mentioned above, it is being elected that the replying requirements for the proceedings of selection committee which are annexure – II and III anacked with the reply and already been supplied to the appellant vide letter not. 606/RTI dated 2008/2018 andreed as annexure – I which the reply. These documents have already been supplied to the appellant vide letter not, 606/RTI dated 2008/2018 andreed as annexure – II which the reply. These documents have already been supplied to the appellant vide letter not, 606/RTI dated 2008/2018 andreed as annexure – II which the reply. Except these documents not an alable with the respondent PIO for point no. 7 of the application.	ਹੋਏ ਆਦੇਸ਼ਾਂ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ । ਉਪਵੇਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮਿਤੀ 13.04.2018 ਦੇ ਬਿਲੇ-ਪੱਤਰ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਵਾਈਟ ਟ੍ਰ ਇਨਕਰਮੇਸ਼ਨ ਐਕਟ ਅਧੀਨ ਮੰਗੇ ਗਈ ਸੂਰਨ/ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ ਨਾਲ ਨੇਬੀ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਫੇਜੇ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ. <u>1. ਲਈ ਨੇਬਰ 1 ਅਤੇ 2 ਸਬੰਧੀ ਸੂਰਨ/ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ (ਪੰਨਾ P/1) 1</u> <u>2. ਲਈ ਨੇਬਰ 1 ਸਬੰਧੀ ਸੂਰਨ/ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ (ਪੰਨਾ P/2) 1</u> <u>3. ਲਈ ਨੇਬਰ 7 ਸਬੰਧੀ ਸੂਰਨ/ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ (ਪੰਨਾ P/2) 1</u> <u>4. ਲਹੀ ਨੇਬਰ 7 ਸਬੰਧੀ ਦੁਰਨ/ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ (ਪੰਨਾ P/2) 19</u> <u>4. ਲਹੀ ਨੇਬਰ 7 ਸਬੰਧੀ ਉਪਲਬਧ ਸੂਰਨ/ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਪੰਨਾ P/3+P/34</u> ਵਿੱਚ ਹੈ 1 <u>16. ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਲਈ ਨੇਬਰ 3 ਅਤੇ 4 ਦੀ ਸੂਰਨਾ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਪਹਿਲ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੇਬਰ 44×/RTI ਮਿਤੀ 31.05.2018 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਮੁਹੱਦੀਆਂ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਂ ਵਾਰੇ ਹੈ 1 <u>ਕਿਰ</u>,</u>
no. 7 of the application. Public Information Officer / Registrar	(ਕਰਨਜਾਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਕਾਰਨ) ਪੁਛਲਿਕ ਇਨਫਰਮੇਸ਼ਨ ਅਫਸਰ/ਰਜਿਸਟਰਾਰ
RII Dated	
No Copy of the above is forwarded to Dr. Nakol Kundra S'o Sh. Naresh Kumar, NC-118, Kov Kiban Chand, Jalandhar City, Punjab - 144004.	ਨਜ਼ੀ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ
Public Information Officer / Registrar	NABX 2258802-2258809 Extn. (O) 3011, 3013 (R): 3012.19x (0183) 2258819, 2258870, GRAMS-University. Amritise e-mail: reg_gradu@gwhon.com
Enclosure: <u>Annesure I to IV</u>	


Appellant

Respondent



Appeal Case No.: 4073 of 2018 Date of Institution: 27.11.2018 Date of Decision: 24.06.2019

Armexene-IT 1953 GURU NANAK DEV UNIVERSITY, AMRITSAB C/165	2	'7	S. Martin	Anne	oure III		2194
P ucceedings of the meeting of the Selection Committee for the posts of Assistant Professor A English, GNDU Campus, Amritsar held on 20.9.2011 at 2.30 p.m. in the office of the Vice		C [اوملام Guru Nanak Dev University Amritsar					
List of the candidates called for the interview is attached and the candidate of the Serial Nos. $4-4$, 8 , 10 — in the list, appeared for the interview.	c	ligibil	Weightages in Selection ity qualifications stipulate	Committee to d in the UGC	be considered a regulation.	No.	o. 1/2011 of Posts - 1 er specified
Number of post(s) advertised : <u>One (General category)</u> After interview, the Committee unanimously recommends the selection of the following candidate(s) in order of ment:		Interview Date : 20-9-2011 Time : 2.30 PM Venue : VC Office List of the Candidates Called for Interview for the Post of Assistant Professor, English, GNDU Campus Amrifusr.					
(a) SELECTED S NO Name & Father's Name S NO Sh. Father's Name Do Sh. Haunder Kal Infh Do Sh. Haunder Kal Infh	-	Sr. No.	Name of the Candidates	Academic Record & Research Performance (50%)	Assessment of domain Knowledge and Teaching Skills (30%)	Interview performance (20%)	Total
D. Sh. Haunder Du tot		1.	Dr. Sumneet Kaur D/o Sh. Harinder Pal Singh	30	20	15	65.
TH ON THE WAITING LIST		2.	Charanjit Singh S/o Sh. Mohinder Singh	28	13	8	49
to the qualifications, if any, with reason thereon		3.	Nakul Kundra S/o Sh. Naresh Kumar	27	19	13	59
Relaxation given in the qualifications, if any, with reason view of the waiting list possess i Certified that the candidate(s) selected as well as placed in the waiting list possess i orasoribed essential qualifications including specialization. If any, unless otherwise relaxed c		4.	Trisha Sharma D/o Sh. N.K. Sharma	26	10	7	43
Association advantage		5.	Sawant Singh Manto S/o Sh. Sarbjeet Singh Soni		Absen.	4	
		6.	Harmbhini Dío Sh. Harinder Singh	-	A 650		
		7.	Bajwa Manmeet Sodhi D/o Sh. Jaswant Singh Raz	-	Ab.	Sat	
		8.	Puneet Randhawa D/o Sh. Jasbir Singh	28	18	11	57
		9. Navdeep Kau D/o Sh. Gurdeep Singh		-	Absent		
and the second se		10.	Anmol Sekhon Dio Sh. Gurdarshan Singh	26	13	8	. 47
			Kahlen	1-11 have			



3. Appellant is absent for today's hearing but an email has been received in the Commission from <u>nakul_kundra123@rediffmail.com</u> on 17.06.2019 mentioning therein that Commission may close the case with a plea, that mentioning in the orders that the specific information demanded by the appellant regarding marks awarded to him for "Academic Record & Research Performance (50%) in the interview is not available on their records." This email is taken on record.



Appeal Case No.: 4073 of 2018 Date of Institution: 27.11.2018 Date of Decision: 24.06.2019

- 4. After examining the case file, and considering the reply of the respondent PIO, I am of the view that available requisite information has been supplied to the appellant and no other information is available on their records as mentioned above in point no. 2 of this order, therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is disposed of and closed.
- 5. Announced in the Court; copy of order, be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 24.06.2019 at 11.00 AM Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Sh. Sucha Singh (98144-65662)

S/o Sh. Rajinder Singh VPO-Jasraur, Block-Chogawan Tehsil Ajnala, District Amritsar.

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Deputy Director, Animal Husbandry Department, Punjab, Hathi Gate, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority O/o Deputy Director, Animal Husbandry Department, Punjab, Hathi Gate, Amritsar.

Appeal Case No.: 4085 of 2018

PresentSh. S.M. Bhanot, present on the behalf of appellant.Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 06.05.2019.
- 2. Sh. S.M. Bhanot the representative of appellant states that irrelevant/false information has been provided. He also requested the Commission for an adjournment to file a written reply

in this regard.

- 3. Respondent PIO is absent for today's hearing.
- 4. On the request the representative of appellant and going through the documents placed on record, the appellant is given one opportunity for file a written reply or point out deficiencies in written by the next date of hearing failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.
- 5. Both the parties are advised / directed to represent this case on next date of hearing.
- 6. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 29.07.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 7. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 24.06.2019 at 11.00 AM Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Appellant

Respondent



Sh. Gurbakshish Singh

14-A, Teacher Colony, Patiala Road, Sangroor.

Public Information Officer O/o DPI (SE), SAS Nagar (Mohali).

First Appellate Authority

O/o DPI (SE), SAS Nagar (Mohali).

Appeal Case No.: 4091 of 2018

Versus

Present:Sh. Gurbakshish Singh, the appellant in person.
Nobody present on the behalf of respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 01.05.2019.
- 2. Sh. Gurbakshish Singh, the appellant states that neither the respondent Sh. Bimal Dev provided the specified pending requisite information and nor did he certified the information provided, rather Sh. Bimal Dev requested him on previous hearing dated 01.05.2019 to visit their office and he will telephonically intimate their office to provide pending information and also certify the information already provided but on his visit to their office their office their office representative denied to do so.
- 3. Respondent PIO is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission.
- 4. After hearing the appellant and going through the documents placed on record, the respondent PIO is given last opportunity along with directions to provide the pending information as directed in previous order dated 01.05.2019 and also certify the information already provided to appellant, failing to which action under section to 20 (1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
- 5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **31.07.2019 at 11.00 AM**.
- 6. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 24.06.2019 at 11.00 AM Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent

BH HE AND UNISSIGNED

Sh. Madan Lal (98724-01503)

Shop no. 21, Bala Ji Complex, Durgiana Mandir Abadi, Amritsar

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o Nagar Improvement Trust, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority O/o Nagar Improvement Trust, Amritsar

Appeal Case No.: 4259 of 2018

Present: Sh. Madan Lal, the appellant present in person. Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 06.05.2019.
- 2. Respondent PIO is absent for today's hearing but an email from email id <u>rajansharma115@gmail.com</u> along and a letter has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 12131 dated 24.06.2019 from PIO cum Superintendent, LAC Nagar Improvement Trust, Amritsar mentioning that related to requisite information the appellant through their office letter no. ਏਆਈਟੀ/ਐਲਏਸੀ/7656 dated 10-12-2018 and vide letter no. ਏਆਈਟੀ/11207

dated 22-03-2019 and also vide letter no. ਏਆਈਟੀ/(ਆਰਟੀਆਈ)1013 dated 03-05-2019, has

already been intimated. This email and letter are taken on record.

- 3. Sh. Madan Lal, the appellant states that Khasra no. of requisite information has been provided to the respondent on 08.05.2019 but still the information has not been provided.
- 4. After going through the documents placed on record, the respondent PIO is given last opportunity along with directions to <u>provide requisite information</u> to the appellant and also <u>directed to appear in person</u> on next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which further action under RTI act would be enhanced against the respondent PIO.
- 5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on 31.07.2019 at 11.00 AM.
- 6. Announced in the Court; copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 24.06.2019 at 11.00 AM Respondent



Sh. Mahant Sanjay Giri (99889-09025)

Shop no. 21, Bala Ji Shopping Complex, Durgiana Mandir Abadi, Amritsar

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Nagar Improvement Trust,

Amritsar

First Appellate Authority O/o Nagar Improvement Trust, Amritsar

Appeal Case No.: 4264 of 2018

Sh. Mahant Sanjay Giri, the appellant present in person. Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

Present:

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 06.05.2019.
- 2. Sh. Mahant Sanjay Giri, the appellant states that requisite information has not been provided till date.
- 3. Respondent PIO is absent for today's hearing but a letter has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 12130 dated 24.06.2019 from PIO cum Superintendent, LAC, Nagar Improvement Trust, Amritsar mentioning therein that as per letter no. ਏਆਈਟੀ/ਐਲ.ਏ.ਸੀ/ਆਰਟੀਆਈ/10102 dated 08-03-2019

with reference of Appeal case 4292 of 2018 that requisite information that relates to their office has already been provided and also mention that questions in requisite information cannot be answered. This letter is taken on record.

- 4. After hearing the appellant and going through the documents on record, I am of the view that due to evasive attitude and irresponsible behavior of the respondent PIO concerned, the appellant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information and/or getting it in time, therefore, I am of the view that compensation be awarded to the appellant, Sh. Mahant Sanjay Giri.
- Hence, I award a compensation of Rs. 1500/- (Fifteen Hundred only) to the appellant. Compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favor of Sanjay Giri from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual's account.
- 6. The respondent PIO is also directed to provide point requisite information and/or written reply to the appellant by the next date of hearing and also to represent the case in person or through his/her representative, failing to which further action under section 20(i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
- 7. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **31.07.2019 at 11.00 AM**.
- 8. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 24.06.2019 at 11.00 AM Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent



Sh. Mahant Sanjay Giri (99889-09025) Shop no. 21, Bala Ji Shopping Complex, Durgiana Mandir Abadi, Amritsar

Public Information Officer O/o Nagar Improvement Trust, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Nagar Improvement Trust, Amritsar

Present:

Appeal Case No.: 4292 of 2018 Sh. Mahant Sanjay Giri, the appellant present in person. Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

Versus

ORDER

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 06.05.2019.
- 2. Sh. Mahant Sanjay Giri, the appellant states that requisite information has not been provided till date.
- 3. Respondent PIO is absent for today's hearing but a letter has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 12130 dated 24.06.2019 from PIO cum Superintendent, LAC, Nagar Improvement Trust, Amritsar mentioning therein that requisite information that relates to their office has already been provided to the appellant vide letter no. 한까휜ਟੀ/ਆਰਟੀਆਈ/3593 dated 11.07.2018, 한까휜ਟੀ/ਆਰਟੀਆਈ/5793 dated 29.09.2018, 한까휜ਟੀ/ਆਰਟੀਆਈ/6946 dated 13.11.2018 and vide letter no.

ਏਆਈਟੀ/ਆਰਟੀਆਈ/10102 dated 08.03.2019 and also mentioning therein that questions in requisite

information cannot be answered. This letter is taken on record.

- 4. After hearing the appellant and going through the documents on record, I am of the view that due to evasive attitude and irresponsible behavior of the respondent PIO concerned, the appellant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information and/or getting it in time, therefore, I am of the view that compensation be awarded to the appellant, Sh. Mahant Sanjay Giri.
- Hence, I award a compensation of Rs. 1500/- (Fifteen Hundred only) to the appellant. Compensation
 amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favor of
 Sanjay Giri from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual's account.
- 6. The respondent PIO is also directed to provide point requisite information and/or written reply to the appellant by the next date of hearing and also to represent the case in person or through his/her representative, failing to which further action under section 20(i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
- 7. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **31.07.2019 at 11.00 AM.**
- 8. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 24.06.2019 at 11.00 AM Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent