**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGRH**

 **Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

 **Emaiil:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in**

Dr. Harbans Goyal

S/o Late Sh. Sohan Lal

R/o House No.91, Block -G,

Shivalik Vihar, Naya Gaon,

Distt. S.A.S. Nagar. Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

S.A.S.Nagar. Respondent

**COMPLAINT CASE NOs.1025, 1026, 1029, 1030, 1031 and 1032 of 2017**

 **Date of RTI Application : 03.06.2017/09.06.2017/22.06.2017** **Date of First Appeal : -**

 **Date of order of FAA : -**

 **Date of Second Appeal/Complaint: 22.09.2017**

**Present:** None on behalf of the Complainant.

 HC Maninder Singh, RTI Br., O/o SSP, Mohali – for Respondent.

**ORDER**

 The following order was passed by this forum on 11.01.2018:

 *“The following interim order was passed by this forum on 21.12.2017:*

 *“Since the complainant & the respondent are the same, and the complaints pertaining to the connected issues, the single order shall dispose of all the above complaints.*

 *ASI Ravinder Singh is present. The respondent has not filed any written statement on the notice issued by the Commission. They are directed to do so quickly in any case well before the next date of hearing.*

 *It transpires that the record has been got inspected by the respondent from the applicant/complainant. They may issue him certified copies of the same.”*

 *“The cases have come up today.*
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***COMPLAINT CASE NOs.1025, 1026, 1029, 1030, 1031 and 1032 of 2017***

 *The respondent represented by SI Harjinder Singh has submitted that as directed the certified copies of the documents have been prepared. The complainant was asked to collect it.*

*However, he has not turned up so far. The respondent who has shown us the information thus arranged by them, is directed to send it by post to the complainant under intimation to the Commission.”*

The cases have again been taken up today. The complainant is absent on consecutive hearings. Seemingly, he is satisfied with the information thus provided to him.

 HC Maninder Singh appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that the documents asked for by him, have been delivered to him by hand. He has shown us an acknowledgement issued by the complainant as token of having received the information, a copy of which has been taken on record.

 The complainant has a marital dispute and sought copies of statements made before the Police in pursuit of their investigation. As the information stands provided the Commission does not see any reason to take cognizance of the complainants towards penalization of the respondents.

 **Disposed.**

**Sd/-**

**22.02.2018 (Yashvir Mahajan)**

 **State Information Commissioner**

 **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGRH**

 **Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

 **Emaiil:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma, Advocate,

Kothi No.585, Phase -2,

S.A.S. Nagar. Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation,

S.A.S. Nagar. Respondent

 **COMPLAINT CASE NO.1175/2017**

Date of RTI application : 03.09.2017

Date of First Appeal : Nil

Date of Order of FAA : Nil

Date of 2nd Appeal/complaint :16.10.2017

**Present:** Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma, Complainant in person.

 1. Sh. Sarbjeet Singh, PIO – cum – Assistant Commissioner, MC Office, Mohali and 2. Sh. Jaspreet Singh, JE, MC office, Mohali – for Respondent.

**ORDER**

 The Commission had made the following observations on 16.01.2018:

 *“The complainant had sought the information about the damage caused to the roads and the properties of the people due to inundation following the heavy rains in the month of August, 2017 in Mohali. He also intends to know the action having been taken on a decision taken in a local adalat on the issue.*

 *The reply filed by the respondent vide their memo dated 08.01.2018 has been reported to be deficient by the complainant. It has been duly intimated to them on 12.01.2018 by him. The PIO is directed to personally look into it and arrange to provide the complete information before the next date of hearing.”*

The case has been taken up today. Sh. Sarbjeet Singh, PIO states that the Municipal Corporation is seriously addressing the issue of inundation of the town and a detailed blue print has been made to correct the situation. The complainant, in fact, intends to know the compliance made of Contd…page…2
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**COMPLAINT CASE NO.1175/2017**

an order passed in Lok Adalat on the subject as mentioned in the original application. The respondent shall duly inform the complainant of the status of the matter as mentioned above before the next date of hearing.

 To come up on **05.04.2018 at 11.30 AM.**

 **Sd/-**

**22.02.2018 (Yashvir Mahajan)**

 **State Information Commissioner**

 **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGRH**

 **Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

 **Emaiil:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Ved Gupta (Journalist),

# 247, Smith Nagar, Prem Nagar,

Dehradun Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Deputy Superintendent of Police,

Fatehgarh Sahib

.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Senior Supdt. of Police,

Fatehgarh Sahib. Respondents

 **APPEAL CASE NO.2991/2017**

Date of RTI application : 16.08.2017

Date of First Appeal : 28.08.2017

Date of Order of FAA : Nil

Date of 2nd Appeal/complaint :23.102017

**Present:** None on behalf of the Appellant.

 ASI Ranjit Singh, In charge RTI, O/o SSP, Fatehgarh Sahib – for Respondents.

**ORDER**

What transpires is that the appellant had sought a copy of complaint filed against him by Sh. Harvinder Singh, S/o Sh. Avtar Singh, R/o Vill: Sidhupur Kalan, Tehsil Khamano, District Fatehgarh Sahib alleging some fraudulent transaction.

 ASI Ranjit Singh appearing on behalf of the respondents says that since the complaint is pending it cannot be shared with him. The Commission does not agree with the argument of the respondent. An appellant has a right to know the allegations made against him by Police so as to defend himself effectively. Thus being the state the Respondents are directed to provide him a copy of the complaint filed against him under intimation to the Commission before the next date of hearing.

 To come up on **27.03.2018 at 11.30 AM.**

 **Sd/-**

**22.02.2018 (Yashvir Mahajan)**

 **State Information Commissioner**

 **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGRH**

 **Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

 **Emaiil:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Prabhjot Singh

S/o Sh. Charan Singh,

R/o Ward No.1, Rahoun Khanna

Tehsil Khanna, Distt. Ludhiana Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Executive Officer,

Municipal Council,

Khanna. Respondents

 **COMPLAINT CASE NO.1151/2017**

Date of RTI application : 07.04.2017

Date of First Appeal : Nil

Date of Order of FAA : Nil

Date of 2nd Appeal/complaint :14.10.2017

**Present:** Sh. Prabhjot Singh, Complainant in person.

 Sh. Jaswant Singh, JE, O/o MC, Khanna – for Respondent.

**ORDER**

The following interim order was passed by the Commission on 16.01.2018:

 *“The complainant had sought information about the development works specifically laying of interlocking tiles in Ward No. 1 of the municipal area of town of Khanna. The complainant has not been able to make a specific case of the information thus sought. It shall be in the fitness of things to allow him the inspection of the record and thereafter arrange to provide him the certified copies of the documents identified by him. The respondent shall intimate him a definite date and time to get the record inspected and supply him the copies of the documents free of charge not beyond one hundred pag*es.”

 The case has come up today. Sh. Jaswant Singh, JE appearing on behalf of the respondent says that the record identified by the complainant has been sent to him under registered Contd…page…2
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**COMPLAINT CASE NO.1151/2017**

cover. The complainant says that it has not reached him so far. As it has been dispatched only yesterday the Commission understands that it should be in the transit and shall reach him shortly. The complainant may look through it and revert in case he so desires.

 To come up on **27.03.2018 at 11.30 AM.**

 **Sd/-**

**22.02.2018 (Yashvir Mahajan)**

 **State Information Commissioner**

 **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGRH**

 **Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

 **Emaiil:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Sat Pal Singh,

S/o Sh. Amrik Singh,

R/o Ram Nagar, Sector-9 B,

Mandi Gobindgarh,Tehsil Amloh,

Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib. Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Chief Director,

Vigilance Bureau, Punjab,

SCO No.60-61, Sector-17-D,

Chandigarh Respondent

 **COMPLAINT CASE NO.1173/2017**

Date of RTI application : 31.05.2017

Date of First Appeal : Nil

Date of Order of FAA : Nil

Date of 2nd Appeal/complaint :16.10.2017

**Present:** None on behalf of the Complainant.

 1. SI Avtar Singh, In charge RTI, Punjab Vigilance Bureau, and

 2. Constable Anil Rattan, Punjab Vigilance Bureau – for Respondent.

**ORDER**

The interim order passed by this forum on 16.01.2018 is reproduced below:

 *“The complainant is seeking information on a complaint having been filed by him to the Director General of Police, Pb. against a Dy Superintendent of Police which was marked by him to the Sr. Superintendent of Police, Patiala.*

 *The respondent has filed a reply a copy of which has also been endorsed to the complainant.*

 *As the complainant is absent he is directed to respond to the reply filed by the respondent before the next date of hearing failing which it shall be presumed that he is satisfied with the information thus provided to him.”*

The case has come up today. Nothing has been heard from the complainant. Seemingly, he is satisfied with the turn of events. No further action seems called for, for want of pursuit of his case.

 **Disposed.**

  **Sd/-**

**22.02.2018 (Yashvir Mahajan)**

 **State Information Commissioner**