**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta,

8/237, Jagraon Road,

Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana-141011. --Appellant.

Vs.

**Public Information Officer**

**o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,**

**Ludhiana (Rural) at Jagraon.**

**FAA-Inspector General of Police,**

**Zonal-II, Jalandhar.** -------Respondents.

**Appeal Case No. 470 of 2018**

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Shri Harpreet Singh, Head Constable, on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 6.1.2018 vide which the appellant has sought information under life and liberty clause of the RTI Act, 2005 as enumerated in his application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 11.1.2018 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.01.2018 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 12.02.2018 by the Commission, which was further postponed for today.

3. The appellant is absent but he has sent an email, the contents of which are reproduced below:-

*"Most humbly it is submitted of information till date. Rather they have not even responded to the RTI application ever.*
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*Since I got some specific information that the police is planning to pick me up in order to get a satisfaction report in these case, I preferred to abstained from hearing and not giving the police a chance to get success in their evil planning.*

*Presently I have nothing to say. Only this court is to direct the respondents to provide the required information to the appellant without any justified delay.*

*The respondents be also made to explain justification in the present in providing the information to the appellant.*

*Sir my life and liberty is at stake. Kindly issue strict time bound directions to the respondents to release the required information positively before the next hearing. A short adjournment be given in this case please. Somebody from my side will be positively be appearing on behalf of me on the next date of hearing in case I feel some danger from the police."*

4. The representative of the respondents states that asked for information by the appellant is voluminous. He relied upon the judgment passed in Civil Appeal Case No.6454 on 9.8.2011 titled as Central Board of Secondary Education and Anr. Vs. Aditya Bandopadhyay and ors'. He places on the record of the case file letter dated 21.2.2018 with the relevant extract of the above judgment, which is reproduced below;-

*“Indiscriminate and impractical demands or directions under the RTI Act for disclosure of all and sundry information (unrelated to transparency and*
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*accountability in the functioning of public authorities and eradication of corruption) would be counterproductive as it will adversely affect the efficiency of the administration and result in the executive getting bogged down with the non-productive work of collecting and furnishing information. The Act should not be allowed to be misused or abused, to become a tool to obstruct the national development and integration, or to destroy the peace, tranquility and harmony among its citizens. Nor should it be converted into a tool of oppression or intimidation of honest officials striving to do their duty. The nation does not want a scenario where 75% of the staff of public authorities spends 75% of their time in collecting and furnishing information to applicants instead of discharging their regular duties. The threat of penalties under the RTI Act and the pressure of the authorities under the RTI Act should not lead to employees of a public authorities prioritizing “information furnishing”, at the cost of their normal and regular duties.”*

5. From perusing the above, it is revealed that the appellant has asked massive, voluminous and an omnibus information relating to almost entire record of the Public Authority. The appellant is advised to send his justification for asking the same to the respondents with a copy to the Commission.

6. To come up on 28.03.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings in the matter.

Sd/-

Dated : 22.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta,

8/237, Jagraon Road,

Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana-141011. --Appellant.

Vs.

**Public Information Officer**

**o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,**

**Ludhiana (East).**

**FAA-Deputy Commissioner,**

Ludhiana. -------Respondents.

**Appeal Case No. 471 of 2018**

Present:- None is present on behalf of the appellant.

**None is present on behalf of the respondents.**

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 31.12.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information under life and liberty clause of the RTI Act, 2005 as enumerated in his application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 04.01.2018 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.01.2018 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 12.02.2018 by the Commission, which was further postponed for today.

3. The appellant is absent but he has sent an email, the contents of which are reproduced below:-

*"Most humbly it is submitted of information till date. Rather they have not even responded to the RTI application ever.*
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*Since I got some specific information that the police is planning to pick me up in order to get a satisfaction report in these case, I preferred to abstained from hearing and not giving the police a chance to get success in their evil planning.*

*Presently I have nothing to say. Only this court is to direct the respondents to provide the required information to the appellant without any justified delay.*

*The respondents be also made to explain justification in the present in providing the information to the appellant.*

*Sir my life and liberty is at stake. Kindly issue strict time bound directions to the respondents to release the required information positively before the next hearing. A short adjournment be given in this case please. Somebody from my side will be positively be appearing on behalf of me on the next date of hearing in case I feel some danger from the police."*

4. The respondents are absent but they have sent an email dated 21.02.2018 stating that they are busy in Municipal Elections and the election process will be over on 28.02.2018. They further request that the case may be adjourned after this date i.e. 28.02.2018.

5. Keeping in view, the request of the respondents, the case is adjourned to 28.03.2017 with the direction to provide the complete information.

6. To come up on 28.03.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings in the matter.

Sd/-

Dated : 22.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta,

8/237, Jagraon Road,

Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana-141011. --Appellant.

Vs.

**Public Information Officer**

**o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,**

**Ludhiana (West).**

**FAA-Deputy Commissioner,**

Ludhiana. -------Respondents.

**Appeal Case No. 473 of 2018**

Present:- None is present on behalf of the appellant.

**None is present on behalf of the respondents.**

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 31.12.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information under life and liberty clause of the RTI Act, 2005 as enumerated in his application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 04.01.2018 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.01.2018 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 12.02.2018 by the Commission, which was further postponed for today.

3. The appellant is absent but he has sent an email, the contents of which are reproduced below:-

*"Most humbly it is submitted of information till date. Rather they have not even responded to the RTI application ever.*

Cont……….p/2

**Appeal Case No. 473 of 2018**

-2-

*Since I got some specific information that the police is planning to pick me up in order to get a satisfaction report in these case, I preferred to abstained from hearing and not giving the police a chance to get success in their evil planning.*

*Presently I have nothing to say. Only this court is to direct the respondents to provide the required information to the appellant without any justified delay.*

*The respondents be also made to explain justification in the present in providing the information to the appellant.*

*Sir my life and liberty is at stake. Kindly issue strict time bound directions to the respondents to release the required information positively before the next hearing. A short adjournment be given in this case please. Somebody from my side will be positively be appearing on behalf of me on the next date of hearing in case I feel some danger from the police."*

4. The respondents are absent but they have sent an email dated 21.02.2018 stating that they are busy in Municipal Elections and the election process will be over on 28.02.2018. They further request that the case may be adjourned after this date i.e. 28.02.2018.

5. Keeping in view, the request of the respondents, the case is adjourned to 28.03.2017 with the direction to provide complete information before that date.

6. To come up on 28.03.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings in the matter.

Sd/-

Dated : 22.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta,

8/237, Jagraon Road,

Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana-141011. --Appellant.

Vs.

**Public Information Officer**

**o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,**

**Ludhiana (East).**

**FAA-Deputy Commissioner,**

Ludhiana. -------Respondents.

**Appeal Case No. 474 of 2018**

Present:- None is present on behalf of the appellant.

**None is present on behalf of the respondents.**

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 31.12.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information under life and liberty clause of the RTI Act, 2005 as enumerated in his application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 04.01.2018 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.01.2018 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 12.02.2018 by the Commission, which was further postponed for today.

3. The appellant is absent but he has sent an email, the contents of which are reproduced below:-

*"Most humbly it is submitted of information till date. Rather they have not even responded to the RTI application ever.*
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*Since I got some specific information that the police is planning to pick me up in order to get a satisfaction report in these case, I preferred to abstained from hearing and not giving the police a chance to get success in their evil planning.*

*Presently I have nothing to say. Only this court is to direct the respondents to provide the required information to the appellant without any justified delay.*

*The respondents be also made to explain justification in the present in providing the information to the appellant.*

*Sir my life and liberty is at stake. Kindly issue strict time bound directions to the respondents to release the required information positively before the next hearing. A short adjournment be given in this case please. Somebody from my side will be positively be appearing on behalf of me on the next date of hearing in case I feel some danger from the police."*

4. The respondents are absent but they have sent an email dated 21.02.2018 stating that they are busy in Municipal Elections and the election process will be over on 28.02.2018. They further request that the case may be adjourned after this date i.e. 28.02.2018.

5. Keeping in view, the request of the respondents, the case is adjourned to 28.03.2017 with the direction to provide the complete information.

6. To come up on 28.03.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings in the matter.

Sd/-

Dated : 22.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta,

8/237, Jagraon Road,

Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana-141011. --Appellant.

Vs.

**Public Information Officer**

**o/o Inspector General of Police,**

**Intelligence Wing,**

**Mohali.**

**FAA-Additional Director General of Police,**

**Intelligence Wing,**

**CI Headquarters,**

**Mohali.** -------Respondents.

**Appeal Case No. 472 of 2018**

Present:- None is present on behalf of the appellant.

**Shri Surinder Singh, Head Constable on behalf of the respondents.**

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 31.12.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information under life and liberty clause of the RTI Act, 2005 as enumerated in his application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 04.01.2018 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.01.2018 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 12.02.2018 by the Commission, which was further postponed for today.

3. The appellant is absent but he has sent an email, the contents of which are reproduced below:-

*"Most humbly it is submitted of information till date. Rather they have not even responded to the RTI application ever.*
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*Since I got some specific information that the police is planning to pick me up in order to get a satisfaction report in these case, I preferred to abstained from hearing and not giving the police a chance to get success in their evil planning.*

*Presently I have nothing to say. Only this court is to direct the respondents to provide the required information to the appellant without any justified delay.*

*The respondents be also made to explain justification in the present in providing the information to the appellant.*

*Sir my life and liberty is at stake. Kindly issue strict time bound directions to the respondents to release the required information positively before the next hearing. A short adjournment be given in this case please. Somebody from my side will be positively be appearing on behalf of me on the next date of hearing in case I feel some danger from the police."*

4. The representative of the respondents places on record written statement on behalf of Dr. Naresh Kumar Arora, IPS, PIO/cum-Inspector General of Police (Admn.), Intelligence, Punjab, Chandigarh relevant contents of which are reproduced below:-

"*That the appellant alleged that the information demanded from the PIO-cum-IGP, Administration, Intelligence, Punjab vide his RTI application dated 31.12.2017, has not been provided to him. In this regard, it is to bring to the kind notice of the Commission that the appellant filed his two application under RTI Act, dated*
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*18.12.2017 and 31.12.2017 respectively. The detail for seeking the information by the appellant vide his application are as under:-*

*(i) First application - Certified details of name & address of the CID/Intelligence who was posted at Booth No.09 and 10 of ward No.09 in Municipal Election Mullanpur Dakha, District Ludhiana.*

*ii) Second Application - Certified details of Name & Address of the CID/Intelligence who was posted at all boths wards No.01, 05, 06, 11, 12, 13 in Municipal Elections of Mullanpur Dakha, Distt. Ludhiana & Certified copies report sent by the CID/Intelligence officials regarding the elections & poll.*

That an appeal No.142/2018 has also been filed by the appellant in the Hon'ble State Information Commission against his first application. This appeal was heard by Sh. Yashvir Mahajan, State Information Commissioner, Punjab on 13.02.18. Reply has been filed by the answering respondent in this appeal. The Hon'ble State Information Commission has fixed this case on 13.03.18 for filing the rejoinder as requested by the appellant.

That the appellant was informed accordingly vide letter dated 22.12.17 (Annexure R-1), 10.01.18 (Annexure R-2) & 30.01.18 (Annexure R-3) in both the applications.

That in view of the position explained above, it is humbly prayed that this being a similar matter, the reply filed on 13.02.18 in appeal No.142/2018 for first application, may kindly be treated as same in this appeal No.472/2018 also."

5. The appellant is advised to go through the written submissions sent by the PIO and send his observations to the respondents as well as to the Commission before the next date of hearing, which is fixed for 28.03.2018.
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7. To come up on 28.03.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings in the matter.

Sd/-

Dated : 22.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Gurdeep Singh Kahlon,

R.O. 947, HIG, Jamalpur Colony,

Ludhiana. --Appellant.

Vs.

Public Information Officer

o/o Additional Deputy Commissioner of Police-IV,

NRI Police Station,

Sector 39, Chandigarh Road,

Near Sacred Heart Convent School,

Ludhiana. -------Respondent.

Complaint Case No. 176 of 2018

Present:- None is present on behalf of the appellant.

Shri Dinesh Sharma, Head Constable, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 31.01.2018 vide which the complainant has sought information under life and liberty clause of the RTI Act, 2005 as enumerated in his application. Complaint was filed in the Commission on 07.02.2018 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 12.02.2018 by the Commission, which was further postponed for today.

3. The complainant is absent but he has sent an email stating that due to illness of his wife, he is unable to appear on 22.02.2018 and requested for adjournment.

4. The representative of the respondents states that they have provided information regarding 4 cases registered against them.
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5. Last opportunity is afforded to the complainant to send his observations, if any, on the provided information to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission as early as possible. On receipt of the observations, the respondent is directed to remove the same before the next date of hearing, which is fixed for 28.03.2018.

5. To come up on 28.03.2018 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-

Dated : 22.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Harpreet Singh

r/o 355, Jasian Road, (GT Road),

Friends Colony, Ludhiana-141008 --------Appellant Vs.

**Public Information Officer**

**o/o Director General of Police, Punjab-cum-**

**Commandant General Home Guards and**

**Director Civil Defence, Punjab, 17 Bays Building,**

**Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.** -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2380 of 2017**

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Shri Hargopal Sadana, PIO, on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.1.2018 vide which the respondent-PIO was directed to supply complete information to the appellant after removing the deficiencies point out by him in his letter dated 27.11.2017.

2. In compliance to the previous order dated 16.01.2018, the respondent places on record that complete information has been provided to the appellant vide their letter dated 12.02.2018, which might have been received by the appellant and no deficiency has been received from the appellant till date.

3. The appellant is absent without intimation.

4. On perusal of the case file, it is revealed that no observation is
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available in the record of case file of the Commission. Last opportunity is afforded to the appellant to send his observations to the PIO with a copy to the Commission as early as possible or appear before the Commission for defending his case on the next date of hearing, which is fixed for 28.3.2018 otherwise the case will be adjudicated on the merits of the case. In case the respondent receives the deficiencies from the appellant, he is directed to remove the same, before the next date of hearing, which is fixed for 28.3.2018.

5. To come up on 28.03.2018 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-

Dated : 22.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Om Parkash

s/o Shri Hira Lal,

H.No.11, Mohalla No.24,

Jalandhar Cantt. --------Appellant

Vs.

Public Information Officer

o/o Punjab Police Headquarters,

Sector 9, Chandigarh.

FAA-Punjab Police Headquarters,

Sector 9, Chandigarh. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2439 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Om Parkash alongwith Shri Gaganpardeep Singh Bal, Adv.

Shri Purshotam Kumar, Head Constable on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.01.2018 vide which the respondent-PIO was directed to supply a copy of Officers' Committee Report to the appellant after obtaining approval from the Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh before the next date of hearing.

2. The representative of the respondents hands over the revised recommendations of the Officers' Committee, which have been accepted by the Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh on 17.2.2018 to the appellant during the hearing.
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3. After perusal of the report, the appellant states that he has been provided another report of Officers' Committee but as per his application under the RTI Act, 2005, he is asking for the recommendations made by a Committee headed by Shri R.N. Dokke dated 2.4.2017

4. The respondent-PIO is directed to provide a copy of the recommendations made by the Officers' Committee headed by Shri R.N. Dokke with or without the approval of the Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh, to the appellant as desired by him.

4. To come up on 28.03.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings in the matter.

Sd/-

Dated : 22.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Amar Singh Virk

s/o Shri Kehar Singh,

VPO Hambran,

Tehsil and Distt. Ludhiana. --------Appellant Vs.

**Public Information Officer**

**o/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.**

FAA-Deputy Commissioner,

Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2478 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Amar Singh Virk, appellant, in person.

None on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.1.2018 vide which the respondent-PIO was directed to send one more copy of the information to the appellant through registered post as well as to the Commission.

2. The respondent is absent today without intimation but in compliance to the previous order dated 16.01.2018, the respondent sent a copy of letter dated 27.11.2017 to the Commission vide which he has sent the information to the appellant.

3. The appellant states that he has not received a copy of the information till date from the respondents. A copy of the same is being handed over to the appellant with the advice to go through the same and revert back to the authorities in case of deficiency.
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5. On receipt of deficiencies from the appellant, the PIO is directed to remove the same and send a copy to him with a copy to the Commission. A serious view has been taken regarding PIO's absence on 24.10.2017, 16.01.2018 and today. Last opportunity is afforded to PIO to appear on the next date of hearing and produce original record, failing which punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated against him.

6. To come up on 28.03.2018 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-

Dated : 22.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Kiran Devi

d/o Shri Janak Nath,

#21328, St. No.1, Dr. Nahria Wali Gali,

Power House Road, Bathinda. --------Appellant Vs.

Public Information Officer

o/o Director General of Police, Punjab,

Sector 9, Chandigarh.

FAA-Inspector General of Police (Crimes),

Punjab, Chandigarh. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2481 of 2017**

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Shri Prem Masih, ASI on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.01.2018 mentioning that they sent information running into four pages to the appellant vide their letter No.65/RTI/SS, dated 16.01.2018 and the appellant was directed to send her observations, if any, on the provided information to the PIO with a copy to the Commission.

2. The representative of the respondents places on record a copy of letter dated 21.2.2018 stating that no observation has been received in their office and the case may be filed and disposed of.

3. The appellant vide his e-mail dated 17.2.2018 received in the Commission, the contents of, which are reproduced below:-

@p/Bsh j? fe w/ok nghb e/; Bz: 2481 nkca 2017 wkB:'r w[Zy oki ;{uBk efw;aBo ih gk; fwsh 22 cotoh 2018 B{z ;[DtkJh bJh brk j?. fJ; e/; d/ ;zpzX ftu w?A nkg ih B{z d;Dk ukj[zdh jK fe
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gpfbe ;{uBk nca;o d[nkok w?B{z fwsh 16 cotoh 2018 B{z ;{uBk w[jJhnK eotkJh rJh j?, gozs{ T[j ;{uBk gVQB:'r BjhA j?, feT{A ;{uBk ;zpzXh d;skt/ia$ fog'oN c'N';N/N eoB ;w/A gpfbe ;{uBk nca;o d[nkok nXhnK bkJhBk eN e/$g/I oZy e/ c'N';N/N eoe/ G/ih rJh j?. ;' w?A nkg ih B{z p/Bsh eodh jK fe gpfbe ;{uBk nca;o dcaso vkfJo?eNo vkfJo?eNo iBob nkca g[fb; gzikp uzvhrVQ ih B{z ;jh ;{uBk w[jZNhnK eotkT[D ;zpzXh j[ew ikoh eoB dh feqgkbsk ehsh ikt/ ih ns/ ;jhA ns/ g{oh ;{uBk w[jZJhnK eotkT[D T[gozs jh e/; B{z ysw ehsk ikt/ ih. w?A nkg ih B{z p/Bsh eodh jK fe fwsh 22 cotoh 2018 B{z e/; dh ;[DtkJh ;zpzXh skohe nr/ bkT[D dh feqgkbsk ehsh ikt/ ih."

4H Keeping in view the request of the appellant, the respondents are directed to provide fresh legible copy of the information to her as early as possible with a copy to the Commission. On receipt of the information from the respondents, the appellant is advised to go through the same and revert back to the authorities in case of deficiencies with a copy to the Commission.

5. To come up on 28.03.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings in the case.

Sd/-

Dated : 22.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab