



Sh Yogesh Mahajan, S/o Lt Sh Kuldip Raj,  
Opp. Water Tank, Municipal Market,  
Mission Road, Pathankot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o XEN,  
Water Supply & Sanitation Division-1,  
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o SE,  
Water Supply & Sanitation Circle,  
Phase-2, SCO-44-45,  
Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3326 of 2022**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant  
Sh. Manjit Singh, SDO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 01.04.2022 has sought information regarding works undertaken/carried out from 01.04.2021 to 01.04.2022 in Sub-Division No.1, Sub Division No.2, Sub Division No.4 Mohali – copies of work order book for all divisions – comparative statements for work for which no tender called as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Xen Water Supply and Sanitation Division-1, Mohali. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on dated Nil, which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Pathankot. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 02.08.2022.

The appellant is absent, nor represented, not communicated any discrepancy.

It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh  
Dated: 21.12.2022

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
State Information Commissioner



Sh Yogesh Mahajan, S/o Lt Sh Kuldip Raj,  
Opp. Water Tank, Municipal Market,  
Mission Road, Pathankot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o XEN,  
Water Supply & Sanitation Division-1,  
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o SE,  
Water Supply & Sanitation Circle,  
Phase-2, SCO-44-45,  
Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3325 of 2022**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh. Manjit Singh, SDO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 01.04.2022 has sought information regarding Grants received/utilised from 01.04.2021 to 01.04.2022 – comparative statements approved for works by e-tendering – a copy of statements approved for works by tender as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Xen Water Supply and Sanitation Division-1, Mohali. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on dated Nil, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Pathankot. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 02.08.2022.

The appellant is absent, nor represented, not communicated any discrepancy.

It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 21.12.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Rakesh Kumar S/o Sh Dharam Chand,  
Shop NO-36, New Grain Market,  
Sunam.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Asst, Food & Supply Officer,  
Deptt of Food & Civil Supplies,  
Sunam.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Asst, Food & Supply Officer,  
Deptt of Food & Civil Supplies,  
Sunam

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1098/2022 and 1160/2022**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Yogesh Goyal, Clerk for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 15.11.2021 has sought information regarding the inspection of records relating to M/s Baldev Rice Mill, Paramsai Rice Mill and Jindal Rice mill Sunam – complete file of allotment of all the rice mills and required documents for inspection as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of DSFO Sunam and DFSC Sangrur. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.12.2021, which did not decide on the appeal. The appellant has also

The case last came up for hearing on 18.10.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Sangrur.

Sh.Narinder Singh, District Food Supply Controller Sangrur was present and informed that the appellant has filed two similar RTI applications; one with DFSO Sunam and another with DFSC Sangrur, for seeking exactly the same information, and he is the PIO in the case. As per the respondent, the information had been provided.

As per the appellant, the information was incomplete.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both parties, the PIO was directed to provide complete information to the appellant as per the RTI application by Friday the 21<sup>st</sup> Oct.2022 and send a compliance report to the Commission through email.

**Hearing dated 21.12.2022:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sangrur. As per the respondent, the information has been provided.

The appellant is absent and, vide email, has informed that he has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 21.12.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**

**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh Raj Singh,  
Village Tadarwal, P.O Babarpur,  
Tehsil Nabha, Distt Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Pr. Secretary,  
Social Welfare Deptt,  
Mini Secretariat, Sector-9,  
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Chief Secretary,  
Main Secretariat, Sector-1,  
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3106 of 2022**

**PRESENT: Sh.Raj Singh as the Appellant  
Sh. Parshotam Kumar ASI O/o DGP Pb for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 04.04.2022 has sought information on 08 points regarding copy application dated 17.03.2022 and instructions of National SC Commission – copies of complainants and reminders sent by National SC Commission Delhi - action taken thereon – statement of witnesses – documents submitted by witnesses and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 10.05.2022, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

Sh.Parshotam Kumar, ASI from the office of the Director General of Police is present and informed that the RTI application was transferred to their office by the office of the Social Welfare Department. Regarding the information, the respondent present pleaded that the appellant had earlier filed similar appeal case No.3105 of 2022 which was disposed of by Sh.Amrith Partap Singh Sekhon, State Information Commissioner on 19.10.2022 after the information was provided. The respondent has also submitted a copy of RTI application and copy of order dated 19.10.2022 which are taken on record.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Having gone through the RTI application placed in the case-file and the RTI application filed in AC-3105 of 2022, the Commission finds that the contents of both the RTI applications are same.

Since the information has been sought from the Social Welfare department, which further transferred the application to the DGP office, as the sought information was in their custody, which, from the facts presented has already been provided to the appellant in the above-mentioned appeal cases, no further interference of the commission is required. A copy of the letter forwarded by the Department of Social Welfare to DGP is being sent to the appellant alongwith the order, which reconciles this RTI application completely.

The case is **disposed of and closed.**  
**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 21.12.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Jasbir Singh,  
Guri Nanak Nagar, Village Bholapur,  
Jhabewal, P.O Ramgarh,  
Distt Ludhaina.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Civil Surgeon,  
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Civil Surgeon,  
Patiala.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3319 of 2022**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh. Kanwaljit Singh, Food Safety Officer for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 25.04.2022 has sought information on 05 points regarding licenses issued to meet shops from Jan.2021 and samples collected and samples passed/failed –payment made for collecting samples –improvement notices issued and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Civil Surgeon, Patiala. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.05.2022, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The respondent present pleaded that the information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 20.05.2022 with a copy of the letter to the Commission.

The appellant is absent nor represented.

Having gone through the RTI application and the copy of the reply, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to and no further interference of the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 21.12.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Nitin Kumar,  
C/o Police Public Dairy,  
15-A, Shastari Nagar,  
Model Town, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Civil Surgeon,  
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Deputy Director,  
Health & Family Welfare,  
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh..

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No.2846 of 2022**

**PRESENT: Sh.Nitin Kumar as the Appellant**  
**Food Safety Officer for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 25.02.2022 has sought information on 04 points attested copies of comparative statements duly approved for the purchase of medicines from 21.01.2021 to 25.02.2022 – amount utilized or medical purchase, repair in office like DMC – amount utilized for medicine, repair in NHRM funds like maintenance, stationery etc. and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Civil Surgeon Patiala. The appellant was not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO vide letter dated 05.05.2022 after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 05.04.2022, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Patiala. As per the respondent, the information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 05.05.2022. As per the respondent, all information as available on record has been provided.

The appellant is not satisfied with the information relating to point D.

Having gone through the RTI application and the copy of the information supplied by the PIO and hearing both parties, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been replied correctly and completely.

No further interference of the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh  
Dated: 21.12.2022

Sd/-  
(Khushwant Singh)  
State Information Commissioner



Sh Suresh Aggarwal,  
# 27-E, New Lal Bagh,  
The Mall, Patiala.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Commissioner for Persons,  
With Disabilities,  
Pb Civil Secretariat-2,  
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 417 of 2022**

**PRESENT: None for the Complainant**  
**Sh.Amrit Pal Singh, APIO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant, through an RTI application dated 31.01.2022 has sought information regarding enquiry No.266556 dated 18.10.2021 and memo dated 22.10.2021 – copy of notice/memo and summon – copy of order sheet – a copy of complainants – action taken against complaints – order passed w.r.t. the filing of complaints – cross examination report and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Commissioner of Persons with Disabilities, Pb Chandigarh. The complainant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 17.03.2022 after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 23.06.2022.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The respondent present pleaded that since the investigation was pending and the file was with Sh.Sanjay Popli, IAS (who was arrested), the information was not provided and the reply was sent to the complainant on 17.03.2022. Now, the information (175 pages) has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 14.12.2022.

The appellant is absent, and vide letter received in the Commission on 20.12.2022 has informed that the information is incomplete.

**Order-**

Since there was a valid reason for the delay in providing the information, which has now been provided, no further interference of the commission is required in getting the information as this case filed under section 18 of the RTI Act in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint Under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 21.12.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**





Sh Jasbir Singh,  
Guri Nanak Nagar, Village Bholapur,  
Jhabewal, P.O Ramgarh,  
Distt Ludhaina.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Distt Food Civil Supplies Consumer Affairs,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Distt Food Civil Supplies Consumer Affairs,  
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No.3317 of 2022**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Ms.Navneet Kaur, APIO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 25.04.2022 has sought information regarding details of employees being deputed for the issue of NOC to a petrol pump, gas agency, pollution center and others activities alongwith duration of posting of the official and copy of office order as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of District Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.05.2022, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 23.05.2022 and again on 17.06.2022 with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant is absent, nor represented, nor communicated any discrepancies.

It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh  
Dated: 21.12.2022

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
State Information Commissioner