**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector:16/B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**(**[**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/) **and Email.ID** **scic@punjabmail.com**)

Sh. Hans Raj,

S/o Sh. Lal Chand,

R/o Dhani Dada Nrayan,

Backside, BSF, Chawni,

Hamayun Road, Abohar,

Distt: Fazilka.

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o Tehsildar,

Abohar.

**First Appellate authority**

o/o SDM,

Abohar, Distt: Fazilka.

Respondent

**Appeal CASE NO. 254 OF 2017**

**PRESENT:** (I) None is present on behalf of the appellant.

 (ii) Sh. Jait Kumar, Tehsildar, the respondent.

**ORDER**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 21.11.2017.

2. The appellant is absent for today’s hearing without any intimation to the Commission.

3. The respondent files an affidavit, today in the Commission, which is taken on record and has requested that some more time be given to pay the compensation to the appellant.

4. Last opportunity is given to the respondent to pay the compensation to the appellant, before the next date of hearing, failing which the Commission shall consider enhancement in the amount of compensation and penalty will be imposed on the PIO for non compliance the orders of the Commission.

5. The matter to come up for further hearing now on **15.02.2018 at 12.00 noon.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**21.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector:16/B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**(**[**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/) **and Email.ID** **scic@punjabmail.com**)

Sh. Tejinder Singh, Advocate,

MALLB, R/o H.No.836,MIG PHB,

Colony, Jamalpur, Ludhiana.

 …Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Punjab State Child Rights

And Protection Commission,

Sector:68, Forest Complex,

SAS Nagar.

 ...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 941 of 2017**

**PRESENT:** (i) Sh. Tejinder Singh, the complainant

(ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 07.11.2017.

2. The complainant states that he has received the information from the respondent vide letter dated 20.09.2017 with which he is satisfied.

3. A letter has been received from the respondent in the Commission vide diary no. 21908 dated 27.11.2017 that the information has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 20.09.2017. Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. After hearing the complainant and perusal of the record, it is ascertained that the information which has been sought by the complainant has been supplied to him with which the complainant is satisfied and has given in writing that he is satisfied.

5. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left in the instant Complaint Case which is hereby, **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 **Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**21.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector:16/B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**(**[**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/) **and Email.ID** **scic@punjabmail.com**)

Sh. Manjit Singh,

S/o Sh. Sohan Singh,

R/o H.NO.388/3, Bahera Road,

Patiala.

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o Nagar Nigam,

Patiala.

**First Appellate authority**

o/o Nagar Nigam,

Patiala.

Respondent

**Appeal CASE NO. 2833 OF 2016**

**PRESENT:** (I) Sh. Manjit Singh, the appellant.

 (ii) Sh. Tarun Kumar, Building Inspector on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER:**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 01.11.2017.

2. The appellant states that till today, complete information has not been provided to him by the respondent.

3. The respondent states that he has brought the original copy of the map for the perusal of the Commission.

4. After perusing the original copy of the map, the respondent is directed to provide the copy of the outer plan of the site to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission, before the next date of hearing, failing which penalty will be initiated against the PIO for non compliance of the orders of the Commission.

5. The matter to come up for further hearing now on **15.02.2018 at 12.00 noon.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**21.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector:16/B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**(**[**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/) **and Email.ID** **scic@punjabmail.com**)

Sh. Hardeep Singh,

S/o Sh. Vasan Singh,

R/o H.No.35, Khatik Mandi,

Ferozepur Cantt.

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o District Magistrate,

Ferozepur.

**First Appellate Authority**

o/o Commissioner,

Ferozepur Division,

Ferozepur.

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o Divisional Forest Officer,

Distt: Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o District Forest Officer,

Distt: Ferozepur.

Respondent

**Appeal CASE NO. 2118 OF 2017**

**PRESENT:** Sh. Hardeep Singh, the appellant.

Sh. Gurbir Singh, Forest Guard on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.11.2017.

2. The appellant states that till today, no information has been provided to him by the respondent.

3. The respondent o/o DFO, Shri Mukatsar Sahib appears and states that the information which has been sought by the appellant is laying with the DFO, Ferozepur as the area has again been transferred to the DFO, Ferozepur vide orders dated 13.06.2017.

4. Both the PIOs o/o DFO, Shri Mukatsar Sahib and PIO o/o District Magistrate, Ferozepur is directed to file an affidavit that the information which has been sought by the appellant is not available in their office and the same is to be provided by the PIO o/o DFO, Ferozepur, on the next date of hearing and should personally appear, failing which action under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated.

Contd…p-2

**Appeal CASE NO. 2118 OF 2017**

5. Since, the information is to be provided by the PIO o/o District Forest Officer, Ferozepur. I, therefore, order that PIO o/o District Forest Officer, Ferozepur be impleaded as necessary party in this case and PIO o/o District Forest Officer, Ferozepur is directed to personally appear on the next date of hearing alongwith the information, failing which action under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated.

6. The matter to come up for further hearing now **15.02.2018 at 12.00 noon**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**21.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector:16/B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**(**[**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/) **and Email.ID** **scic@punjabmail.com**)

Sh. Jagdish Singh,

S/o Sh. Gurcharan Singh,

Gurmukh Colony, Samana.

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o Food Supply Officer,

Samana.

**First Appellate Authority**,

O/o District Food Supply Officer,

Patiala.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1687 of 2017**

Present : (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant.

(ii) Sh. Gurpreet Singh, DFSO on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER:**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 01.11.2017.

2. The appellant is absent for today’s hearing without any intimation to the Commission.

3. The respondent states that the information has already been supplied to the appellant and the appellant has not pointed out any deficiency, in the information provided.

4. After hearing the respondent and perusal of the record, it is ascertained that the information which has been sought by the appellant has been supplied to the appellant and appellant has not pointed out any deficiency, which shows that he is satisfied with the information provided and does not want to pursue his case.

5. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left in the instant Appeal Case which is hereby, **disposed of and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**21.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

*Note: After the hearing was over, the appellant appeared and has given in writing that he has received the information and is satisfied. Copy of the same is taken on record.*

 *Sd/-*

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**21.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector:16/B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**(**[**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/) **and Email.ID** **scic@punjabmail.com**)

Sh. Satnam Singh,

S/o Sh. Kashmir Singh,

R/o Village Dhani Karka Singh,

Tehsil: Abohar, Distt:Fazilka.

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o Tehsildar,

Abohar.

**First Appellate authority**

o/o Deputy Commissioner,

Distt: Fazilka.

Respondent

**Appeal CASE NO. 4226 OF 2017**

**PRESENT:** (I) None is present on behalf of the appellant.

 (ii) Sh. Jait Kumar, Tehsildar, the respondent.

**ORDER**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 21.11.2017.

2. A letter has been received from the appellant in the Commission vide diary no. 29033 dated 20.12.2017 mentioning therein that due to financial problem, he cannot attend today’s hearing.

3. The respondent files an affidavit, today in the Commission, which is taken on record and has requested that some more time be given to pay the compensation to the appellant.

4. Last opportunity is given to the respondent to pay the compensation to the appellant, before the next date of hearing, failing which the Commission shall consider enhancement in the amount of compensation and penalty will be imposed on the PIO for non compliance the orders of the Commission.

5. The matter to come up for further hearing now on **15.02.2018 at 12.00 noon.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**21.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector:16/B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**(**[**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/) **and Email.ID scic@punjabmail.com**

Sh. Janak Raj,

S/o Sh. Jeewan Lal,

Shakti Nagar, Gali No.1,

Near Prince Model School,

Goniana Mandi, Bathinda.

 …Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o DM, Punjab Warehousing

Corporation, Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority**

o/o Punjab State Warehousing

Corporation, SCO:74/75, Ground Floor,

Sector:17/B, Chandigarh.

 ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 4002 of 2016**

**PRESENT:** (i) Sh. Janak Raj, the appellant.

(ii) Sh. Inderbir Singh, PIO, the respondent.

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.11.2017.

2. The appellant states that information regarding point no. 3 of his RTI application has not been supplied to him by the respondent.

3. The respondent files reply regarding point no. 3 of the RTI application of the appellant mentioning therein that no such rule is available in their official record. Copy of the same is handed over to the appellant with which the appellant is satisfied but states that this information has not been supplied to him within the stipulated time as prescribed under the RTI Act.

4. Looking at the lackadaisical and highly irresponsible attitude of the respondent, **PIO o/o DM, Punjab Warehousing Corporation, Bathinda** is directed to show cause as to why penalty @ 250/-per day be not imposed upon him under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act for not sending the information to the appellant within the statutorily period and why the appellant should not be compensated for the harassment.

5. The respondent-PIO is directed to file a written point-wise reply in this regard, before the next date of hearing, with a copy to the appellant.

Contd..p-2

**Appeal Case No. 4002 of 2016**

6. He may also make use of the next date of hearing for his personal hearing as well under the principles of natural justice and explain his conduct and status of the appeal to enable the Commission to arrive at a reasonable/logical conclusion in the matter.

7. The matter to come up for further hearing now on **15.02.2018 at 12.00noon.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**21.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector:16/B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**(**[**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/) **and Email.ID** **scic@punjabmail.com**)

Smt. Kiranjit Kaur,

Depot Holder, Near Shahid Bhai

Mani Singh Yadgari Library,

Ward No.2, Shahid Udham Singh Road,

Sunam, Udham Singh Wala, Distt:sangrur.

 …Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o Director,

Food Civil Supplies Consumer Affairs,

Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

o/o Director,

Food Civil Supplies Consumer Affairs,

Chandigarh.

 ...Respondent

 **Appeal Case No. 2612 of 2017**

**PRESENT:** (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant.

(ii)Smt. Joginder Kaur, Suptd. on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.11.2017.

2. The appellant is absent for today’s hearing.

3. The respondent states that she has brought the information to deliver it personally to the appellant but the appellant is absent. Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. The respondent is directed to send the same by registered post to the appellant under intimation to the Commission.

5. Last opportunity is given to the appellant to follow up her case in the Commission, on the next date of hearing, failing which decision shall be taken on merit.

6. The matter to come up for further hearing now on **15.02.2018 at 12.00noon.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**21.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

*Note: After the hearing was over, Sh. Paramjit Singh, the husband of the appellant appeared. The copy of the information is handed over to the representative of the appellant. The appellant is advised to go through the information and revert back to the respondent, if she finds so within ten days. The matter to come up for further hearing now on* ***15.02.2018 at 12.00noon.***

 *Sd/-*

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**21.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector:16/B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0712-2864125**

**(**[**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/) **and Email.ID** **scic@punjabmail.com**

Sh. Kuldip Kumar Kaura,

5-C, Phase-1, Urban Estate,

Focal Point, Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o BPEO, Jagraon.

**First Appellate authority**

o/o DEO (E), Ludhiana.

 Respondent

**Appeal CASE NO. 3525 OF 2016**

**PRESENT:** (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant.

(ii) Sh. Rupinder Singh, Clerk on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.11.2017.

2. The appellant is absent for today’s hearing.

3. The respondent files reply today in the Commission on behalf of BPEO Sh. Desraj mentioning therein that due to certain death of Sh. Ranjit Singh, former BPEO, he has taken additional charge of this post on 30.11.2017. He has requested that some more time be given to him to provide the information to the appellant.

4. The perusal of the record reveals that in this case, the appellant has tried his best to get the information from the PIO who failed to provide the information to the applicant in time. He has been made to run from pillar to post for getting the information, which he could not get even after the notice was issued by the Commission in the second appeal. He has suffered unnecessary detriments/harassment in getting the information at the hands of the PIO.

5. I have gone through the reply of the Respondent and have agreed with the reply of the Respondent. As regards the delay is more than one year in supplying the correct information, I have taken a lenient view and not imposing any penalty. In my view this is a fit case, where award of compensation under Section 19

Contd…p-2

**Appeal CASE NO. 3525 OF 2016**

(8) (b) is also called for. I have no doubt in my mind that this state of affairs has also come about on account of the absence of adequate machinery for handling the RTI work in the o/o **BPEO, Jagraon**. **I, therefore, order that compensation of Rs. 4,000/- (Rs. Four thousand only)** be paid to the appellant for the detriments suffered by him. This amount shall be payable from the funds of the o/o **BPEO, Jagraon** by way of Demand Draft to the appellant within one month.

6. He may also make use of the next date of hearing for his personal hearing as well under the principles of natural justice and explain his conduct and status of the appeal to enable the Commission to arrive at a reasonable/logical conclusion in the matter.

7. The matter to come up for further hearing now on **15.02.2018 at 12.00 noon**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**21.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

 **STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector:16/B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**(**[**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/) **and Email.ID** **scic@punjabmail.com**)

Sh. Karam Chand Chindaliya,

Samadhi Road, Khanna,

Distt: Ludhiana. …Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o District Education Officer (SE),

Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authoirity**

o/o District Education Officer (SE),

Ludhiana.

 ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 177 of 2017**

**PRESENT:** None for the parties.

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 07.11.2017.

2. Neither the appellant nor the PIO is present for today’s hearing.

3. During the last hearing, a show cause notice was issued to the respondent-PIO o/o DEO (SE), Ludhiana for personally appearance but at today’s hearing, he is again absent without any intimation.

4. Despite of the repeated directions of the Commission, PIO o/o DEO (SE), Ludhiana has not attended the hearing in the Commission personally. Therefore, a bailable warrant be issued to the PIO o/o DEO (SE), Ludhiana for not complying the orders of the Commission.

5. Last opportunity is also given to the appellant to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which decision shall be taken on merits.

6. The matter to come up for further hearing now  **15.02.2018 at 12.00 noon.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**21.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Punjab Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector:16/B, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh**

**(**[**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/) **and Email.ID scic@punjabmail.com**

**BAILABLE WARRANT OF PRODUCTION**

BEFORE PRO. VINEY KAPOOR MEHRA, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, PUNJAB

In case of Sh. Karam Chand v/s Public Information Officer, O/o DEO(SE), Ludhiana, FAA: do.

Complaint/Appeal No. : AC : 177/2017

**UNDER SECTION 18 OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005.**

**NEXT DATE OF HEARING : 15.02.2018**

To

 **Commissioner of Police,**

**Ludhiana.**

 Whereas the Public Information Officer, O/o DEO(SE), Ludhiana has failed to appear before the State Information Commissioner despite the issuance of repeated notices/directions in the above mentioned appeal case. Therefore, you are hereby directed to serve this bailable warrant on Public Information Officer, O/o DEO(SE), Ludhiana to appear before Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra, SIC at o/o Red Cross Bhawan, Madhya Marg, Sector:16, Chandigarh on 15.02.2018 at 12.00noon. Copy of the orders dated 21.12.2017 is enclosed.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**21.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**