



Sh Parmjit Singh,
1711/15, Street NO-3,
Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sr. Medical Officer,
Civil Hospital, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Sr. Medical Officer,
Civil Hospital, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3230 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Paramjit Singh as the Appellant
Dr.Charan Kamal for the Respondent

The appellant through RTI application dated 11.04.2022 has sought information regarding details of toilets constructed for patients and their caretakers alongwith toilets for ward/private room/other employees – details of employees for toilet cleaning and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SMO-Civil Hospital, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information, after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 13.05.2022, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information has been provided.

The appellant has received the information.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.12.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Parmjit Singh,
1711/15, Street NO-3,
Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Registrar,
Firms & Societies,
Sector-17 –D, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Registrar,
Firms & Societies,
Sector-17 –D, Chandigarh.

Respondent.

Appeal Case No. 3079 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Paramjit Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Satnam Singh, Sr.Assistant for the Respondent

The appellant through RTI application dated 05.04.2022 has sought information regarding the change of address of Power to Save Human Rights Institution and supply of new address certificate - time to be taken for issue of new certificate details of toilets constructed for patients and their caretakers alongwith toilets forward/ and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Registrar Firms and Societies, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided the information, after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 10.05.2022 which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present has sought some more time to provide the information and has assured to provide the information within a week.

The appellant has agreed to the same.

The PIO is directed to provide information to the appellant within a week with a copy to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.12.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Bittu, S/o Sh Om Kumar,
Village Bholapur, Jhabewal,
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare Deptt,
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner,
Food & Drug Administrator,
Kharar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3191 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

The appellant through an RTI application dated 19.03.2022 has sought information regarding the date of appointment of Food safety officer Sh.Abhinav Khosla alongwith his postings – complaints received against food safety officer and FIR filed against him and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director Health and Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 30.04.2022 which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent is absent nor represented.

Having gone through the RTI application, the PIO is directed to provide information on all points to the appellant as available in the record with a copy to the Commission. The information be provided within 15 days of the receipt of the order.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.12.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Sanjeev Rai, S/o Sh Hardiyal Rai,
R/o H NO-6500/8, Street NO-2,
Sita Nagar, Jawala Singh Chowk,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare Deptt,
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare Deptt,
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3039 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Sanjeev Rai as the Appellant
Sh.Rajesh Sood, Sr.Assistant for the Respondent

The appellant through RTI application dated 24.09.2021 has sought information regarding details of the appointment of 1263 posts of multipurpose health workers as per the advertisement published in the year 2016 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director Health and Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 26.03.2022, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information has been provided.

The appellant has received the information.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is disposed of and closed.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.12.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Kamalpreet Singh,
134, Basant City,
Pakhowal Road, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare Deptt,
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare Deptt,
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

AppealCaseNo. 2831 of 2022

**PRESENT: Sh.Kamalpreete Singh as for the Appellant
Sh.Gurpreet Singh Sr.Assistant for the Respondent**

The appellant through RTI application dated 11.12.2021 has sought information 04 points regarding rate contract as awarded by COS Punjab or Director Health from 01.07.2021 to dealers Sunrise Enterprises and N.S. Enterprises – copies of all proceedings/notings purchase orders and inspection notes as per records by office of Director Health – copy of records related with proceedings made for inspection alongwith details of exact place of inspection – cop[y of bills and proceedings and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director, Health and Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 23.02.2022 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information has been provided.

As per the appellant, the information is incomplete and discrepancies have been pointed out to the PIO.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, following is concluded:

Point-1	As per respondent, the information relates to Controller of Stores O/o DHS	The PIO-Controller of Stores, O/o Director Health and Family Welfare, Pb is impleaded and directed to provide the information
Point-2 &3	As per respondent, the information has been provided	Provided
Point-4	As per respondent, the information is 3 rd party, it cannot be provided.	The PIO is to provide information

The information be provided within 15 days of the receipt of the order with a copy to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.12.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to:PIO-Controller of Stores,
O/o Director Health Services, Pb
Chandigarh.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh R.P Singh,
4th Floor, Near Public Lift,
Court Complex, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Social Security Officer,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Social Security Officer,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2907 of 2022

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Smt. Inderpreet Kaur, DSSO Ludhiana for the Respondent

The appellant through an RTI application dated 16.03.2022 has sought information regarding old aged pension/widow pension/handicap pension from Jan.2020 to Feb.2022 alongwith criteria of age limit/income limit and Govt instructions – details of the scheme for beneficiaries of handicap persons and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of District Social Security Officer, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 22.04.2022 which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 12.04.2022. Thereafter, the appellant communicated some discrepancies on 20.06.2022 which were sorted out and complete information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 23.06.2022. As per the respondent, the appellant was contacted telephonically and the appellant is satisfied with the information.

The appellant is absent nor represented.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.12.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Kamalpreet Singh,
134, Basant City,
Pakhawal Road, Ludhiana..

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Punjab Agriculture University,
C/o Department of Soil Sciences,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Registrar,
Punjab Agriculture University,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

AppealCaseNo. 2789 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Kamalpreet Singh as for the Appellant
Sh.Varinder Pal Singh, Suptd. for the Respondent

The appellant through RTI application dated 25.02.2022 has sought information 06 points regarding copy of documents verifying the financial budget/grant received from Punjab Govt from 01.01.2017 – posting order of storekeepers/helpers in each and every stores of soil science, PAU Ludhiana since 2010 – physical inspection of record and copies of shortlisted documents of chemicals/filter papers –a copy of the stock register of soil science, and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of PAU-Dept. of Soil Sciences, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 13.03.2022 which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information has been provided.

The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.12.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Nitin Kumar,
C/o Police Public Dairy,
15-A, Shastari Nagar,
Model Town, Ludhiana..

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Nawanshehar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Health & Family Welfare,
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh..

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2844 of 2022

PRESENT: Sh.Nitin Kumar Garg as the Appellant
Dr.Jasdev Singh for the Respondent

The appellant through RTI application dated 01.03.2022 has sought information regarding comparative statements approved for the purchase of medicine from 21.01.2021 to 01.03.2022 – amount utilized for medicines purchase/repair in office like DMC office – amount utilized for medicine purchase, repair in office like NHRM – funds/grant received and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Civil Surgeon, Nawanshahar. The appellant was not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO vide letter dated 25.04.2022 after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on dated Nil which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 25.04.2022.

As per the appellant, the information on point is incomplete.

As per the respondent, no consolidated figure is available, and figure for grants received from time to time has been provided.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both parties, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to and no further interference of the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.12.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Mohabbat Pal Singh, S/o Sh Brahamn Simngh,
Village Sahijbaazpur, Tehsil Nawanshehar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Food Supply and
Consumer Affairs,
SBS Nagar.

First Appellate authority,
O/o the Director,
Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs,
Sector-39-C, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4020 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Harish Kumar, AFSO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 10.05.2021 has sought information regarding details of wheat received by depot holders Paramjit Singh, Krishan Lal and Ram Sarup from 31.03.2015 to 31.03.2021 and distributed to beneficiaries – a copy of gate pass and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of DFSC SBS Nagar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 17.06.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 11.05.2022 through video conferencing at DAC SBS Nagar. As per the appellant, the PIO had not supplied the information.

As per the respondent, the reply was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 06.01.2022 that since as per HO letter dated 24.09.2022, the record relating to depot holders is retained for only one year, the information cannot be provided.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observed that the RTI application was filed on 10.06.2021 whereas the reply was sent on 06.01.2022 with a delay of more than six months. The PIO was issued a **show cause notice under section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time and directed to file reply on an affidavit.**

On the date of last hearing on **13.09.2022**, the respondent present pleaded that the information for the years 2017 and 2018 for all depot holders has been supplied to the appellant. However, the record regarding the years 2015 & 2016, is not available with the respondent since the same was in the custody of Sh.Narinder Kora-AFSO who has expired.

The appellant was absent.

The reply to the show cause notice was not filed by the PIO. The PIO was given one last opportunity to file reply to the show cause notice otherwise it will be presumed that the PIO has nothing to say on the matter and the decision will be taken ex-parte.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC, SBS Nagar. The respondent present pleaded that the information has already been provided to the appellant. The respondent further informed that the appellant had earlier filed a similar RTI application on 15.01.2021 for seeking exactly the same information which was provided to the appellant on 15.02.2022. The Commission has also received a reply to the show cause notice through email which has been taken on record. It has been mentioned in the reply that due to rabi season and the whole staff being wasbusy in the purchase of wheat and maintenance/storage of wheat stock, the information could not be provided within time.

The appellant is absent on 2nd consecutive hearing nor is represented.

Since the information has been provided, I accept the plea of the PIO and drop the show cause notice.

No further interference of the Commission is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.12.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Makhan Singh, s/o Sh Jagir Singh,
Village Bika, Distt SBS Nagar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Banga.

First Appellate authority,
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Banga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 887 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Daljit Singh, Sr.Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 14.08.2020 has sought information regarding an Activa scooter purchased by Gurdeep Singh – the cost of the Activa scooter – a copy of the receipt of the first payment made by Gurdeep Singh and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of SDM Banga. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 22.10.2020 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 22.11.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing before Ms.Preeti Chawla, State Information Commissioner on 02.06.2021. The respondent was absent. The respondent was given one more opportunity to appear before the Commission and reply.

On the date of the next hearing 28.07.2021, the respondent was again absent nor had supplied the information. The PIO was issued a show cause notice u/s 20 of the RTI Act and directed to file a reply as well as appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing.

On the date of the hearing on 24.08.2021, the appellant was absent and informed telephonically that the PIO has not supplied the complete information.

Sh.Daljit Singh, appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO and informed that the available information has been supplied to the appellant. The PIO however failed to file a reply to the show cause notice. The PIO was given one more opportunity to file a reply to the show cause notice before the next date of hearing.

On the next date of hearing before this bench on 11.05.2022, both the parties were absent.

The PIO however, did not file any reply to the **show cause notice** issued on 28.07.2021. The PIO was given one last opportunity to file a reply to the show cause notice otherwise it will be presumed that the PIO has nothing to say on the matter and the Commission will decide penal action against the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act.

Appeal Case No. 887 of 2021

On the date of last hearing on **13.09.2022**, the appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has already been provided to the appellant. The respondent further informed that the appellant had earlier also filed a similar appeal case for seeking exactly the same information(earlier asked for information for Motor Cycle purchased from Bajaj Agency) (but in this case has asked for information for Active Scooter purchased from Rai Agency) which was disposed of by Sh.Suresh Arora, Chief Information Commissioner on 19.10.2021 with the order that “since the matter relating to behavior of the Bajaj Agency to recover the instalments from the appellant relates to the police department, the respondent is advised to send the complaint of the appellant to the concerned authorities for further action as per law.”

The respondent further informed that in this case, enquiry has now been done by SDM Banga. However, the same has been marked to DSP Banga for further investigation which is still pending with the DSP Banga.

Hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to provide a copy of enquiry report of the enquiry conducted by SDM Banga to the appellant.

Hearing dated 20.12.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC, SBS Nagar. As per respondent, the enquiry report of SDM Banga has been provided to the appellant. However, the further investigation with DSP Banga is pending.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Information stands provided. No further interference of the Commission is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.12.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner