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Sh. Vidya Sagar

S/o Sh. Kasturi Lal Lomsh

101-D, Kitchlu Nagar,

Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Punjab Agriculture University,

Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority

O/o Punjab Agriculture University,

Ludhiana Respondents

 **APPEAL CASE NOs.2198 and 2199 of 2017**

Date of RTI application : 27.05.2017/

Date of First Appeal : 27.06.2017/

Date of Order of FAA : Nil

Date of 2nd Appeal/complaint :02.08.2017/

**Present:** None on behalf of the Appellant.

 1. Sh. Vinod Malhotra, Assistant Registrar, PAU, Ludhiana,

 2. Sh. Kawaljit, Jr. Assistant, PAU, Ludhiana – for Respondents.

**ORDER**

 Since the appellant and the respondents are the same, and the subject matter of the information sought is identical the single order shall dispose of both the appeals.

 Initially, these appeals were being heard by Smt. Preety Chawla, Ld. SIC. However, in view of the rowdy and abusive stance of the appellant she had requested the Ld. CIC to refer it to a larger bench. The CIC has constituted the larger bench of the undersigned Commissioners.

 The cases came up for hearing today. The appellant is absent. The respondents in their written statement have mentioned that the appellant is a disgruntled ex. employee of the Punjab Agriculture University who had retired as a Senior Draftsman way back in 1999. Eversince he is harassing the employees of the University by filing a train of abusive communications against all. Currently he is using the RTI as a tool to settle his imaginary grudges. All the information concerning Contd.… page…2
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his applications has been supplied to him many times over. But since he has not better things to do he is filing the applications the number of which may run into hundreds. They further add that taking cognizance

of his cantankerous and abusive tirade, the Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana vide his order dated 27.03.2015 burdened him with a cost of Rs.5 lac. They have requested to file his appeals. Let us see the information he has sought from the respondents:

  **Appeal Case No.2198 of 2017**

 “1. Procedure, criteria adopted & related documents for grant of selection grade to unqualified quack uneducated thief, womanizer, cheat Dev Brat Gupta, Assistant Architect by violating Architect Act, 1972 in lieu of bribe & sex raising his salary of Peon – cum – Sweeper equivalent to Chief Architect on 05.02.1995 while qualified Architect Vidyasagar was being dismissed simultaneously by a group of criminal.

 2. Qualification of Peon cum Sweeper, Women provider Dev Brat Gupta, Senior Assistant Architect on salary of Chief Architect on 05.02.1995.

 3. Name & Designation of the persons who violate Architect Rules 1972 in lieu of bribe & Sex.

 4. Role of habitually corrupt, habitually thief, habitually greedy womanizer Yashpal Handa by violating Architect Act, 1972 thrice in lieu of Sex & bribe.

 5. Role of extremely beautiful evergreen prostitute Sureshtha Mahajan W/o criminal Dev Brat Gupta to make him draw salary of Chief Architect.

 6. Role of call girls and Sadabahar prostitute daughters of Dev Brat Gupta to make him equivalent to Chief Architect salary.

 7. In criminal revision No.CRR/404 of 2016 Advocate Gurbhajan Singh Nagar has represented the following accused. Culprit/criminals name (i) Baldev Singh Dhillon (ii) Randhir Singh (iii) Dev Brat Gupta (iv) Santosh Lata Kashyar (v) Kanta Handa (vi) Jasbir Kaur (vii) Aasha Sharma (viii) Pradeep Kumar Khanna.
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 Please intimate the G.B.S. Nagar is being paid from PAU exchequer & from culprits pockets & provide related documents in triplicate duly certified.”

 **Appeal Case No. 2199 of 2017**

 **“**1. Certified photocopy of procedure, criteria of adhoc appointment of uneducated, quack unqualified Hari Krishan Sharma, as Architectural Assistant vide memo No. Admn./IV/AV/78/3869-71 dated 06.03.1976 and again on 06.09.1978 and again vide Admn./IV/AO/79/4366-67 dated 05.03.1979 and again on 06.09.1979 and again on 06.03.1980.

 2. PAU qualification prescribed by PAU for appointment as Architectural Assistant as per Architect Act, 1972.

 3. Name and qualifications who fulfill the essential qualifications of Architectural Asistant in senior Architect office on 06.03.1978.

 4. Qualifications of quack, unqualified, uneducated Hari Krishan Sharma on 06.03.1978.

 5. Number of vacant Architectural Assistant posts to be filled on 06.03.1978 and 20.09.1977.

 6. Names, qualification of persons fulfilling essential qualifications for appointment as Architectural Assistant on 06.03.1978 and 20.09.1977.

 7. Role of extremely beautiful prostitute Aasha Sharma wife of quack Hari Krishan Sharma for four times adhoc appointment and regular appointment as Architectural Assistant on 24.05.1980 vide Admn. VII. AO/80/10982-84.

 8. Qualifications prescribed by PAU as per Architect Act, 1972 from Tracer Draftsman to Senior Architect of all the Architectural posts.

 9. Which qualifications quack Hari Krishan Sharma fulfils along with documents.”

 Outrightly his appeals constitute non sensical balderdash of an insane and disgruntled
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employee.

 The Commission has gone through the various communications sent by the appellant to the Commission written in his hand which is nothing but vituperations against all the persons whosoever figure in any context of his communications. It is very hard to make head & tail of his submissions which are full of filth and invectives. These surely have connotations which invite cognizance of a criminal offence. We have been told that the appellant who had retired in 1999 is very old and seemingly has gone senile and has lost his discretion and sanity. We refrain from taking any tangible action on his criminal conduct. However, we find that his communications which have been taken as appeals or complaints are inane, idiotic and absurd which deserve to be straightaway filed. The Deputy Registrar is advised not to entertain any of his communications in future and should be filed straightaway in the Commission on administrative or adjudication side.

 **Disposed.**

 **-On leave- Sd/- Sd/-**

**20.12.2017** (**Preety Chawla) (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)** **(Yashvir Mahajan) SIC SIC SIC**

**CC: The Deputy Registrar, PSIC.**

**CC: PS to Smt. Preety Chawla for the kind information of Ld. SIC.**

**CC: PS to Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla, for the kind information of Ld. SIC.**