Shri Neeraj Kumar Advocate,
and Shri Suraj Kumar Advocate,
C/o Chamber No 4020,
Lawyers Chambers Complex Part-II,
District Courts, Ludhiana

M 9888260085

Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Revenue Officer, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority
O/o ADC (General), Ludhiana.

Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3064/2022
(Video Conference Proceedings)

Present: None on behalf of the appellant.
Sh. Ranjit Singh, NSK on behalf of the respondent PIO.
None on behalf of the First Appellate Authority.

Order

The RTI Application is dated 4.3.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI Application which is reproduced below. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 19.5.2022 and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 19.04.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. 02.8.2022.

" 1. Supply the certified copies of the complete record of the Book/Register, of Jamabandi of the Village Jamalpur Awana, Hadbast no.177, Tehsil and District Ludhiana for the year 1971-72, maintained by the Patwari for the record."

2. The appellant is absent today without any intimation to the Commission. A notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post.

3. The representative of the respondents states that the appellant has sought voluminous information pertaining to the year 1971-72. He further states that the complete information is contained in 03 volumes and special employee is required to give the copy of jamabandi of village Jamalpur. He has also referred to the decision in Civil Appeal No. 6454 of 2011 (Central Board of Secondary Education (CBSE) vs Aditya Bandhopadhayay and ors.) in his submissions. The representative of the respondents further states that the appellant may
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inspect the sought record on any working day and specific information may be given to him on
identification of the record.

4. After perusal of the record, it is noticed that there is no response filed by the First
Appellate Authority-cum-Additional Deputy Commissioner(G), Ludhiana. Therefore, a copy of
this order is being sent to Financial Commissioner Revenue, Govt. Of Punjab, Chandigarh for
seeking the explanation of Sh. Rahul Chaba, PCS, for not discharging his statutory duties under
the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. That it is pertinent to mention section 7(9) of RTI Act:

"An information shall ordinarily be provided in the form in which it is sought unless it
would disproportionately divert the resources of the public authority or would be
detrimental to the safety or preservation of the record in question".

6. That Commission accepts the reply of the representative of the respondents. No more
action is required in this case. Hence this case is disposed of and closed. Since, the appellant is
absent without any intimation, he is hereby, directed to inspect the record of the respondents
within two weeks from issue of this order and respondent PIO is directed to provide the record
for inspection and supply the information if any, identified by the appellant.

sd

Dated 2.8.2022
(Suresh Arora)
@s
Chief information Commissioner, Punjab

CC
Financial Commissioner Revenue, (By Name) For Compliance
Govt. Of Punjab,
Chandigarh.

Sh. Rahul Chaba,PCS.
Additional Deputy Commissioner(G), Ludhiana
Cum First Appellate Authority,
o/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.
Shri Amandeep Singh, House No 81, Shaheed Karnail Nagar, Phase-1, Pakhowal Road, Ludhiana-141013. 

Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Block Primary Officer, Block Mangat-2, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority O/o DEO (EE), Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 3071/2022

PRESENT : Sh. Amandeep Singh, appellant. Sh. Sukhdhir Singh, Head Teacher and Sh. Krishan Kumar, Clerk on behalf of the respondents.

Order

The RTI Application is dated 18.2.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI Application which is reproduced below. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 16.4.2022 and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 30.6.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. 2.8.2022.

1. मध्यमी पुरुषीभावी मात्रूल में लातिल संविध के विवरण से तुलून में दृष्टि की दिनित ग्राह्य के (1) लातिल व्यक्ति (2) मैदान (3) मैदान लातिल (4) मैदान रा नसीरी पूला अन्य (5) मात्रूल विवरण शामिल वर्तमान तथ कटाक्ष.

2. मध्यमी पुरुषीभावी मात्रूल में लातिल संविध के विवरण दृष्टि की दिनित ग्राह्य के नीति बैठक मर्य के रेड कार्ट दृष्टि मुख्य लिंग विशेष वृद्धि नीति बैठक शामिल वर्तमान तथ कटाक्ष.

3. मध्यमी पुरुषीभावी मात्रूल में लातिल संविध के विवरण मर्य में शामिल तालिका शामिल विवरण दृष्टि की दिनित ग्राह्य के नीति बैठक शामिल वर्तमान तथ कटाक्ष.

4. मध्यमी पुरुषीभावी मात्रूल में लातिल संविध के विवरण मर्य में शामिल तालिका शामिल विवरण दृष्टि की दिनित ग्राह्य के नीति बैठक शामिल वर्तमान तथ कटाक्ष.

5. मध्यमी पुरुषीभावी मात्रूल में लातिल संविध के विवरण मर्य में शामिल तालिका शामिल विवरण दृष्टि की दिनित ग्राह्य के नीति बैठक शामिल वर्तमान तथ कटाक्ष.

6. मंदिर 01/04/2021 ते मंदिर 31/01/2022 दर्श मात्रूल संविध के विवरण से दृष्टि की अन्तर्गत मात्रूल शामिल देखी नीति बैठक, एव नीति बैठक देखी. देखी मात्रूल शामिल दर्श रुपे दृष्टि में प्रेम पूरे कटाक्ष.

7. दर्श दर्श नीति अन्तर्गत मात्रूल शामिल देखी नीति मात्रूल नीति अन्तर्गत है अन्तर्गत है शामिल दृष्टि में प्रेम पूरे कटाक्ष.
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2. The representative of the respondents states that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter no. 200168 dated 28.7.2022. A copy of the same is sent to the Commission which is taken on record.

3. The appellant states he has received the information but the respondents has not supplied copies of proceedings and electricity bills as sought in point no. 8 and 9 have not been supplied. The certified copies of the same have been provided by the representative of the respondents to the appellant during the hearing.

4. On perusal of the case file, it is noticed that the First Appellate Authority has directed the PIO to supply the information as per RTI Act, 2005.

5. After hearing both the parties and going through the reply of respondent PIO, the Commission accepts the reply of the respondent PIO. No cause of action is required in this case. Hence this case is disposed of and closed.

sd

Dated 2.8.2022

(Suresh Arora)
Chief information Commissioner, Punjab