Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172 2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100



Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us on :www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. Mukul Sharma, s/o Sh. R.C. Sharma, # 52, Village Gulpur, P.O. Farida Nagar, Tehsil & District Pathankot 145025

....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, o/o Punjab Public Service Commission, Baradari Garden, District Patiala

First Appellate Authority-cum-Secretary o/o Punjab Public Service Commission, Baradari Garden, District Patiala

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1166 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

Present:- Sh. Mukul Sharma, appellant.

Sh. Pankaj, Sr. Asstt o/o Punjab Public Service Commission, Patiala on behalf of the

respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 25.4.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 8.11.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 18.3.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

- 2. The brief of the case is that the appellant has appeared for the PSCSCCE Mains Examination 2015 with Roll No. 9204 and for PSCSCCE Mains Examination 2018 with Roll No. 18041. He was declared not qualified by PPSC Patiala in both the attempts. In order to understand the deficiencies in his answer sheets viz a viz 5 top scorers and the instructions for evaluations of answer sheets issued by the PPSC to the examiners, the appellant has sought the certified photo copy of the information (six points) from the respondents i.e. Punjab Public Service Commission (PPSC), Patiala as follows:-
 - The instructions/directions issued by PPSC to examiners for marking/scoring the answer sheets of examinees of PSCSCCE Mains Examination 2018;
 - 2. Answer sheets of Roll No. 18041 of PSCSCCE Mains Examination 2018 of all the seven papers;
 - 3. Answer sheets of top 5 scores in all the seven papers of PSCSCCE Mains Examination 2018 without revealing any private/confidential information about any candidate and/or the examiner;
 - 4. The instructions/directions issued by PPSC to examiners for marking/scoring the answer sheets of examinees of PSCSCCE Mains Examination 2015;

Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172 2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100
Email:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us on :www.infocommpunjab.com



Appeal Case No. 1166 of 2020

-2-

- 5. Answer sheets of Roll No. 9204 of PSCSCCE Mains Examination 2015 of all the seven papers;
- 6. Answer sheets of top 5 scores in all the seven papers of PSCSCCE Mains Examination 2015 without revealing any private/confidential information about any candidate and/or the examiner;
- 3. A copy of the email sent by the respondents is taken on record. The representative of the respondents states that the information regarding Point No. 5 i.e. the photo copies of answer sheets of Roll No. 9204 of PSCSCCE Mains Examination 2015 for all the seven papers have been supplied. With regard to the information pertaining to Point No.2 i.e. answer sheets of Roll No. 18041 of PSCSCCE Mains Examination 2018 for all the seven papers, a letter dated 5.9.2019 was written to the appellant to deposit Rs.660/- (prescribed fee of the information). Since, the appellant did not deposit the requisite fee, the information was not supplied to him. As far as the appellant is concerned, he agrees with the submissions of the representative of the respondents.
- 4. With regard to the information pertaining to Point No. 1 and 4 i.e. the instructions/directions issued by PPSC to examiners for marking/scoring the answer sheets of examinees of PSCSCCE Mains Examination 2018 and 2015 the representative of the respondents states that it is an internal matter of the PPSC, hence, no information can be supplied to the appellant. The representative of the respondents further states that it is also not in public interest to supply the instructions issued to the examiners with regard to marking/scoring. In addition, the representative of the respondents states that in case the same becomes the public document the secrecy of the PPSC, a constitutional body, will be compromised. However, the appellant states that it is related to the guidelines issued to the examiners by the PPSC and there is nothing which should be kept secret and out of reach of the candidates. The appellant further states that in the interest of meritocracy and transparency in the conduct of public examinations, the instructions given to the examiners by the PPSC must be made public. In addition to the above, the appellant also states that there is no provision in the RTI Act, 2005 giving exemptions to the public authorities to forbid the information on the grounds of the information being an internal matter. Hence, the appellant requested that the respondents be directed to supply the information regarding Point No. 1 & 4 of his RTI application.

Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172 2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100
Email:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us on :www.infocommpunjab.com

PSIC Significant of the Information

Appeal Case No. 1166 of 2020

-3-

5. With regard to the information sought by the appellant i.e. Point No. 3 & 6 in which he has sought the answer sheets of top 5 scores in all the seven papers of PSCSCCE Mains Examination 2018 & 2015 without revealing any private/confidential information about any candidate and/or the examiner, the representative of the respondents states that in accordance with the decision given by the Punjab State Information Commission (PSIC) in CC 2737/2012, the appellant can access his own answer sheets. According to this decision, the representative of the respondents states that the copies of his own (appellant) answer sheets as sought by him, have already been supplied. Furthermore, the representative of the respondents states that the answer sheets of other candidates cannot be supplied being the 3rd party. However, the appellant opposes the contention made by the representative of the respondents. The appellant states that in a case Shailendra Kumar Singh Vs Public Information Officer, EPFO (CIC/EPFOG/A/2018/614958 decided on 8.6.2018), Central Information Commissioner has held that a candidate can seek answer sheets of other candidates and that this is not barred by Section 8(1)(e) and Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act. In addition, the appellant also referred the landmark judgement in Kewal Singh Gautam Vs State of Chhattisgarh, AIR 2011 Chh 143, Chhatisgarh High Court held that conduct of examination by the departmental agency for promotion in Govt. department, is not a private activity, but in public domain and the checking and evaluation of answer sheets by an examiner and the marks given by him upon assessment of performance has nothing to do with the privacy of either the examiner or those who are responsible for conducting the examination and as such Section 8(1)(j) is not attracted. In accordance with the submissions, the appellant, therefore, requested that the PPSC be directed to supply the information pertaining to Point No. 3 & 6 of his RTI application.

After hearing both the parties, the respondents are directed to send the instructions/directions issued by PPSC to examiners for marking/scoring the answer sheets of examinees of PSCSCCE Mains Examination 2018 and 2015 pertaining to Point No. 1 & 4 of the RTI application under sealed cover to the Commission within a week from the issue of this order to enable the Commission to form a view as to whether non-disclosure of the information by respondents is in public interest or not.

Contd..P/4

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172 2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100

Email:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us on :www.infocommpunjab.com

PSIC SSE

Appeal Case No. 1166 of 2020

-4-

With regard to the information pertaining to Point No. 6 of the RTI application i.e. answer sheets of top 5 scorers in all the seven papers of PSCSCCE Mains Examination 2015 without revealing any private/confidential information about any candidate and/or the examiner, the respondents have mentioned in their letter dated 16.6.2020 that the concerned record has been destroyed as per the instructions, hence, the question of providing the same does not arise. In view of the destruction of the record, the respondents are directed to submit the date of destruction of record during the next date of hearing.

As far as the information pertaining to Point No.3 of the RTI application, i.e. answer sheets of top 5 scorers in all the seven papers of PSCSCCE Mains Examination 2018 without revealing any private/confidential information about any candidate and/or the examiner is concerned, the respondents have mentioned the decision of the State Information Commission, Punjab in Appeal Case No. 2737 of 2012. The Commission will examine the issue in detail and decide the matter on the next date of hearing.

The respondents are directed to come prepared and adhere to the above said directions so that the matter is taken to its logical end.

To come up on 21.7.2020 at 11.30 AM to be heard through Video Conference Facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Patiala (for respondents) and in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Pathankot (for appellant).

Dated: 19.6.2020

(Suresh Arora)
Chief Information Commissioner,
Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. 2864101, Fax 0172 2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100

Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172 2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100 Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us on :www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Satish Chander s/o Sh. Subhash Chander, H.No. 1555, Sector 23-B, Chandigarh 98157 00539

....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Secretary, Punjab Public Service Commission, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority, o/o Secretary, Punjab Public Service Commission, Patiala.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1000 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

Present:- Shri Satish Chander, appellant at Chandigarh.

None on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 25.10.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 3.2.2020 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 4.3.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. 19.6.2020.

- 2. The appellant states that he has sought the two point information from the respondents. He shows his satisfaction over the provided information pertaining to the Point No. 1 of his RTI application i.e. Certified copy of rules/instructions regarding not counting the ACR less than 6 months period.
- 3. However, the appellant states in respect of Point No.2 of his RTI application, i.e. certified and complete copy of noting/correspondence portion of the file on which the decision regarding not counting the ACR less than 6 months was taken, that his request was rejected by the respondents vide letter No. 3818 dated 15.1.2019. The appellant further reads out the relevant portion of the said letter which is reproduced below:-

"ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾਂ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮਿਸਲ ਦੀ ਨੋਟਿੰਗ/ਪੱਤਰ ਵਿਹਾਰ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਦੇਣ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ ਦੀ ਮੀਟਿੰਗ ਮਿਤੀ 3.4.2014 ਦੇ ਲੜੀ ਨੰ: 16 ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਇਗਜਾਮ/ਇੰਟਰਵਿਊ/ਸਿਲੈਕਸ਼ਨ ਨਾਲ ਸਬੰਧਿਤ ਨੋਟਿੰਗ ਅਤੇ ਡਰਾਫਟਿੰਗ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਮੱਹਈਆ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ "

Contd..P/2

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172 2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100 Email:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us on :www.infocommpunjab.com



Appeal Case No. 1000 of 2020

-2-

The appellant further states that the action of the respondents is not according to the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. He also referred the judgement of the State Information Commission dated 7.1.2020 in Appeal Case No. 3605 of 2019 vide which the Noting/Correspondence portion of the file related to the selection process has been supplied by the PPSC to him. He requested the Commission to direct the respondents to supply the remaining information pertaining to Point No. 2 of his RTI application.

- 4. Since, none is present on behalf of the respondents, the respondent-Public Information Officer'is directed to remain present during the next date of hearing, and submit his response, if any, before the next date of hearing failing which the decision will be taken ex-parte.
- 5. To come up on 10.7.2020 at 11.30 to be heard through Video Conference Facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

Dated: 19.6.2020 (Suresh Arora) Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. 2864101, Fax 0172 2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100

Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172 2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100 Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us on :www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Sukhdev Singh Pandher s/o Sh. Gurbachan Singh r/o Village Chahal Block Nabha District Patiala 98157 62270

....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer. o/o Block Development and Panchayats Officer, Block Nabha, District Patiala

First Appellate Authority o/o District Development and Panchayats Officer, Mini Secretariat, District Patiala.

....Respondents

Appeal Case NO. 2 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Sh. Ajaib Singh, Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Block Nabha (M 8872144700) and Shri Deepak Jindal, Jr. Asstt (7888462020) o/o Block Development and Panchayats Officer, on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

Dated: 19.6.2020

This order may be read with the previous order dated 12.6.2020 vide which the respondent-Public Information Officer was issued a show cause notice and also directed to supply the information pertaining to Point No. 5 of the RTI application or give in writing to the appellant with a copy to the Commission stating that no record is available except which has already been provided to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned to 19.6.2020.

- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation to the Commission.
- 3. The respondent PIO states that the information pertaining to the point no. 5 of RTI application has been supplied vide their letter no. 384 dated 17.6.2020 and no. 338 dated 27.1.2020 which are taken on record, wherein it has been informed that the account in the Kotek Mohindra Bank was opened as per the instructions issued by the Controller, Rural Development and Panchayat Department, Punjab vide their letter no.754 dated 8.3.2016 (copy already provided). He further states that no resolution was passed by the Panchayat in this regard and the position has also been explained in the letter dated 17.6.2020, already sent to the appellant. The respondent-Public Information Officer, Shri. Ajaib Singh, Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Block Nabha submit a written reply to the show cause notice issued to him stating that he has supplied the complete information on 26.1.2020 to the appellant. He further tenders unconditional apology for any lapse or misunderstanding in the facts and circumstances due to over burdened official work and Covid 19 pandemic. He requests to withdraw the show cause notice issued to him.
- After hearing the respondent-PIO and going through the record of the case file the respondents have been supplied the complete information to the appellant. In view of the submissions of the respondent-Public Information Officer, a lenient view is taken and show cause notice issued to him on 12.6.2020 is hereby, withdrawn with a warning to be careful in the future while dealing with the RTI application(s). No further cause of action is left in this case, hence, the case is disposed of and closed.

(Suresh Arora)
Chief Information Commissioner,
Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172 2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100 Email:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us on :www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Hardiyal Singh,s/o Sh. Sher Singh (M 9877709415) VPO Dhablan.

Tehsil and District Patiala. ...Appellanat

 V_{S}

Public Information Officer, o/o,Director, Rural Development and Panchayat,Pb. Sector 62, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority, o/o,Director, Rural Development and Panchayat,Pb. Secotor 62.

Mohali. ...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 988 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

Present: Sh. Hardiyal Singh,appellant.

Sh. Lehmber Dass, Superintendent (M 9888323915) and Sh. Neel Kamal, Senior

Assistant (M 9463051269) on behalf of the respondents at Chandigarh.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 1.11.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 10.12.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 3.3.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 3.4.2020 but could not be heard due to Covid 19 pandemic and the case was adjourned for today i.e. 19.6.2020.

- 2. The appellant states that the no information is being supplied by the respondents whereas, the representative of the respondents states that the information consisting of 7 pages have already been supplied to the appellant. The representative of the respondents further states that in spite of the wrong dairy number given by the appellant in his RTI application, the office did the best to trace the right dairy number and supplied the correct information so that the case can be disposed of in the public interest.
- 3. After hearing both the parties, this case is hereby, reserved and will be pronounced in due course of time.

Dated: 19.6.2020 (Suresh Arora)
Chief Information Commissioner,
Punjab.

Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172 2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100
Email:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us on :www.infocommpunjab.com



Appellant

Sh. Ajaib Singh s/o Sh. BhinderSingh(M 9872850944) Village Bhishanpura,POGajewaas ,Tehsil Samana.

District Patiala.

Vs

Public Information Officer, District Development and Panchayat Officer, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority, Deputy Commissioner,

Patiala. Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1067 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

Present: Sh. Ajaib Singh, appellant.

Sh. Surinder Singh Dhillon, District Development and Panchayat Officer, Patiala. M 9814261000 and Sh. Karan Khanna, M 7888779292, Computer Operator.

Order

The RTI application is dated 3.8.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 4.11.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 11.3.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 19.6.2020.

- 2. The appellant states that the respondent PIO has supplied incomplete information. He further states that an inquiry is pending with BDPO Samana since 14.3.2018 which is yet to be completed.
- 3. The respondent PIO submits the letter no. 158 dated 10.6.2020, which is taken on record. He states that the complete information has been supplied to the appellant vide their letter no. 459 dated 27.8.2019. He further states that point no. 1 and 4 are inter related as the inquiry was earlier conducted by the Asstt. Commissioner (General) and again for physical verification the enquiry is being conducted by the BDPO Samana and the enquiry report is pending from him. Information pertaining to point 2 and 3is not available in the record, this fact has already brought to the notice of the appellant vide letter dated 10.6.2020.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the record, it is revealed that the complete information has been supplied to the appellant and nothing remains pending which could be supplied to him. As far as the inquiry report is concerned, Block Development and Panchayat Officer Samana is advised to complete the inquiry at the earliest. No more cause of action is left in this case, hence, this case is disposed of and closed.

Dated: 19.6.2020 (Suresh Arora)
Chief Information Commissioner,
Punjab.

CC

Sh. Surinder Singh, District Development and Panchayat Officer, Mini Secretariat, Distt. Patiala.

Block Development and Panchayat Officer,(By name) Samana, District Patiala.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
2864101, Fax 0172 2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100

Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172 2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100 Email:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us on :www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Bharpur Singh so/ Sh. Sarwan Singh 9465345808, Teku, Tehsil Nabha, District Patiala.

..Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, o/o Director, Rural Development and Panchayat Pb., Sector 62, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority, o/o Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayat Pb., Sector 62, Mohali.

..Respondents

AppeaL Case No. 1081 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceeding)

Present:- None on behalf of the parties.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 8.11.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 14.12..2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 11.3.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. 19.6.2020.

2. Since, both the parties are absent, the case is adjourned to 10.7.2020 at 11.30 AM to be heard through Video Conference Facility available at the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

Dated: 19.6.2020 (Suresh Arora)
Chief Information Commissioner,
Punjab.

cc

Director, (By name) (REGD.) o/o Rural Development & Panchayat, Punjab, Sector 62, Mohali To ensure the presence of the respondents during the next date of hearing.

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172 2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100 Email: pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us on :www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Sohan Lal Sharma Asst. Engineer Electrical (Retd.) H. No. 677 MIG, Phase 1 Urban Estate, Patiala. M 9814401531.

.. Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, o/o Special Secretary-Cum-Director, Rural Development and Panchayat Department, Sector 62, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority, Financial Commissioner, Rural Development and Panchayat Department, Sector 62, Mohali.

..Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1164 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

Present: Sh. Sohan Lal Sharma, appellant.

Sh. Avtar Singh Sr. Assistant (M 9988468844) on the behalf of the respondents at

Chandigarh.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 27.8.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 4.10.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 17.3.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. 19.6.2020.

- An email dated 13.6.2020 has been received in the Commission, which is taken on record. The appellant states that he has sought the information regarding action taken on his letter dated 6.11.2017. The appellant further states that the letter was marked by the Financial Commissioner, Rural Development and Panchayat, Punjab with the remarks to put up the file in three days but the case has never been put up to him. In addition to it, the appellant states that the office is not providing any status/action taken in this regard.
- The representative of the respondents submits the certified copy of assistant's movement register showing the reference No. 6/140/17-3RDE5, which is taken on record, and states that the concerned file has been put up to the higher authorities on 1.4.2020 for the final decision, which has not been returned so far, meaning thereby that the matter is under process.
- After hearing both the parties and going through the record of the case file, it is 4. revealed the latest position and action taken of the said letter has been informed to the appellant as the file is under process and has been put up to the higher authorities on 1.4.2020 for final decision. Copy of the movement register as received from the respondents, is attached herewith this order. The respondents are directed to submit the status of the case during the next date of hearing.
- The case is adjourned to 10.7.2020 at 11.30 A.M. to be heard on Video Conference Facility in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Patiala to see the disposal of the file.

Dated: 19.6.2020 (Suresh Arora) Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab.

CC:

Financial Commissioner, (By name) Rural Development & Panchayat Deptt., Pb. Sector 62, Mohali

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
2864101 Fax 0172 2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100

Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172 2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100 Email:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us on :www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Satish Chander 9815582999 H. No. B-5/18,Near Mahavir Mandir, Rajpura Town, Distt. Patiala.

..Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, o/o Superintendent, Deptt. Of General Administration, Establishment 1 Branch, Punjab Civil Secretariat-1, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority, Secretary to Govt. of Punjab, Deptt. Of General Administration, Establishment 1 Branch, Punjab Civil Secretariat-1, Chandigarh

..Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1167 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

Present: Shri Satish Chander, appellant.

Ms.Simar Preet, Senior Assistant 9988444535 on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

Dated: 19.6.2020

The RTI application is dated 11.12.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 14.1.2020 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 18.3.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. 19.6.2020.

- 2. The appellant states that no information has been provided to him so far by the respondents. He sought the dispatch no. and date of dispatch of the order NO. 1/1/2017-ESTB1(3)/337 dated 4.12.2017 which was dispatched to Superintendent, Irrigation Personal Branch-3, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh. The appellant further states that if the respondents have destroyed the record of dispatch register of the above said letter, then he has sought the office order and Certificate regarding destruction of record.
- 3. The representative of the respondents submits a letter no. 433 dated 19.6.2020 and states that these orders were sent to the department/concerned branches only. She further states that no dispatch record is available vide which the said orders were sent to the Irrigation Department (Personal Branch-3), Chandigarh. In addition to it, she further states that the copy of transfer orders bearing no. 337 dated 4.12.2017 was endorsed to all Additional Chief Secretaries/Principal Secretaries and Secretaries along with the others but no copy was sent to the Irrigation Personal Branch directly as has been claimed by the appellant.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the record of the case file, the appellant is directed to submit the public interest in seeking this information in writing and respondents are directed to search the concerned dispatch register in which the orders no.337 dated 4.12.2017 has been issued before the next date of hearing.
- 5. To come up on 10.7.2020 at 11.30 AM. to be heard through Video Conference Facility in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

(Suresh Arora)
Chief Information Commissioner,
Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Vs

Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172 2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100 Email:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us on :www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Harnam Singh S/O Sh. Bhola Singh 9855693817 Village Bhamba Haji Basti Sandoorwalw, Ghuke, PO Khai Pheme Ki, Block Mamdot, Tehsil and Distt. Ferozepur.

..Appellant

Public Information Officer, o/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Tehsil and Distt.Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority, District Development and Panchayat Officer, Mini Secretariat, Ferozepur.

..Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1029 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceeding)

Present:- Sh. Harnam Singh, appellant.

Shri Jasdev Singh. Panchayat Secretary and Sh Parminder Singh GRS

on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 14.10.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 26.12..2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 6.3.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 19.6.2020.

- 2. The appellant states that no information has been supplied by the respondents so far.
- 3. The representative of the respondents states that he has brought the certified copies of the information consisting 61 pages pertaining to the RTI application of the appellant and handed over the same during the course of hearing to the appellant. The representative of the respondents further states that the information regarding MANREGA has already been supplied to the appellant by Sh Parminder Singh, GRS.
- 4. Since, the information has been provided to the appellant, accordingly, he is advised to go through the same and revert back to the respondent-Public Information Officer in case of any deficiency within 10 days from the issue of this order and the respondent-Public Information Officer is directed to remove the same in case any deficiency is pointed out by the appellant before the next date of hearing.
- 5. To come up on 10.7.2020 at 11.30 AM. to be heard through Video Conference Facility in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Ferozepur.

(Suresh Arora)
Chief Information Commissioner,
Punjab.

Dated: 19.6.2020

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172 2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100 Email:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us on :www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Jasveer Singh 9781599959 r/o Village Megha Panj Garai Hithar, Block and Tehsil Guru Harshai, Distt.Ferozepur.

.. Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Block Guru Harsahai, Distt. Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority, District Development and Panchayat Officer, Mini Secretariat, Distt.Ferozepur.

..Respondents

Appeal Caser No. 1111 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceeding)

Present: Sh. Jasveer Singh, appellant.

None on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 9.12.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 17.1.2020 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 13.3.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 19.6.2020.

- The appellant states that no information has been provided by the respondents so far.
- 3. The respondents are absent today without intimation to the Commission.
- After hearing the appellant, the respondents are hereby, directed to file his 4. response/supply the information before the next date of hearing and remain present during the next date of hearing failing which the punitive action will be initiated on the next date of hearing.
- To come up on 21.7.2020 at 11.30 A.M. to be heard through Video Conference 5. Facility in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Ferozepur.

Dated: 19.6.2020 (Suresh Arora)

Chief Information Commissioner,

Punjab.

Cc

Block Development and Panchayat Officer, (By Name) Block Guru Harsahai, (REGD.)

Distt. Ferozepur.

To look into the matter & ensure the presence of respondents during the next date of hearing.

Smt. Anju Bala, GRS, MANERGA (REGD.) C/O Block Development and Panchayats Officer, Block Guru Harsahai, Distt Ferozepur.