Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Helpline no. 2864100



Sh. S.P.Goyal, # 2C, Sarbha Nagar,	
Nav Durga Mandir, Gurudwara Road, L	udhiana

-----Complainant

Vs.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation Udyog Bhawan, Sector 17, Chandigarh

-----Respondent

Complaint Case No. 16 of 2020

Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: None for the parties

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 02.09.2020 vide which neither the complainant nor the respondent was present.

- 2. Today, again neither the complainant nor the respondent is present.
- 3. The perusal of the file shows that the complainant has not attended any hearing so far. I am of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served in keeping this appeal case pending for an indefinite period. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **dismissed for non- perusal.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated: 18.11.2020

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Helpline no. 2864100



Sh. S.P.Goyal, #2C, Sarbha Nagar, Nav Durga Mandir, Gurudwara Road, Ludhiana

-----Complainant

Vs.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Punjab Small Industries & Export Corporation Udyog Bhawan, Sector 17, Chandigarh

-----Respondent

Complaint Case No. 34 of 2020 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: None for the parties

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 02.09.2020 vide which neither the complainant nor the respondent was present.

- 2. Today, again neither the complainant nor the respondent is present.
- 3. The perusal of the file shows that the complainant has not attended any hearing so far. I am of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served in keeping this appeal case pending for an indefinite period. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **dismissed for non- perusal.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated: 18.11.2020

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Helpline no. 2864100



Sh. Kartor Singh, S/o Sh. Didar Singh R/o Bahik Fattu, Tehsil Zira, Distt. Ferozsepur

-----Complainant

Vs.

Public Information Officer.

O/o Director Rural Development and Panchayat, Punjab Sarovar Path, Phase 8, Sector 62, Sahibzada Ajit Singh Nagar, Punjab - 160062

-----Respondent

Complaint Case No. 320 of 2019

Present:

- (i) None is present on behalf of the complainant
- (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Avtar Singh, Sr. Assistant in the Commission

office

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 02.09.2020 vide which neither the complainant nor the respondent was present.

- 2. Today again the complainant is not present.
- 3. The respondent Sh. Avtar Singh states that the information, as exists, in the record has already been sent to the complainant.
- 4. After hearing the respondent and gone through the case file, it is observed that the complainant is absent. He was even not present on the last date of hearing. The respondent states that the information, as exists, in the record has been sent to the complainant. He has also given in writing that there is no other information which is pending in the office record of the respondent and there is nothing left to be furnished to him.
- 5. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, the appeal filed by the appellant is therefore **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated: 18.11.2020

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Helpline no. 2864100



Sh Parkash Singh Chugh, (M- 99148-13713)		
R/o 1057/2 C, Opposite Dera Kalsian,		
Millar Ganj, Ludhiana- 141003		Complainan
	Vs	
Public Information Officer,		
O/o SSP, Vigilance Bureau, Ludhiana		Responden

CC No. 466 of 2020 (Through Video-Conferencing)

Present: (i) Sh. Parkash Singh Chugh the complainant

(ii) For the Respondent: Sh. Anil Kumar, ASI (9417963146)

ORDERS

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.09.2020 vide which the abovementioned complaint case could not heard as the video conferencing facility in the office of DC Ludhiana was not working.

2. The perusal of the file shows that the information demanded by the complainant is in shape of questions. The complainant may take note that the Central Information Commission vide order dated 21.04.2006 in case no. CIC/AT/A/2006/00045 has decided that -

(The RTI Act does not cast on the public authority any obligation to answer queries, as in this case, in which a petitioner attempts to elicit answers to his questions with prefixes, such as, why, what, when and whether. The petitioner's right extends only to seeking information as defined in Section 2 (f) either by pinpointing the file, document, paper or record, etc., or by mentioning the type of information as may be available with the specified public authority.)

3. In wake of above the instant complaint case is, hereby, **disposed of and closed**.

Announced in the Court. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)
Dated: 18.11.2020 State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Helpline no. 2864100



	Appellant
Vs	
	Respondent
	Vs

AC No. 2275 of 2020 (Through Video-Conferencing)

Present:

- (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant
- (ii) For the respondent : Dr. Sanjeev Kohli (7508977800)

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 20.02.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 25.06.2020 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 21.08.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 18.11.2020 in the Commission.
- 3. A letter vide Commission diary no. 16106 dated 11.11.2020 has been received from the respondent mentioning therein that complete information has already been sent to the appellant.
- 4. The appellant has also sent a letter vide Commission diary no. 16404 dated 17.11.2020 stating that he has received the information and is satisfied.
- 5. Since, the appellant has received the information, no cause of action is left, hence the appeal filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated: 18.11.2020

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Helpline no. 2864100



Sh Iqbal Singh, Asstt. Professor (M-94634-24909)	
Department of Physiology, Govt. Medical College,	
Patiala	Appellant
	Vs
Public Information Officer,	
O/o Principal, govt. Medical College,Patiala	
First Appellate Authority	
O/o Principal, govt. Medical College,Patiala	Responden
AC No. 2	2287 of 2020

AC No. 2287 of 2020 Through Video Conference

Present: (i) Sh. Iqbal Singh the appellant through CISCO Webex

(ii) For the respondent: Smt. Anita Sharma, Sr. Assistant (9876219747),

o/o Sub Division, Samana

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 03.02.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 04.05.2020 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 25.08.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 18.11.2020 in the Commission.
- 3. A letter has been received from the respondent vide commission diary no. 14260 dated 19.10.2020 vide which the respondent states that the RTI of the appellant does not relate to their office. It relates to Sub Division, Samana.
- 4. Smt. Anita Sharma, Sr. Assistant is appearing on behalf of the Sub Division, Samana states that the information is ready with them and will be sent to the appellant.
- 5. Respondent is directed to send the information to the appellant today itself. The appellant expressed his satisfaction over the same.
- 6. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, the appeal filed by the appellant is therefore **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated: 18.11.2020

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Helpline no. 2864100



Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M- 7589757100)		
S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap,		
R/o House No.12, Teacher Colony (Shanti Nagar)		
Nawanshaher		Complainant
	Vs	•
Public Information Officer,		
O/o DC, SBS Nagar		Respondent

CC No. 560 of 2020

Through Video Conference

Present: Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap, the complainant

For the respondent: Sh. Bahadur Singh, Supdt-cum-APIO (9814919681), o/o DC, SBS Nagar, Sh. Ram Parkash, o/o EO MC Balachaur (9417439460), Sh. Satnam Singh, Accountant, o/o EO, MC, Nawanshahr, Sh. Vinod Kumar, Clerk, MC *9815291284), Sh. Ranjeet Singh, Election Clerk, o/o SDM office, Nawanshahr (8878848949), Sh. Yashpal Singh, S.I. (8872940333), Sh. Lovelesh Kumar, Tehsil Office Balachaur (9464789354) and Sh. Maninder Singh, O/o SDM, Balachaur (

8360758913)

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 06.07.2020 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 20.08.2020 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 1. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 18.11.2020 in the Commission.
- 3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is ascertained that the information sought by the complainant is very voluminous and in question form. Moreover it relates to many PIOs. The complainant may take note that each authority has separate PIOs. Under-section 6(1)(a) a person seeking information has to apply to the concerned public authority. It is not the job of one PIO to collect and thereafter collate this information from different PIOs of different Public Authorities and then supply the same to the appellant. Appellant may note that there is a clear mention in the orders of former CIC in CC: 05 of 2010 which is reproduced as under:-

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Helpline no. 2864100



CC No. 560 of 2020

"that this obligation under Section 6 of the Act is to transfer the application to 'that other public authority' and not to public 'authorities'. The expression used in Section 6 is 'authority' and not 'authorities'. Simply put, the PIO is expected to transfer a request which does not relate to him but has nevertheless been received by him, one identifiable authority. He is not expected to transfer such a request if information is held by many or a number of authorities. The rational is that a PIO is not a post office or a coordinator for forwarding requests from information seekers to various departments. Legislature has merely facilitated the information seekers by empowering PIO who inadvertently receives request pertaining to another PIO to forward it to the concerned PIO".

4. Regarding voluminous information, the complainant may take note that there is the judgment of The Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No. 6454 of 2011 Central Board of Secondary Education Vs Aditya Bandopadhyay and ors. that:-

(37 Indiscriminate and impractical demands or directions under RTI Act for disclosure of all and sundry information (unrelated to transparency and accountability in the functioning of public authorities and eradication of corruption) would be counter-productive as it will adversely affect the efficiency of the administration and result in the executive getting bogged down with the non-productive work of collecting and furnishing information. The Act should not be allowed to be misused or abused, to become a tool to obstruct the national development and integration, or to destroy the peace, tranquility and harmony among its citizens. Nor should it be converted into a tool of oppression or intimidation of honest officials striving to do their duty. The nation does not want a scenario where 75% of the staff of public authorities spends 75% of their time in collecting and furnishing information to applicants instead of discharging their regular duties. The threat of penalties under the RTI Act and the pressure of the authorities under the RTI Act should not lead to employees of a public authorities prioritising 'information furnishing', at the cost of their normal and regular duties.)

5. In wake of this, the instant Complaint Case is disposed of and closed., Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated: 18.11.2020

Ph: 0172-2864117, Email: - psic27@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Helpline no. 2864100



Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M- 7589757100)
S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap,
R/o House No.12, Teacher Colony (Shanti Nagar)
Nawanshaher ...Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC, SBS NagarResponder

CC: No. 562 of 2020

CC No. 562 of 2020 Through Video Conference

Present: Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap, the complainant

For the respondent: Sh. Bahadur Singh, Supdt-cum-APIO (9814919681),

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 06.07.2020 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 20.08.2020 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

- 2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 18.11.2020 in the Commission.
- 3. The respondent states that the information demanded by the complainant cannot be provided as the complainant has not deposited documentation fee, which was demanded on the stipulated time.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that the complainant has not deposited documentation fee. Hence, the complainant is asked to deposit the fee and obtain the information if he so desires. Respondent is directed to provide the same after receipt of requisite fee.
- 5. With these directions the complaint case is hereby **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated: 18.11.2020