

Sh.Gurdeep Singh, S/o Sh Bhagwan Singh, (M-985570551) Village Mohkam Arai, Tehsil Jalalabad, Distt Fazilka-152024

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Gram Panchayat, Mohakam Arai, Tehsil Jalalabad, Distt Fazilka

First Appellate Authority O/o DDPO, Fazilka

.....Respondent

AC No. 3810 of 2021

Present : (i) Sh Gurdeep singh, Appellant alongwith Sh Mahinder Singh

(ii) For the Respondent: Smt Soma Rani, Sarpanch (9530697000)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.04.2022.

2. The Appellant states that he has brought the copies of deficiencies today. Copy of the same is handed over to the Respondent.

3. The perusal of the case file shows that during the hearing dated 20.12.2022 copy of information was handed over to the appellant by the Respondent . Hence, the Appellant was directed to point-out deficiencies, in the information provided. Today the Appellant has brought the copy of deficiencies, which is handed over to the Respondent, with directions to provide the information to the Appellant, after the removal of deficiencies as pointed out by him. Further, the PIO -Respondent is directed to be present personally on the next date of hearing along with the complete information.

4. To come up on **24.06.2022 at 12.00 PM** for further proceedings in the Commission office. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated: 18.05.2022



Sh.Hardeep Singh, S/o Sh. Tarsem Lal, Village Nurpur, Tehsil Banga, Distt. SBS Nagar 9814847273

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Block Aur, Tehsil Banga Distt. SBS Nagar

First Appellate Authority O/o BDPO, SBS Nagar

.....Respondent

AC No. 3876 of 2021

Present: (i) Sh Hardeep Singh, appellant

(ii) For the Respondent:Smt Gurpreet kaur, Addl programme Officer and Sh Jatinder Kumar, Gram Sewak

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.04.2022.

2. The Appellant states that the information provided to him is not complete. He has pointed out deficiencies in the information provided.

3. The Respondent states that the information, after the removal of deficiencies, has

been provided to the Appellant.

4. After hearing both the parties and perusing the case file, it is ascertained that the Appellant is not satisfied with the information provided. Hence, the Appellant is directed to inspect the record in the O/o Respondent on 19.05.2022 at 2:00PM identify the documents copies whereof are required by him; and the respondent shall provided copies thereof, according to his RTI application, in accordance with the relevant provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. To come up on 24.06.2022 at 12:00PM in the Commission office. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 18.05.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) **State Information Commissioner** Punjab

রন মূরু Puty Punjab Ste PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Informati Helpline No. 0172-2864100 Sh Jagjit Singh, (M-99142-48131) R/o Aman Nagar, Street No-3, B/s Green Land School, Near Jalandhar By Pass, LudhianaAppellant ...Vs **Public Information Officer**, O/o DPI (SE) Pb Mohali **First Appellate Authority** O/o Secy, Education Deptt, Pb Chd

AC No. 4710 of 2021

.....Respondent

Present : (i)None for the Appellant

(ii) For the Respondent: Sh Jiwan Singh, Sr Asstt. (94178-97293)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.03.2022.

2. The Appellant is not present today.

3. The Respondent states that the information has been provided to the Appellant. They have also filed their reply via affidavit today as under:-



AC No. 4710 of 2021

agei	ਮੈਂ ਪਰਮਜੀਤ ਕੌਰ ਸਹਾਇਕ ਇਨਫਰਮੇਸ਼ਨ ਅਫਸਰ ਦਫ .ਜਿਲਾ ਸਿੱਖਿਆ ਅਫਸਰ (ਸੈ.ਸਿੱ.) ਣਾ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਅਪੀਲ ਕੋਸ ਨੰਬਰ 4710 ਆਫ 2021 ਦੇ ਸੰਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਬਿਆਨ ਹਾਂ:-
ਲੜੀ ਨੰ	
1	Kindly, provid
	Kindly provide me certified copies of the orders issued by the competent authority vides which Mr. Paramjeet Singh Supdt was appointed as clerk junior assistant senior assistant supdt in district
2	Kindly provide me continent
	of complaints & charge sheet issued by the concerned authorititis against to Mr. Paramjeet Singh Supdt.
3	Nindly provide me certified copies ਲੜੀ ਨੰਬਰ 3 ਦੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਥਰਡ ਪਾਰਟੀ ਹੋਣ ਕਰਕੇ of show cause notice issued by the ਨਹੀਂ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ।
4	Kingthe and Singh, Supdt.
	Kindly provide me certified copies of Station Leave, casual leaves, medical leaves availed by Mr. Paramjeet Singh, Supdt. RTI ਦੇ ਸੱਸਤ & (1) (1) 2 mg h faits The state of the stat
5	
6	during joining to till now
	Kindly provide me certified copies ofNOCissued toMr.Paramjit Singh by the concerned authority regarding the complaintsto against Mr. Paramjit Singh supdt. During joining to tell now been read over & explained to the depending target of the sense of the sensense of the sense of the sense of the se
	been read over & pro heavit SPA/GPA has 2121012
	executed who seemer directly to under
ਤਸਤ ਹਨ ਤੇ 5 ਦੀ	ਦਿਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਤੱਥ/ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਲੁਕਾਕੇ ਜਾਂ ਛੁਪਾਏ ਨਹੀਂ ਗਏ।ਉਕਤ ਨੁਕਤਿਆਂ ਦੇ ਅਧਾਰ ਤੇ ਸੂਚਨਾਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਪਹਿਲਾ ਹੀ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ।
ARYP	
shwani Jo	Attested as Identified Vatta 643
DHIANA (P	4242030

4. The perusal of the file shows that, the Appellant is not present today. On the previous date of hearing, the Respondent had filed a copy of information in the Commission office. The Appellant was directed to collect the same on any working day. He has failed to collect the information. Further, the Respondent has filed an affidavit today. I have gone



AC No. 4710 of 2021

through the affidavit filed by the Respondent and do not agree with the reply with the Respondent regarding point no. 4 . The Respondent-PIO may taken note that a co-ordinate bench of the Central Information Commission on the issue of leave, vide its decision dated 22/05/2014 [file no. CIC/VS/A/2013/000841/SH- Shri H Basavaraj vs. Canara Bank], has held that:-

" details of leave can be disclosed but the purpose of leave should be withheld as it falls in the category of personal information. However, as stated by the CPIO the details of leave(s) availed by the employee should be provided, however, while providing the details of leave(s) the purpose of the leave(s) may be withheld."

Hence, the Respondent-PIO is directed to provide information to the Appellant regarding point no.4 however, the purpose for which the leaves have been taken may be withheld. Further, the Respondent is directed to file their affidavit again on the next date of hearing, duly signed by the Respondent-PIO.

5. To come up on **24.06.2022 at 12:00PM** in the Commission office. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 18.05.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha,# 30, Banda Bahadur Nagar, Jalandhar9814228629

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o MC, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC, Jalandhar

.....Respondent

Present: None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.03.2022.

AC No. 3518 of 2021

2. The appellant is not present today. However, he has filed his submissions via e-mail . Copy of the same is taken on record.

3. No one has appeared on behalf of the Respondent today. However, Sh Dinesh Joshi, Building Inspector has sent an e-mail stating that he shall not be able to attend the hearing today. Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. In view of the above, an opportunity is given to both the parties to appear before the Commission and state their case.

5. To come up on **13.07.2022 at 12.00 PM f**or further proceedings in the Commission. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated : 18.05.2022



Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha,# 30, Banda Bahadur Nagar, Jalandhar9814228629

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o MC, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC, Jalandhar

.....Respondent

Present: None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.03.2022.

AC No. 3519 of 2021

2. The appellant is not present today. However, he has filed his submissions via e-mail . Copy of the same is taken on record.

3. No one has appeared on behalf of the Respondent today. However, Sh Dinesh Joshi, Building Inspector has sent an e-mail stating that he shall not be able to attend the hearing today. Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. In view of the above, an opportunity is given to both the parties to appear before the Commission and state their case.

5. To come up on 13.07.2022 at **12.00 PM f**or further proceedings in the Commission. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated : 18.05.2022



Sh. Manpreet Singh, S/o Late Sh. Jeet Singh R/o SCF 30-31, Sector 28C, Chandigarh

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, Mohali ..Vs

First Appellate Authority , O/o IGP , Roopnagar Range, Rupnagar

Public Information Officer o/o SHO, Zirakpur

.....Respondent

AC No. 03 of 2022

Present: (i) Sh Manpreet Singh, appellant (ii) None for the respondent

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 25.04.2022 vide which PIO O/o SHO, Zirakpur was impleaded as Respondent no.2

2. The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him so far.

3. No one has appeared on behalf of the Respondent today.

4. After perusing the record available on file it emerges that PIO has delayed/ denied in providing the information to the Appellant. During the last hearing, PIO, o/o SHO, Zirakpur was impleaded as respondent no.2. But till today neither the PIO, o/o SHO, Zirakpur appeared nor filed any reply so far. This shows that the respondent has no regard for the orders issued by the Commission.

5. In view of the above, *PIO- O/o SHO, Zirakpur* to show cause in writing or through affidavit under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/ denial of the information to the RTI applicant .In addition to his submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) proviso, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty



AC No. 03 of 2022

on the next date of hearing. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

6. To come up on **24.06.2022 at 12.00 PM** for further proceedings in the Commission. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated : 18.05.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

CC: 1) PIO O/o SSP, (Rural) Mohali



Sh.Baldev Singh Kang, (M-9988246123) R/o H No 39, Sector 5, Chandigarth

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Naib Tehsildar, Kharar, Distt Mohali

First Appellate Authority , O/o SDM, Kharar, Distt Mohali

.....Respondent

.....Appellant

AC No. 5351 of 2021

Present: (i) Sh Baldev Singh Kang, appellant (ii) None for the respondent

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 04.04.2022 vide which the respondent was not present.

2. The Appellant states that the information has not been provided to him so far.

3. The respondent is again absent today.

4. After perusing the record available on file it emerges that PIO has delayed/ denied in providing the information to the Appellant. The respondent is absent today. He was not even present on the last date of hearing. In view of the above, *PIO- O/o Naib Tehsilar, Kharar, Distt Mohali* to show cause in writing or through affidavit under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/ denial of the information to the RTI applicant.

In addition to his submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) proviso, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will



AC No. 5351 of 2021

presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

5. To come up on **24.06.2022 at 12.00 PM** for further proceedings in the Commission. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated : 18.05.2022

Sd/-(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh.Hari Parkash Singh, S/o Sh. Ujjagar Singh, R/o Village Bhatnura Lubana, Distt. Jalandhar – (985436236)

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer,

O/o Director, Rural Development & Panchayat Department Punjab Sarovar Path, Phase 8, Sector 62, Sahibzada Ajit Singh Nagar, 160062

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director, Rural Development & Panchayat Department Punjab Sarovar Path, Phase 8, Sector 62, Sahibzada Ajit Singh Nagar, 160062Respondent

AC No. 4000 of 2021

Present : (I) Sh Hari Parkash Singh, appellant

(ii) None for the Respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.03.2022 vide which a show-cause notice was issued to the PIO O/o Director, Rural Development & Panchayat Department, Punjab.

2. Sh. Hari Parkash-appellant states that he has not received the attested information till date.

3. No one has appeared on behalf of the respondent today.

4. The perusal of the file shows that, no one has appeared on behalf of the respondent today. They have neither informed the Commission about their absence nor they have filed their reply to the show-cause notice issued on the previous date of hearing. It is, thus apparent from the response of the PIO that there has been delay in providing the information. Appellant had also suffered financial loss in getting the information. There are systemic deficiencies in the manner of receiving and disposing of applications. The department still does not appear to be properly tuned to the performance of its obligations enshrined under the RTI Act. The departmental officials are not properly sensitized and trained to discharge their functions under the RTI Act 2005.



AC No. 4000 of 2021

5. I am, therefore, of the view that the Appellant deserves to be awarded compensation under Section 19(8)(b) of RTI Act 2005 for the loss and detriment suffered by him on account of delayed supply of information.

6. I am of the view that ends of justice would be met by awarding a sum of Rs. **5000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only)** by way of compensation to the Appellant. This amount shall be payable by State Exchequer within fifteen days.

7. Respondent may take note that this is the last opportunity given to appear before the Commission, otherwise bailable warrant will be issued.

8. To come up on 24.06.2022 at **12.00 PM** for further proceedings in the Commission. Copy of the order be sent to the parties

Dated :18.05.2022

Sd/-(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

THROUGH REGISTERED POST

<u>CC: Deputy Director,</u> <u>O/o Rural Development & Panchayat Department Punjab</u> <u>Sarovar Path, Phase 8, Sector 62, Sahibzada Ajit Singh Nagar, 160062</u> for necessary action.



Smt Harjit Kaur, W/o Sh Pawan Kumar, R/o 123, Street No.3, Gurbachan Colony, Lalheri Road, Khanna, Distt. Ludhiana

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer,

O/o Commandant, 13th Battalion, PAP, New Secretariat, Sector-1, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o ADGP/Armed BNS, Jalandhar

.....Respondent

AC No. 3003 of 2021 (In the Commission office)

Present: (i) None for the Appellant.

(ii) For the respondent : Sh R.S. Kahlon, DSP and ASI Sanjiv Abrol, ASI

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.03.2022.

2. The appellant is not present today. However, the Counsel for the Appellant has filed their submissions via e-mail. Copy of the same is taken on record.

3. The Respondent states that they have already filed their reply on the previous date of hearing.

4. To come up on **17.06.2022 at 12:00PM** for further hearings in the Commission office. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated :18.05.2022



Sh. Ajay Nand/Ajay Kumar Mehta # C-118, East Mohan Nagar Chamrang Road, Amritsar (9814646309)

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,

O/o AIGP/ Commissioner Bureau of Investigation Punjab Sector 9, Chandigarh **First Appellate Authority,** O/o Director General of Punjab Punjab Police Headquarter, Sector 9 Chandigarh **Public Information Officer** o/o Vigilance Bureau, Amritsar Range Amritsar

.....Respondent

A<u>C.No. 5415 of 2021</u>

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant (ii) For the respondent : Sh Parshottam Kumar, ASI O/o FAA

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.04.2022 vide

which PIO O/o Vigilance Bureau, Amritsar was impleaded as Respondent no.2.

2. The Appellant is not present today.

3. The Respondent states that the information is lying with PIO O/o Vigilance Bureau, Amritsar.

4. After hearing both the parties and perusing the case file, it is ascertained that the Respondent no 2 has filed their reply vide Commission diary no. 10183 dated 16.05.2022 as under:-



AC.No. 5415 of 2021

Public Information Officer-Cum-ਪਬਲਿਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਫਸਰ-ਕਮ-. Sr. Supdt. of Police, ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਕਪਤਾਨ ਪੁਲਿਸ, ਵਿਜੀਲੈਂਸ ਬਿਊਰੋ ਰੇਂਜ, Vigilance Bureau Range, Amritsar. E-Mail- spvbasr@punjab.gov.in ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ। ਫੋਨ ਨੰਬਰ 0183-2210413 ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ. ਸ੍ਰੀ ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤ ਪ੍ਰਤਾਪ ਸਿੰਘ ਸ਼ੇਖੋ, ਪੰਜਾਬ ਰਾਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ, 1 ਰਿਜਨਲ ਟ੍ਰੇਨਿੰਗ ਸੈਂਟਰ ਰੈਡ ਕਰਾਸ ਭਵਨ, Diary No ... ਸੈਕਟਰ-16, ਨੇੜੇ ਰੋਜ ਗਾਰਡਨ, ਚੰਡੀਗੜ। Date ਨੰਬਰ /ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਮਿਤੀ /3 -5-2072 ਵਿਸਾ ਅਪੀਲ ਕੇਸ ਨੰਬਰ 5414–2021 ਵਲੋਂ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਅਜੈ ਨੰਦ,ਅਜੈ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਮੇਹਤਾ, ਵਾਸੀ ਸੀ–118, ਈਸਟ ਮੋਹਨ ਨਗਰ, ਚੰਮਰੰਗ ਰੋਡ, ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ। ਯਾਦ ਪੱਤਰ ਹਵਾਲਾ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 13856 ਮਿਤੀ 22-04-2022 ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ। ਹਵਾਲਾ ਅਧੀਨ ਪੱਤਰ ਰਾਹੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੁਕੱਮ ਮਿਤੀ 18-04-2022 ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਸਾ ਅੰਕਿਤ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਪਬਲਿਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ, ਵਿਜੀਲੈਸ ਬਿਊਰੋ ਰੇਂਜ ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ ਨੂੰ ਰਿਸਪੋਡੈਂਟ ਨੰਬਰ 2 ਬਣਾਇਆ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ। ਵਿਸਾ ਅੰਕਿਤ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੀ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ, ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿਜੀਲੈਸ ਬਿਊਰੋ ਰੇਂਜ ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ ਦੇ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋਣੀ ਨਹੀ ਪਾਈ ਗਈ। ਜਿਸ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਅਜੈ ਨੰਦ∠ਅਜੈ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਮੇਹਤਾ ਨੂੰ ਦਫਤਰ ਬੁਲਾਕੇ ਪੁਛਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਤਾ ਉਸ ਨੇ ਲਿਖਤੀ ਰੂਪ ਵਿੱਚ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਕਿ ਹਵਾਲਾ ਅਧੀਨ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦਾ ਸਬੰਧ ਡਾਇਰੈਕਟਰ ਜਨਰਲ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਚੰਡੀਗੜ ਨਾਲ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਦਫਤਰ ਡਾਇਰੈਕਟਰ ਜਨਰਲ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਚੰਡੀਗੜ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਅਜੈ ਨੰਦ ਅਜੈ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਮੇਹਤਾ ਵਲੋਂ ਲਿਖਤੀ ਰੂਪ ਵਿੱਚ ਦੱਸਣ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਵਿਸਾ ਅੰਕਿਤ ਕੇਸ ਦਾ ਸਬੰਧ ਦਫਤਰ ਡਾਇਰੈਕਟਰ ਜਨਰਲ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਚੰਡੀਗੜ ਨਾਲ ਹੋਣਾ ਪਾਇਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਅਪੀਲ ਕੇਸ ਨੰਬਰ 5414–2021 ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਫਤਰ ਡਾਇਰੈਕਟਰ ਜਨਰਲ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਚੰਡੀਗੜ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਕ੍ਰਿਪਾਲਤਾ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ। ਨੱਬੀ– ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਅਜੈ ਨੰਦ,ਅਜੈ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਮੇਹਤਾ ਵਲੋਂ ਲਿਖਤੀ ਪੇਸ਼ ਕੀਤੀ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ–1 ਵਰਕ मिंभ हिंडे) भी. भी. औम. (ਦਲਜਿੰਦਰ ਪਬਲਿਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਫਸਰ-ਕਮ-ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਕਪਤਾਨ ਪੁਲਿਸ. ਵਿਜੀਲੈਸ ਬਿਊਰੋ ਰੇਂਜ. พ์ในุรหอย่อน



A<u>C.No. 5415 of 2021</u>

I have gone through the reply filed by the Respondent. Accordingly, the PIO O/o DGP, Pb is directed to file their reply regarding the RTI of the Appellant on the next date of hearing.

5. To come up **on 24.06.2022 at 12:00PM** in the Commission office. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 18.05.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab



.....Appellant

.....Respondent

Sh Ravinder Singh Gill, (M-94175-339412) # 986,Near Dev Hotel, Main Bazaar, Moga-142001

..Vs

Public Information Officer,

O/o DGP, Pb Chandigarh Sector-9, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o DGP, Pb Chandigarh Sector-9, Chandigarh

2. Public Information Officer,

O/o AIG/Personnel-2, Bureau of Investigation, Punjab Section-9, Chandigarh

AC No. 4733 of 2021

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant (ii) For the respondent : Sh Parshottam Kumar, ASI 0/o Respondent no.1

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.03.2022 vide which PIO O/o AIGP/Personnel-2, Bureau of Investigation, Pb was impleaded as Respondent no.2.

2. The Appellant is not present today. However, he has filed his submissions vide Commission diary no. 9797 dated 10.05.2022, which is taken on record.

3. The Respondent states that the information is lying with PIO 0/o Respondent no.2.

4. The perusal of the file shows that the information is lying with PIO O/o Respondent no.2. No one has appeared on behalf of Respondent no.2 today neither have they filed their reply. Hence, one last opportunity is given to PIO O/o AIGP/Personnel-2, Bureau of Investigation, Pb to be present on the next date of hearing and file their reply, *failing which, action u/s 20(1) of the RTI Act will be initiated.*

5. To come up on 17.06.2022 at 12:00PM in the Commission office. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated : 18.05.2022

Sh Daljit Singh (M-9501026319) House No 6E, Khalsa College Colony, Patiala-147001

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer,

O/o Secretary, Deptt of Finance, Punjab civil Secretariate-1, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secretary, Deptt of Finance, Punjab civil Secretariate-1, Chandigarh

2. Public Information Officer,

O/o Director General of School Education, Pb Vidya Bhawan, Block-E, 5th Floor, Phase-VIII, SAS Nagar, 160062

.....Respondent

Present: (i) None for the Appellant

(ii) For the Respondent: Ms Dimple Popli, Supdt. (94170-84656)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 11.04.2022.

AC No. 5448 of 2021

2. The Appellant is not present today. However, an e-mail has been received from the

Appellant as under:-

"It is respectfully submitted that my daughter's foot is got fractured in road mishap. So, in this situation and due to compelling circumstances, I cannot attend hearing of this case personally. I is humbly prayed that an adjournment may kindly be given in the present case."

Copy of the same is taken on record.

3. The Respondent states that the information, as available, in their official record has been provided to the Appellant. Further, the information pertaining to point no. 2 and 3 is related to PIO O/o Director General of School Education, Pb. The Respondent has also filed their reply regarding the same via e-mail.





AC No. 5448 of 2021

4. The perusal of the file shows that the Appellant is not present today. However, he has sent an e-mail requesting for adjournment. Further, the information regarding 1 and 4 has already been provided to the Appellant. The Respondent has filed their reply stating that the information pertaining to point no. 2 and 3 is lying with PIO O/o Director General of School Education, Pb.

Hence, I order that PIO O/o Director General of School Education, Pb be impleaded as Respondent no.2 Further, the Respondent no.2 is directed to be present on the next date of hearing along with the complete information pertaining to point no. 2 and 3. <u>Copy of the RTI Application is sent herewith</u>.

5. To come up on **24.06.2022 at 12:00PM** in the Commission office for further proceedings. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 18.05.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Mohan Lal Phillauria, #232, New Court, Jalandhar.

.....Appellant.

V/s.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority: O/o Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar.

..... Respondent

<u>Appeal Case No. 4988 of 2021</u>

Present:- (i) Shri Mohan Lal Phillauria, appellant (ii) For the Respondent: Smt Sandeep Kaur, Jr Asstt. and Ms Gursharanpreet, Clerk

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.03.2022.

2. The Appellant states that the information has not been provided to him till date.

3. The Respondent states that the information cannot be provided to the appellant as the same is covered under Section 8(1) (j) of the RTI Act.

4. The perusal of the file shows that the complete information has not been provided to the Appellant till date. Further, the appellant has already filed his rejoinder as per directions of the Commission. After going through the reply of the Respondent and the rejoinder filed by the Appellant, I am of the view that the information sought by the Appellant cannot be denied to him U/s 8(1) (j) Hence, I overrule the contention of the respondent and direct that the complete information be provided to the Appellant except point no. 6 before the next date of hearing.

5. To come up on 24.06.2022 12:00PM in the Commission office. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 18.05.2022



Sh. Mohan Lal Phillauria,

#232, New Court, Jalandhar.

.....Appellant.

V/s.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Tehsildar, Jalandhar-1

First Appellate Authority:

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Jalandhar.

..... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4987 of 2021

Present:- (i) Shri Mohan Lal Phillauria, appellant

(ii) For the Respondent: Smt Sandeep Kaur, Jr Asstt. and Ms Gursharanpreet, Clerk

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.03.2022 vide

which a show-cause notice was issued to the Respondent-PIO.

2. The Appellant states that the information has not been provided to him till date.

3. The Respondent has filed their reply on affidavit today regarding the RTI Application of the Appellant as under:-



ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਤੇ ਹਵਾਲੇ ਅਧੀਨ ਕੇਸ ਬਾਬਤ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਮੋਹਨ ਲਾਲ ਫਿਲੋਰੀਆ ਐਡਵੋਕੇਟ

ਜਲੰਧਰ ਦੀ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਮਿਤੀ 30-04-21 ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਨੁਕਤਾਵਾਰ ਜਵਾਬ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਹੈ।

ਬਿਆਨ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ:-

ਸਬੰਧੀ।

ਵਿਸ਼ਾ:-

ਹਵਾਲਾ:-

ਲੜੀ ਨੰਬਰ	ਸਵਾਲ	ਉਤਰ
1	ਪ੍ਰਾਰਬੀ ਨੇ ਗਵਾਹਾਂ ਦੇ ਬਿਆਨਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ, ਉਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਨਾਮ ਅਤੇ ਅਹੁਦਾ ਪੁੱਛਿਆ ਸੀ, ਉਹ ਨਹੀ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਗਿਆ।	ਸਟਾਫ ਦੀ ਜਿਪੋਰਟ ਲਈ ਗਈ ਸੀ। ਜਿਪੋਰਟਕਰਤਾ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ ਵਰਿੰਦਰ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ
ਰ ਹ	ਸਬੰਧਤ ਹਲਕਾ ਪਟਵਾਰੀ ਦੀ ਰੋਪੋਰਟ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਨਹੀਂ ਭੇਜੀ ਸਟੀ।	ਸਾਤੀ 18-03-2021 ਨੂੰ ਸੁਰਦੀਆਂ ਖੱਚਦਾ ਦਿੱਤ ਹੋਟ ਸਨ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਬੀ ਨੂੰ ਪਟਵਾਰੀ ਦੀ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਮਿਤੀ 18-03-2021 ਨੂੰ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਬੀ ਨੂੰ ਪਤਤਾਲ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਮਿਤੀ 18-03-2021 ਨੂੰ ਮੁਹਈਆ ਕਰਦਾ
27 ਭੇਜ ਸਭ ਚਾਪ ਪ੍ਰਕ ਪ੍ਰਕ	7/ਸਟੋਨ ਮਿਤੀ 09–02–21 ਸਿੱ ਜਿਆ ਹੈ, ਉਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਚੋਥੇ ਨੰਬਰ ਤੇ ((ਭੁੰਤਲਾ ਦੇਵੀ ਦਾ ਜਿਕਰ ਹੋਣਾ ਉ	ਦੇਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾਂ ਨੁਕਤਾ 1,2 ਅਤੇ 3 ਅਨੂਸਾਰ ਦੇ ਦੋਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ, ਜਿਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਰਸੀਦ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਹੈ। ਉਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਚੋਬੇ ਨੰਬਰ ਤੇ ਸ਼ੁਤੰਤਲਾ ਏਵੀ ਦਾ ਜਿਕਰ ਹੋਣਾ ਸੀ, ਉਹ ਨਹੀ ਹੈ) ਇਸ ਬਾਰੇ 'ਚ ਅਥਾਰਟੀ ਵਲੋਂ ਆਦੇਸ਼ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋਣ ਉਪਚੰਤ ਹੀ ਅਗਲੇਰੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਅਮਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਨੁਆਂਦੀ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ ਹੈ।
	(ביישיאיני אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אי
ਰੇਪਰੋਕਤ ਸੀ ਹੈ।	ATTESTED	ੀ ਵਾ ਦਰੁਸਤ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਗੱਲ ਲੁਕਾ ਛਿਪਾ ਕੇ ਨਹੀ
	Jalandhar-I	ਬਿਆਨ ਕਰਤਾ ਤਿੰਨਸ਼ੀਲਦਾਰ-ਕੇਸ-ਪਬਲਿਕ ਇਨਫਾਰਮੇਸ਼ਨ ਅਫਸਰ ਜਲੰਧਰ-1



Appeal Case No. 4987 of 2021

The respondent is directed to file their above said reply on affidavit on the next date of hearing with a copy to the appellant. It is observed that the respondent has also filed an affidavit today in response to the show-cause notice issued on the previous date of hearing. I have gone through the same and have agreed with it. Resultantly, the proceedings U/s 20(1) of the RTI Act are hereby dropped.

4. To come up on **24.06.2022 at 12.00 PM** for further proceedings in the Commission. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 18.05.2022